Caribbean Social Science An Assessment



GLENN SANKATSI

BIBLIOTECA NACIONAL ARUBA
3 0993 00411 385 8



CARIBBEAN SOCIAL SCIENCE An Assessment

Glenn Sankatsing

URSHSLAC-UNESCO Caracas, 1989

The opinions expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official view of the UNESCO. The designations comployed and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Servational of the Organization concerning the legal status or the delimitations of the frontiers of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities

© Unesco
Cover design: Aymara Romero, with collage "Blue Turtle's Dream" by Jon
Dasmen (Paramaribo, 1987)
Photocomposition, diagrammung and mounting: Graphic Arts and Reproduction Desartment of UNESCO/CRESALC

Published by UNESCO's Regional Unit for the Social and Human Sciences for Latin America and the Caribbean (URSHSLAC).

P.O. Box 68.394, Caracas 1060-A Venezuela ISBN: 980-6226-09-7

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	5
Introduction	7
I. The Scope of the Study	2
II. Transplanted Social Sciences	15
III. The Development of the Social Sciences	
in the English and Dutch Speaking	
	21
1. Ideological Currents	2
2. Indigenization of the Social Sciences	2
3. The Transcendence of Uniqueness	3
4. The Transcendence of Discipline	3
5. Institutionalization of the Social Sciences	4
	4
IV. Major Conceptualizations	5
1. Classification of Social Science	
	5
2. Cultural Models	6
Cultural Enclave Studies	6

Plural Society

v.

	3.	The Critical Economists	79
		Lewis' Model	80
		The Radical Caribbean School:	
		Plantation. Economy and Dependency	87
		Arthur Lewis and the New World Group:	
		A comparison	94
	4.	Holistic Search	96
		Race-Class Debate	97
		Democratic Nation-State	104
		Transformation	109
		Regional Focus	115
		Regional Integration	117
		Geopolitics	122
v.	Co	nclusion	133
	-		100
Rei	fere	nces	141

Acknowledgements

Only the assurance of the close cooperation of colleagues from several places in the Caribbean could have justified an attempt to assess the social science development across the linguistic barriers of the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean.

For the many ways in which they contributed to this monograph I am highly indebted to all those colleagues and friends, but as usual only some of them can be mentioned. Wendell Bell, Lloyd Braithwiste, Susan Craig, George Danns, Roland Ely, Winston Gibbs, Maurits Hassankhan, Chris Healy, Paul Liong Ailn, Jack Menle, Bajiah Mhango, Pat Mohammed, Alvin Nicasia, Roda Reddock, Selwyn Ryan, Jozef Siwpersad, Peter Vertor; all of which assisted in one way or another, particularly during my research at the University of the West Indies 6tc. Augustine), the West Indies 6tc. Augustine), the West Indies 6tc. Augustine), t

Excellent cooperation was received from research institutes and their directors: the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER) at St. Augustine, Institutut voor Maatschappijwetenschappelijk Onderzoek (IMWO) of the University of Surriame, Institut Oenezolano de Extudios Sociales y Politicos Surriame, Institut Oenezolano de Extudios Sociales y Politicos Sociales et América Latina (ESPAL) in Mérida (Venezuela).

Two colleagues at INVESP should be particularly thanked; for the arduous job to check my English, Dick Parker, whose comments reminded me of the fact that English is not my mother tongue, and Andrés Serbin for his many critical comments on the draft.

The many discussions and comments, in some way or another, positively contributed to the final draft for which, obviously, only the author can be held responsible. I am grateful to UNESCO for having made this study possible and for the close cooperation of its staff in Caracas, particularly of Gonzalo Abad and Luis Barriga.

When 1 try to recall the many forms of assistence 1 received in the course of this study, 1 still remember the warm hospitality of a Trinidadian family.

But mostly I feel indebted to the several generations of Caribbean social scientists with their untiring efforts to unravel the complex Caribbean reality in which their peoples were seeking to build nations on the ruins of colonialism. It is my version of their story that fills the pages that follow

GS 1988

Introduction

In the dynamic Caribbean reality important social and political changes have occurred in a short span, and this ongoing process, particularly since the 1940s, was accompated by an equally fast development of social thought, which has found its most systematic crystallizations in the social sciences.

After almost half a century of indigenous social sciences in the English and Duch Speaking Carbbean, it seems to be inthe English and Duch Speaking Carbbean, it seems to be using for an interime evaluation particularly in the light of the origin are in a crisis that demands paradigmatic changes. No satisfactory answer will be found to this and other questions concerning the course of contemporary social science and its inture directions, unless it departs from a critical evaluation of the development of the social sciences in the region. But usuch a study canno follow in the wake of the several individual disciplines, because the very distinction of social science into separate relatively autonomous disciplines forms one of the major constraints in the development of the social sciences in the region.

The task of an evaluation of the development and the impact of the social sciences in the region focused on with a holistic methodology can only be undertaken successfully as a joint and collective effort of the social science community itself. Individual scholars can only make a modest contribution to the understanding of this major issue when trying assesses the development of the social sciences in the region. That is basically, what this study aims atto make a tentative assessment of the social sciences in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean and, thus, advance new elements for the discussion in contemporary social sciences.

"Introducts

But it should be noted that a small monograph on a broad issue necessarily leads to a general treatment, and it is obvious that this study focusing on social science as a whole in two different linguistic subregions of the Caribbean, can not dojustice to all the scholars and their contributions in half a century of social science research in the region, but that obviously, falls beword the primary concern of this study.

To understand the mechanisms of its development and the close relation social science bore with the social realities and processes in the region, attention will be dedicated to the disclogical currents that influenced the social sciences, the process of indigenization of the social sciences, the transcerned dence of a unique Caribbean outlook and the unidsciplinary approach, while attention to the institutionalization of the social sciences and its praxis is related to the specific way in which they are embedded in the social reality. This generality which they are miscross constitutes the background for our discussion of the major conceptualizations in the scientific study of the region.

This tentative assessment is only a first approximation that formspart of a wider ongoing interest in the development of the social sciences in the region and particularly in its epistemological dimensions and implications, that could not be elaborated in this study.

It should be noted that a number of our statements about the Caribbean can have broader implications and could be generalized to other Third World areas or even to the social sciences in general, but that task cannot be undertaken on this occasion, as it would take us far beyond the scope of our present study.

I. The Scope of the Study

A large number of small scattered island and mainland states emerged in the Caribbean as a consequence of the colonization by rival European Powers. As a result, a number of independent states are testing their capacity to survive as such, or are searching for a wider integration in order to solve the problems that colonialism created and to overcome the obstacles it left behind.

This fragmentation is reflected in the differential course that esocial sciences have taken in the several areas of the region. But in the particular case of the North European colonization this divergent development was also accompanied by a certain degree of interaction between the English

and Dutch Speaking Caribbean.

The metropolitan location of the centers for social science in England and Holland, where the bulk of the social scienciats of these colonial possessions were trained initially, fostered a cross-fertilization in the social sciences across the linguistic harriers. Particularly in Europe, and to soom extent also after their return to the Caribbean, some mutual influence between the two reprions could develon.

This convergence in the social sciences of the two Carthbean subregions was facilitated by the similarity of the social problems that originated from a common historic background of North-European colonialism, of implanted societies, a plantation economy, and the common fate of alavery, indenture, marconage and labour revolts, which characterize these Caribbean societies, while both in the English and Dutch-West Indies multi-ethnical societies were formed which would evolve into independent states after a rapid post-war process of bargained and beaceful decolonization. Although the mutual influence and the similarity of social science developments in these two subregions justify a joint study of their social sciences, one cannot objectively identify the English and Dutch speaking countries as one particular region or subregion.

This brings us back to the old polemic over how the Caribbean should be defined. At times it is conceptualized as the Caribbean archipelago with all the island territories buoyed by the Caribbean Sea. When inspired by a geopolitical outlook the concept of "Caribbean Basin" is preferred, which is broad enough to include the Atlantic shores of the Guianas, a concept, which Denis Benn (1984: 29-30) qualifies as an "externally-imposed definition... inspired by external perceptions of interests and needs."

Wagley's (1957) "Plantation America" is even broader, including the Northeast of Brazil and the Deep South of the United States, while a more restricted ethnocultural view defines the Caribbean as the non-hispanic territories in the region.

A more eclectic view, held by the Trinidadian Prime Minister Eric Williams, accepted Cuba and the Dominican Republic but excluded Venezuela with its more than 1,500 miles of coast washed by the Caribbean Sea.

In short, a wide array of geographic, economic, geopolitical, historic, ethnocultural and linguistic criteria were competing in a senseless debate about what legitimately could be identified as the Caribbean

But this difficult problem of defining the Caribbean unequivocally, which so many social scientists have tried a cope with, paradoxically, is not a real problem, since 'the' Caribbean is not a preexisting entity which, a posterior, can be identified on the basis of a suitable definition. It is only in function of a particular set of rettera, intentionally and purposively selected for a specific study or contemplation of the companion of the problem of the problem of the problem.

For the specific purpose of this study, we delimit the Caribbean area under review as the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean, consisting of the three mainland territories of Belize, Guyana and Suriname, and the island territories of Aruba, The Bahamas, Barbados, Jamaica, The Netherlands Antilles, Trinidad and Tobago, and of the territories belonging to the Organization of Eastern Caribbean States (DECS). It is this area we are referring to whenever we use a shorthand reference to 'the Caribbean' or 'the restlement

In this study 'social sciences' will not be understood as the simple sum of the separate social science disciplines, but instead in its holistic meaning of 'social science', and the distinct disciplines will therefore not be taken as the basis for a classification of social science work.

played a significant role in the development of the social sciences in the Caribbean, but too heavy a focus on them would limit our understanding of that development, as will be argued at many points in the course of this study.

This monograph, being an assessment of social science development, is neither a bibliographical work nor an 'almanac' of the social sciences on the region, nor a 'state of the art report'.

Although the social sciences in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean have developed relatively recently, the sheer bulk of literature already produced makes it extremely difficult to review the entire field and to keep pace with the expanding body of publications.

There is no urgent need for a single bibliographical review or compilation of the regional social sciences, which would doubtless result in a simple listing of authors and data or some kind of annotated bibliography. Extensive bibliographical research has a lready been undertaken on the regional social sciences and valuable publications and documentation of a bibliographical nature are already available.

Students of Caribbean societies can count with Lambros Comitas (1977) 'Complete Caribbeana' and with recent up-datings like those provided by the Carisplan Abstracts of the Caribbean Development and Cooperation Committee (CDCC)

In the Dutch Speaking Caribbean bibliographical work in the last two decades provides access to social science literature on Suriname (Nagelkerke 1971, 1973; Stitucas 1972), on the Netherlands Antilles (Nagelkerke 1973; Koulen et al. 1984; Sticusa 1985) or on the subregion as a whole (Mevis 1974; Oltheten 1979).

But there are also a large number of issue-oriented bibliographies, such as on the work of Arthur Lewis (Wilkinson 1980), on the Amerindians in Suriname (Nagelkerke 1977) or an index of important Caribbean social science journals (Robb 1980; Evelyn 1974), to name a few bi illustrate the variety in bibliographical work on the social sciences in the region.

As this study is not of a bibliographical nature it should be noted that the inclusion or non-inclusion of works in the references is only related to their relevance for the exposition, and by no means can justify any automatic inference about their relevance for the regional social sciences.

This work is not a 'state of the art report' that reviews the several social science disciplines and their accumulated research. Fortunately, sizeable work of this nature is already done.

Students interested in economies can consult the reviews or evaluations of Brown and Brewster (1974, Cumper (1974, Figuerea (1977), S. Cyr (1983) and Bernal et al. (1984). In the field of sociology the early work of Braithwaise (1957) was actualized recently by Craig (1982b), while Robothum (1984) discusses the emergence of sociology in Jamaica, Others is existed disciplines have also been reviewed bibliographically such as history (Marshall 1975; Bakker 1985), political science (Greene 1974b), public administration (Collins 1967) and social psychology (Brodber 1974b).

On several occasions the social sciences in general and their research priorities have been discussed, since the early works of Braithwaite (1957a) and M.G. Smith (1957) to the more recent works of Greene (1977, 1984), Vaughan Lewis (1982), M.G. Smith (1982a, 1984) and Koulen et al. (1984). Previous social science reviews tended to take as their

starting-point the different disciplines, which could provide significant insight in the development in specific flared and significant insight in the development in specific flared and study. However, for a general understanding of the development of the second of the second of the second of the second ment of the second of the desiration of the different disciplines, because the division of the second of the second

assume a critical stand, evidently inherent in scientific work in general. Its hould be noted that 'criticism', in itself not an intellectual virtue since it cannot be equated with 'being critical', suffers from the inherent limitation of its' a posterior' insture; an anachronism that should warrant temperance in judgement and moderation in tour Social science contributions should not be wrenthed from the bud warrant temperance contributions should not be wrenthed from the bud warrant temperance contributions and the science of the contributions of the science of the contributions of the science of the science of the contributions of the science of the science of the contributions of the science of the

In this study the search for the real contribution and significance of the precursors but also of the contemporaries in the Caribbean social sciences, will be located in their time and historical context, taking into account their limitations but also their nunsed possibilities.

A critical attitude implies that "the results of scientific labour are accepted and made public without adulteration, independent of their being in harmony with the personal or group interest of the researcher" (Manifest 1981: 4) and it is this attitude that will be pursued in our assessments. Now that we have clarified the aim of our study we can turn to the birth of the social sciences in the region: the transplanted social sciences.

II. Transplanted Social Sciences

The Caribbean societies emerged as an artefact of colonialism, as a product of conquest, expansion and cold-blooded exploitation, as the scar of oppression, as epiphenomena of colonial economic enterprise.

However, in keeping with the dislectics of nature, what at first was conceived more obtained as a docile instrument of production in distant fallow land' soon obtained its own indigenation of the control of the control of the control of more production. In addition, to the economic and daministrative organization of the colony and its plantations, social peace had to be secured by less expensive and more subtle devices than the naked correion and brute force of a small white minority. The emerging society became a point of reflection and concern for the colonial administration. It is to this very point in history that the development of social thought in the region can be traced back, when a better understanding of colonial society became imperative for an undisturbed colonial enterprise.

Although social thought in the region, and at a later stage the social sciences, were initiated and nourished from outside, particularly from Europe, their development cannot simply be considered as external to the Caribbean, because even under colonial domination their development is an internal Process by definition, since 'external' cannot be mechanically proposed to 'internal' in social processes, due to a dialectical Process as a consequence of which the impact of external influences becomes a factor in the internal development itself, as Sonntag (1988: 142) argues for the development of the Social sciences in Latin America.

Goveia's (1965) study on historiography, and more explicitly, from the basic arguments of John La Guerre (1982), Gordon Lewis (1983a) and Denis Benn (1987) in their extensive monographs on the issue.

Contrary to what is sometimes assumed concerning the growth of political ideas in the region, there has been a "tradition of continuous intellectual debate on political issues stretching back to the eighteenth century and even beyond" (Benn 1987: 162), which cannot simply be regarded as part of an alien tradition peculiar to a settler class. The Caribbean society', according to Gordon Lewis (1985s: 328), "managed, sisten even to an indireprosu moral and intellectual culture".

However, the birth of social thought and more specifically of social sciences in the region does not stem from autochtonous factors, but can only be understood as a process of indigenization, adaptation and contextualization of what was developed elsewhere. In the case of the social sciences, much plant from the North Atlantic to the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean marked its inception. These transplanted social sciences, which were developed in the context of the North Atlantic social estimates of the North Atlantic social estimates of the North Atlantic, as a social product of the Atlantic social english of the Caribbean marked its inception. These transferred to the region in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english in the form of pure unadultantes de North Atlantic social english english

Scientific disciplines are not entities pre-existing in reality or society, but rather deliberately created distinctions and devices as goal-directed human approaches in order to provide answers to the major problems that are generated in the social development of a particular setting. The mainspring of the development of science and more specifically of its discicles of the development of science and more specifically of its discisive and the science of the science of the science of the social process, belonging to the sphere of each of the development of humanity, in close interaction with the instinct to survive and the desire to subdue and domesticate nature for its own bentl. Defence against the dangers and the express of nature, along with the desire to dominate and manipulate the environment for its own more than a survival formed the manipungs of the science as part of the social part of the science as part of the social part of the science as porduction of own the science as production of sending of the science as was not the science as was not the science as sending the science of the science and elite the science as social and the science and science scien

whether as a derivate of, or a reaction against the existing order, developed out of social processes in its societies. The societies of the processes of the societies of the societies of the processes of the societies of the s

Subsequent development, that the social sciences have been a product, an answer or a reaction to social developments in Europe, as can be observed in the clear impact the rise of capitalism had in the field of economics.

Another remarkable characteristic of the social sciences in the North Atlantic is that they did not develop as the Progressive specialization of a general science of society into different interrelated sub-disciplines. On the contrary, an anarchic and fragmented development of separate balcanized disciplines took place without a general unified science that could serve as a guiding framework for sub-ordinated progressive sub-ordinated with the contraction of the co

plines are not simply generated by an inherent organizing principle or the internal logic of social science isself, but were shaped to a large extent, by developments in Europe, while strus-steintife criteria played a significant role in their crystallizations as separate independent branches of social science. One need only recall the Eurocentrie bias in the difference between sociology and anthropology, where the Eurocean 'social's studied the Caribbean 'anthropology.

A clear understanding of the development of the social sciences in the English and Dutch Speaking Carribbana demands a close study of the fragmented form in minds social science disciplines, a social product generated by the dynamics of Western European societies, were transferred to the region. But careful analysis is required since it should be borne in mind that transplantation alone does not invalidate, a science or these.

There is a last point that should be raised before we can turn to a closer study of the development of social science in the region.

The Caribbean found itself weakly endowed with resistance mechanisms to countervail or critically accompany the insertion of foreign developed social sciences at the time of their introduction, in order to prevent their uncritical adoption and to avoid irrelevant or inappropriate elements. In contrast to other Third World regions in Asia and Africa. where ancient and deeply-rooted traditions existed with their own vivid philosophy, religion and intellectual life, the case of the Caribbean is quite different. In this relatively close and easily accessible region the simultaneous operation of all the important European powers destroyed the existing cultures and societies. In the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean. already in the first century after the conquest, indigenous culture was oppressed to such an extent, that it was deprived of any significant role in the future of the Caribbean. In the case of the island territories the Amerindians were simply exterminated, and in the Guianas, those who survived were

chased far enough into the immense Amazon hinterland to leave the fertile coastal areas virtually depopulated for an undisturbed colonial enterprise.

In the case of the Caribbean, lacking a scientific or intellectual tradition, no form of resistance existed at all in the process of implantation of the imported social sciences. It should be noted that even in the case of powerful Asian cultures with a rich millenary tradition, social sciences from the North Atlantic, alien as they were, could easily dominate the scene. Although social scientists in Asia have often tried to incorporate their rich tradition and national cultural background in the social sciences of their countries, it could not influence substantially in their development, given the general climate of domination, as can be appreciated from the descriptions of the development and the state of the social sciences in the different countries of Asia, and the Pacific compiled by UNESCO (1984).

In the case of the social sciences in the Caribbean, the tradition of local social thought did not form a countervailing force because of the ideological dominance of colonialism in intellectual life.

Negro slaves and Indian, Javanese and Chinese indentured owhere, who generally belonged to the lowest strata of their societies of origin, were not the bearers of African and skian intellectual traditions. Once in the Caribbean, they were long deprived of any opportunity of education or intellectual development, as they were too much precoupled with trying to survive across the centuries, beneath the burden of the forced labour of an opporsessed class.

Only in the twentieth century, a privileged proportion of them could form an educated segment, and it was recently, in the post-war period, that the emancipation process of the Caribbean masses could breed new ideological currents that were able to play a significant role in the indigenization of the social sciences.

When, therefore, Western social sciences were introduced by foreigners and by nationals who had studied abroad, no important nucleus of resistance existed in the Caribbean against their implantation; as a result, they were easily assimilated in the colonial environment, and could acquire even more prestige than in Europe itself

It can therefore be concluded that incipient academic life in the regional social science was a product of the Western tradition without a significant countervaling force of some national or indigenous culture, tendency or thought in its implantation and early development. But this would not last lone, as we are about to see

When just before mid-century the social sciences were introduced in the Caribbean, all the ingredients were present for a convulsed development in a constant search for adaptation, reinterpretation and indigenization, and it is to this development that we now turn

III. The Development of the Social Sciences in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean

Social science, although it was taken from an alien environment and historical context, once implanted in the Caribbean region as a social product of North Atlantic, and particularly European origin, could not remain divorced from social reality. In its orientation and the issues and priorities it focused on, it had to adjust itself to the ongoing social, political and ideological processes and developments in the Caribbean societies, even though its disciplines, theories, models and methodology were not designed for such purposes.

In the small Caribbean societies where personal face-toface relations assume particular significance in social and political life, every major vibration of society was seismographically registered in the social sciences. What had been a colonial archipelago accompanied by three mainland colonies for almost four hundred years had become transformed in only two decades, into a convulsed geopolitical region of independent states. These drastic and sweeping changes are reflected in an equally impressive development of the social sciences in the region.

It was these processes and developments in the post-war period that articulated the insertion of the social sciences in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean, and that led to an adjustment and adaptation that necessarily meant a process of indigenization of the social sciences in the region.

Up to the 1940s, economic literature on the English Speaking Caribbean, according to Brown and Brewster (1974: 48), was dominated by official reports of the United Kingdom Government. But in recent decades there has been such an explosion of social science publications in the Caribbean, that it has now become extremely difficult to cover the field, I alone keep up with the new literature.

In the meantime the social sciences have been institutio alized throughout the region and major social science conce

tualizations have been developed or domesticated for region use, while others have been applied without modification.

In the process of indigenization, along with more toleral

attitudes, a defensive and even senopholic reaction developed which rejected everything considered alien in the sociences, but it had to be abandoned later, when it we generally recognized that the alleged uniqueness of the Ca ibbean social sciences could not be sustained.

From the internal dynamics of the Caribbean social se ences a more critical approach started to question the delimitations of the different social science disciplines and the relative autonomy, while an awareness grew that existin theory was marginal if unrelated to praxis and politics. A times this awareness even led to a challenging of the statu quo.

In order to follow these developments carefully our stude of the social sciences in the region will focus on the followin interrelated dimensions: the impact of ideological current be indigenization of the social sciences, the transcendence uniqueness, the transcendence of the separate disciplines the institutionalization of the social sciences, and the relation between theory and praxis.

It should be noted, however, that even though certain

It snows on the control of the contr

The dimensions that we distinguish are therefore analytical devices for a systematic study of the regional social science rather than descriptors of its anatomy.

et.

al

1. Ideological Currents

In the post-war period, a number of ideological currents which developed in the turbulent social reality of the Caribbean societies, permeated the social sciences of the region.

The colonial ideology, the traditional belief system of the clonial intelectuals different from what La Guerre 1982 calls the "colonial intellugantsia") was still intact, although under heavy attack. It represented an amalgam of social and though a local domestic flavour was added to it, the self-widen nature of colonial dependence was not questioned. It was, however, on the defensive, as it was challenged by three belief systems "that swept over the region with all the force of a tropical hurricane", as Gordon Lewis (1985a: 23) put it. An anti-colonial and post-colonial and post-c

emerged in conjunction with the process of decolonization formed the major ideological current throughout the region, while the Black Power movement mobilized the black masses and Marxism became a factor in the region. (See G.K. Lewis 1955a: 23-24).

These three belief systems were expressions of the rapid emancipation process of the black and brown masses, as a consequence of changes which took place in education, urbanization and social mobility. The rise of the labour movement and the political mobilization of the masses, along with the social and political outbursts, were the visible manifestations of a rapidly changing social reality.

The nationalist ideology was the logical culmination of the process of emancipation of the local subordinated masses in colonial society. It has been the most substantial and permanentideological undercurrent of the last halferentury in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean, and it was influencial in the rise of the other two belief systems. The three leading currents were therefore not rival approaches, but could exist side by side with substantial overlate. Since the beginning of the twentieth century, conditions matured that could lead to the rise of the nationalist ideology and movements of the region. Wendell Bell and his associates amply address this issue in studies undertaken since 1296 (Bell and Oxaal 1964; Bell 1967, 1974, 1980; Oxaal 1967).

(Bell and Oxaal 1964; Bell 1967, 1974, 1980; Oxaal 1967).

In Bell's view there is a favourable climate for the rise of

nationalist movements, when clear-cut inequalities between the local inhabitants and those who represent the foreign power exist and are considered as unjust by a critical number of people conscious of them, and when a local elite emerges of that is able to mobilite, organize and lead a social movement that is able to mobilite, organize and lead a social movement that considers further emancipation and substantial socialisation and substantial socialis

Both in the British and the Dutch West Indies these conditions matured after World War II, but although nationalist ideology has been the dominant current in the subregion, its role should be correctly assessed and not overstated.

nationalism in the region did not culminate in a resistance movement for national liberation, since it did not meet with fisrere opposition from the colonial powers in the region that hebe come aware, as a result of colonial experiences elsewhere, that colonialism was outdated historically, and were therefore more inclined to look for a peacefully negotiated independence, before the conditions for nationalism could mature to the extent that a milliant political anti-colonial mass movement could emerge in the region.

Unlike in a number of other Third World countries,

The independence of India and Ghana shook the British Empire, and the embarassing experiences of Holland with the violent decolonization of Indonesia led by Sukarno since the early 1940s had not been without repercussions in the Dutch West Indies.

West Indies.

The relatively unproblematic decolonization of the British and Dutch West Indies prevented the anti-colonial intelligents a from politicizing the masses into a broad, militant.

anti-colonial movement and reduced decolonization to political independence, saddling the fragile post-colonial states with the difficult tasks of economic and cultural decolonization.

Another belief system, Black Power, was of a racial origin, and its antecedoats in the region poke to the older Rastafari ethnorshiptous movement which advocated repatriation to Africa. The 'orthodox' Rastafari ethnet did not have a nationalist posture because of its current side and the state and it was herefore perceived by many as detrimental to the movement of self-government and nationalist (Nettleford 1970: 58) in spite of these characteristics it was a socially-based movement induced by the incipient desire for emancipation of the marginal lower class blacks, which had been personified earlier in the figure of Marcus Garvey.

When the utopia of a 'return' to Africa from 'exile' in Badyon (Jamano) was hater abandoned with the convenient rationalization that "Jamanicais Africa", the objective could be transformed into Tubilding Africa in Jamanica' (Nettleford 1870: 101), and a bridge became possible to the more political Back Power movement that was influenced by the Civil Rights movement in the United States, and in which the manazination of the black masses in the region became

militantly manifest.

From an ideological point of view Walter Rodney (1969) provided the awakening and emancipating black masses with

provided the awakening and emancipating black masses with the instruments for mobilization on the basis of a combined class and race approach. Because of his Black Power activism he was refused re-entry to Jamaica where he was lecturing at the University of the West Indies, which led to the violent outbursts in 1968 known as the 'Rodney Riota'.

Rodney would become the most important intellectual activist in the region, since the fusion of scientific analysis and Political activity would be a constant in his life, until it was interrupted by his assasination in 1980 in Guyana because

his leadership capacities were perceived as a serious threat to the regime (James 1982). For Petras (1981: 48) Rodney belongs to the "intellectuals who are committed to social and political democracy, radical egalitarian with freedom".

In ideological terms Rodney represented all three majos belief systems that dominated the region: he was an antcolonial and post-colonial nationalist, a leading Black Powe activats, and a prominent Marxist, and as such he has beer one of the most influential ideological figures among the Caribbean social scientists. He was able to reconcile these currents in a pragmatic way. His strategy for treating the fundamental race-class issue was to depart from the level consciousness of the masses with their racial categorization. Data' and white', and raise it by de-racializing the terms to such an extent that they were reduced to mere metaphors where the terms could stand for dominated and oppressors Rodney's strategy for dealing with the race-class contrader. The results of the strategy of the results of the

In the Caribbean countries with a sizeable East-India population where the race problem is defined predominant; in terms of Creole-East Indian, Black Fower could not appear to the East Indian, Bearle Fower could not appear background. Even Rodney's reinterpretation could not provide a warm reception on their part. This was a problem particularly in Guyana and Trinidad, but less oin Surinam which due to its isolation from the rest of the region and it strong orientation towards Holland, did not develop a significant Black Power movement.

The historical conditions that gave rise to the growth the working class in the Caribbean (Rodney 1981 for Guyann were broadened with the advent of the transnational corporations, particularly in the mining sector. With the urbord leedade of the 1930s, when both the British and Dutch Wes Indies were shaken by social disorder and violent clashes, five ourable conditions were created for the introduction of

Marxist ideology in the region. Even more than the other ideological movements, it offered a radical negation of colonialism since it not only shared the target of decolonization with them, but also strived for a further transformation of society.

The first leading Marxist intellectual in the English Speaking Caribbean was C. L.R. James, particularly after he conducted the first Marxist-based social science study on the region with his case study of the San Domingo Revolution His his leate eightenth century under the leadership of Toussaint Touwerture, which would culminate later in the Haitian Revolution (James 1938).

In the Dutch Speaking Caribbean Anton de Kom (1934) produced the first indigenous historiographic work on Suriname and was to become the symbol of all the later leftist movements in the country.

Both James and De Kom got acquainted with Marxism during their stay in Europe, which was later translated into political activism on their return to the region. James was active in Eric William? People's Astional Movement, but was expelted later because of his Marxism, while De Kom, who teld the most important political mobilization in Suriname before the Second World War, was bamished to Europe where he died in a concentration camp on the vec of the German defeat, after active anti-fascist resistance.

In the political field, Marxism became a significant factor

when Cheddi Jagan started his political activity in Guyana in the early 1950s, which led to the emergence of the largest and most influential Marxist-oriented political party in the rezion.

Although only indirectly and later than in Latin America,

the Cuban Revolution catalyzed the influence of Marxist ideology in the region, which could meet with a short-lived success in the Grenada Revolution (1979-1983).

In the field of the social sciences, James' influence can be traced in the materialist approach of Eric Williams' study 'Capitalism and Slavery' (1944), but it was only in the early 1970s that Marxist social science studies got off the ground with Rodney's (1972) study on Africa that strongly influenced the region and Thomas' (1974) work on dependence a transformation. In the most recent decade, the number Marxist oriented studies have increased with publications Murnee (1977), Post (1978, 1981), Rodney (1981) a Beckford and Witter (1982). Among the Marxist orients oscial scientist's in the region the most comprehensive studare conducted by Clive Thomas, who can be considered at leading figure particularly since his recent works can capitalism and the authoritarian state (1982b, 1984b) and the mode of sugar production (1984a).

In the Dutch Speaking Caribbean, after some public tions of Surinamese leftist student organizations in Holla (Aluminium-Comitá 1970; SSU 1974), it is only recently the Marxist oriented social science studies play a significant ro After a study on the Netherlands Antilles influenced by t. Latin American dependencial -theorists, a number of stant and the studies of the 1890, Heilbron 1892). It can be concluded that Marxist ideology gained

influence in the social sciences of the region while these we wrestling with the problem of their indigenization. At it same time, the two other belief systems, Black Power a mationalism, showed a tendency to stagnate (Benn 198 171). But Marxism was often understood too much as it deology and too little as a method of scientific analysis; me as a ready made model and an elaborated cosmogony than a tool of analysis. It was often unsufficiently realized the although theory and praxis cannot be divorced, committee an every description of the sound of the sound

Changes in the social structure of Caribbean societies at the accompanying emancipation process since the turn of the nd

of

ed

es

te

n

a-

nd

at

e.

ne

n

in

re

35

at

1e

century, were reflected in political and ideological developcents that influenced directly in the development of the social sciences in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean. In particular the three belief systems mentioned as expressions of that changing social reality, influenced in the development of the regional social sociences. The colonial ideology was the natural environment for the transplanted social sciences, Black Power Focused on race as a which for emanciant and post-colonial nationalism (as the permanent boad under-current) filled the agenda with issues such as autonomy and independence, size and viability of self-government, the political system particularly the Westminster system), regional integration, economic growth, underdevelopment and structural transformation.

2. Indigenization of the Social Sciences

The indigenization of the social sciences is the process of adaptation of the current social sciences in order to make them suitable and useful to understand unravel and explain the Caribbean societies and their social processes, by identifying and overcoming the incompatibilities that emerge with their application to the region. The indigenization of the social sciences, however, cannot be equated with an unconditional sciences, however, cannot be equated with an unconditional science, however, cannot be equated with an unconditional nature. Although the social sciences were implanted from nature. Although the social sciences were implanted from outside in the Caribbean, transpinational and does not invalidate them for use in the region. Indigenization, therefor, is not synonymous with the mere substitution of nationals for foreigners or black for white, even though these Processes can provide favourable conditions for its

Historically, the process of indigenization did not constitute a development of new endogenous social sciences which were developed autonomously, but rather, what took place was a domestication and adaptation of existing available social science knowledge and approaches.

Until mid-century social science research on the region was dominated by social scientists from Britain, Holland and the United States. Research issues were motivated by extraregional interests, criteria and scientific curiosity, and a times research objectives seemed to be shaped by some kind of telepathic intuition of Caribbean reality. Social science studies on the region were conditioned by the assumption that current North Atlantic social science paradigms and approaches or some intelligent combination of them would provide the appropriate device to understand and explain Caribbean social reality. For that reason Adlith Brown (1973 295) complained in the case of economics that "much of tha theory which we have inherited does not address itself to the problems which are of primary concern to Caribbean econo mies and as such cannot be a useful guide to analysis or polic in the region".

Foreign interests were a significant factor in the pre-was social sciences. Don Robotham (1984: 112) concludes for socialogy in Jamaica that its growth was connected with the effort of the 'colonial imperalials state to defeat, defect, coopsubordinate and administer' the main social struggles. What is described by him for Jamaica can probably be generalize for the social sciences of the region in general, but only for the early years when British and Dutch colonialism were no sufficiently on the defensive in their Caribbean colonies. Secure social scene in the Caribbean colonies and ratio?

alize colonialism, have been important motives in the sociseience endeavours of colonial origin in the region up to th 1940s. The West Indian Royal Commission Report, the scalled Moyne Report (1945), but was the broadest socistudy on the English Speaking Caribbean until that modest of the colonial original original original original original beam Commission of the Caribbean original original original British and the Dutch Caribbean territories in the 1930s.

But there were also more subtle external influences of an ideological nature in the social sciences. Marietta Morrissev (1976: 98) calls it the "superstructure of subjugation" that is manifested in the "importation and reproduction of rational-

izing ideologies created in the colonial center".

However, this situation in the social sciences was not static because rapid changes were occurring in the region, affecting all aspects of social life and the social sciences would be no exception. The social emancipation of the colonial messes, their urbanization and upward mobility, exerted pressure towards significant social and political changes in the colonies, which was articulated and personified by a leadership of middle class origin and from the intelligentsia, and which led to the emergence of nationalist movements in the Caribbean region.

This new development in the indigenization of the social sciences in the region starts with the case study of C.L.R. James (1938) and the general study of Eric Williams (1944) on canitalism and slavery that challenged current colonial historiography and social science interpretations of the region.

The first product of the indigenization process in the Dutch Speaking Caribbean is Rudolf van Lier's (1949) study on the social history of Suriname, only a year after universal suffrage was introduced in the Dutch colonies.

The development of the social sciences in these two subregions was strongly influenced by these pioneer scholars who were only the forerunners of the first generation of an emerging national intelligentsia and of what was to become a new social science tradition in the Caribbean.

But the development of the social sciences did not take place at the same pace throughout the region. The most important difference is the relatively little attention for theoretical work in the social sciences of the Dutch Speaking Caribbean, where the bulk of the studies has been of a highly descriptive nature.

In the English Speaking Caribbean, Arthur Lewis started to question the validity of Western economic models for the Caribbean, which led to major theoretical adaptations and to his proposals for the industrialization of the Caribbean; while from an anthropological point of view, M.G. Smith provided the concept of 'plural society' introduced in the Caribbean by Van Lier (1949), with a theoretical basis, thus initiating a long social science debate in the region.

In the Dutch Speaking Caribbean, in contrast, the early studies were of a highly descriptive nature, although they did focus on issues related to the ongoing decolonization process, since they addressed the incipient development plans in

Suriname (Sedney 1955; Adhin 1961).

Even within the same colonial linguistic area of the Dutch Speaking Caribbean, a differential development took place. Major social science studies on the Netherlands Antillee would have to wait until the 1970s when Bene Romer (1970, 1977) offered an interpretation of the development of the Curaçae sciety, making use of the plural society model and the results of acculturation studies that were conducted in the region. In the field of economics, it would take even more time before the first works of Japa van Soest (1976, 1978) would appear with an economic historical study on the Curaçae economy and on the development of finance and banking on the island.

This unequal development of the social sciences within the Dutch Speaking Carribbean was a reflection of the different momentum in the decolonization processes in Suriname and the Netherlands Antilles, due to material differences such as the availability of natural resources and the size of their territories, but mostly because of social differences such as population size and a different development of social manipulation size and a different development of social science are informed by a represent social science dependence of the science of the century. While recent studies on Suriname are informed by a repressit social science of the scien

It is only recently that the social sciences on the Dutch Speaking Caribbean start to assume a more analytical charseter. After the general sociological works of Kruijer (1973, 1977) focusing on the relation of the social problems and the development strategies in Suriname, in recent times a more analytical line of study has been developed, based on a political caccession, approach by Marsist-oriented scholars who caccessions approach by Marsist-oriented scholars who calculated analytical historical studies on Surinamese society (Willemsen 1980; Heilbron 1982), while the tradition of highly descriptive studies has not died (Caram 1981; Chin

The reasons why the social sciences in the Dutch speaking subregion were relatively less developed, stem from the small scale of its societies with little more than half a million inhabitants in the entire subregion, but also from a strong orientation towards Holland which fostered the linguistic, cultural and social isolation from the rest of the region.

In the English Speaking Caribbean where Lewis' model was adopted by many governments, early results were not very encouraging, and the first criticisms would soon be heard. Caribbean social scientists trained abroad, along with the first products of the incipient local social science faculties, started to experience frustration in their efforts to identify and deal with the mounting problems of the Caribbean, as they lacked adequate tools both at the analytical and the methodological level. Although these social scientists formed an amalgam of ideological points of view, they coincided on their questioning of the North Atlantic social science paradigms and on their critique of Arthur Lewis, which resulted in the creation of the New World Group. This new generation of scholars linked to the decolonization process that was desperately searching for a more appropriate social science, less out of context and more capable of studying the regional social reality and of tackling its problems, would become an important factor in the indigenization process and in Caribbean social science development in general. At times the New World Group could become even acritically radical, rejecting all theories and paradigms of external origin in their search for a Caribbean social science with its own theory. Even though they obviously overstated their case with the rejection of all things alsen (in a language that came from overseas), it contributed substantially to an undermining of the exaggerated prestige and authority that the Western social sciences and paradigms enjoyed in the Caribbean.

The indigenization of the social science disciplines was first undertaken in the field of history in an effort to declorate historiegraphy, In the English Speaking Caribbean, Eric Williams (1944) early work was followed by his critical review of the discipline itself (1964) that unmasked several sapects of Western historiegraphy's bias. On the Dutch Speaking Caribbean, after the early historical work of Anton Ge Kom (1934) and Van Lier (1949) it was only hirty year: later that further indigenous work to decolonize historiegraph was nonduced by Siweersal (1979) and Hirt (1983).

Several studies (Roberts 1967; Lamur 1973) systematity organized the population data in pioneering demographic work, while the debate on the plural society started to attract the attention of the majority of the social scientists. As these examples indicate, a significant process of the

generation took place in the social sciences of the region. But it should be realized that this only refers to the leading innova tory contributions and the pioneer work, and that it does no embrace the entire production of the social sciences, but rather what would become influential. It should also be remembered that the Caribbean has also been a virgin soil for social scientists trained elsewhere, who instead of a creative application or adaptation of the valuable assets of accumulated social science theory and relevant of the valuable assets of accumulated social science theory and relevant of the valuable science to a valuable science theory and relevant of the valuable science the valu

The general view of Caribbean social scientists in the mi seventies was that theory on Caribbean society was sti weak. Susan Craig (1978: 234-235) complains that "as yet w have no theory about the Caribbean society... what we have i a number of notions, conceptions, perspectives. But all of these ideas are akeletal; they remain shells to which very little content has been given. For Don Harris (1978: 18) "what actists at theory in Caribbean social science research is merely a long string of commentaries, much of which is non-essential in tone and substance." In a more recent review of sociological theorizing Craig (1982b: 143) sustains that "there exists no adequate description and interpretation theoretical framework, if you like of the structure and the dynamics of change of these societies."

It is still too early for us to comment on these assertions; our reaction will have to wait until we have discussed the major contributions in the Caribbean social sciences.

3. The Transcendence of Uniqueness

As a vestion to the prevailing social sciences and a relation of fix current theories and models for the explanation of Caribbean realities, emphasis was put on the uniqueban control of the properties. History was sifed to intend if the version and its societies. History was sifed to intend if the various idiosyncratic elements in the formation of the regional societies, in an effort to justify the need for a specifically Caribbean social science approach and theory. The uniqueness of the Caribbean for appeared to assume the character of a social scientific category, qualification or argument, and there are precious few social scientists working on the region who have not held at least a weak variant of that "general agreement that the Caribbean is a civilization suigeneris', that can be understood only in Caribbean terms' (GK. Lewis 1980b; 229).

But caution is warranted in the application of the term unique' for the Caribbean and in its implications. Every region, every country, and in general every unit is 'unique' or 'au generis', for that is exactly what tautologically differentites as unit from another and makes it a unit; 'uniqueness' does not permit gradations and therefore no country is 'more unique' than apother.

It is for these reasons that the term 'uniqueness' lacks explanatory value and analytical power in the social sciences. and when it has been used uncritically in the Caribbean, it could even narrow the scope of analysis to a parochial outlook by presuming its reality and problems uncomparable with other social realities, even with those of the rest of the Third World

Particularly in the field of the social sciences this can have serious effects. The North Atlantic social sciences should not he rejected because of the uniqueness of the Caribbean, but rather the specific elements in those social sciences that are not appropriate for the study of the Caribbean should be rejected. Only then can an uncritical, imitative use of social science theory, models and methodology be prevented.

The Caribbean societies have many specific problems that undoubtedly demand a specific study, but too hasty an emphasis on uniqueness introduces all the limitations of a parochial viewpoint.

The initial search for a Caribbean social science with its own theory, that was based on the assumption of the uniqueness of the region, slowly lost ground and other approaches took hold, when the awareness grew that the fundamental problems and issues of the Caribbean societies could not be isolated geographically, since they were only special cases or constituent parts of more general Third World problems. This influenced the nature and the scope of social science studies in the region. In the economic field, the conceptualizations were broadened and Beckford's 'plantation economy' (1972) referred to the Third World in general. Girvan incorporated the study of Chile's copper in his analysis of the region when focusing on "corporate imperialism" (1976), and the very concentualizations of dependency (Girvan 1973; Thomas 1974), peripheral capitalism (Henry 1985), and authoritarian state in peripheral societies (Thomas 1984b), were expressions of a transcendence of uniqueness in Caribbean social science

But not only the region as a whole was considered as unique; there also existed an insular myonia. As the Caribbean archipelago and its three mainland territories are fractioned linguistically and geographically, social science scholarship has tended to follow "the territorial imperative, rather than the regional imperative" (G.K. Lewis 1985c: 249), and although specific national issues can justify a national focus, any exaggerated insularity can evidently limit the social science understanding of the region.

An important group of social scientists has been alert capitats this tendency and has managed to overcome the imputest division lines in the region. In this respect Eric Williams (1944, 1970) is a leading example, which was followed by later scholars like Gordon Lewis (1968, 1983), Juan Bosch (1970), David Lewenthal (1972, and Gerard Pierre Charles (1981, 1985, Allof them were aware of the regional nature of the major problems of the individual Caribbean countries. This tendency is also reflected in a number of important readers on the region (Mathews et al. 1966; Horwitz 1971; Price 1979; UNESCO 1981; Craig 1981, 1982; Lowenthal and Comitas with their 1978 volumes.

But this tendency was still too much limited to the Caribbean area and traditionally the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean remained isolated from Latin America due to the language barrier, cultural differences, divergent national and geopolitical interests, differences in the social political history and an overdoes of prejudice. A substantial break-through took place recently with a number of studies by hongative mutual perceptions. The annual conference of the Caribbean Studies Association seems to provide a meeting place for social seience interaction across the linguistic division lines that can influence positively further social science integration in the hemisphere.

4. The Transcendence of Discipline

Given its early history, the logical point of departure (
Carbbean social science was a narrow und-discipline)
proach, because the social scale and the social scale to the social scale and the social scale scale and the social scale s

It should be remembered that no objective criteria for t differentiation of social science into separate disciplines of be advanced and, therefore, any delimitation is based subjective criteria which are derived from social developme and the challenges and interests in a particular social-histo context. Therefore, it is not the subjective nature as such, I rather the specific current disciplines that emerge the should be questioned for their applicability and possi shortcomings in the Caribbean context. Furthermore should be noted, that it is not the principle of specializat that should be questioned, since the complex subject may of human society demands an elaborate division of labour its study. What should be rejected is the fragmentation of social sciences into more or less autonomous disciplin which are not connected by systemic ties or interrelations t can prevent a fractional study of society.

Bearing in mind its fragmented character and the Epean bias in its origin, we can now take a closer look at significance of the current departmentalization of social ence in the new Caribbean environment and, for that purp we shall focus our discussion on a few, selected disciplin

History as a field of study, unless it is understood in see of historical sociology, is not a discipline with its 'historical theory', but rather a methodological device recovering the data of the past. Thus, history canno considered an "autonomous social science but an auxilia all the social sciences" (Duverger 1967: 62). This is one of the reasons why, in the Caribbean social sciences, the existence of history as a separate discipline has been questionable from the very beginning. The first resistance against the existing social science disciplines came precisely from history with the work of Eric Williams (1944) on capitalism and slavery which was not historiography, nor sociology nor economy, not even a linear combination of them. This critical stand in the field of history is not surprising in an atmosphere of decolonization. since that constituted the most logical point in the social sciences where the search for a national identity first could manifest itself.

Orlando Patterson (1967) tries to handle this problem of the disciplines by calling his 'historic' study of the negro slave society in Jamaica "The Sociology of Slavery", while Walter Rodney (1972) writes 'history' analyzing how Europe under-

developed Africa.

p-n-

th

ne n

he an

on

ric

at ble

it

ion

ter

the

es.

hat

the

sci-

ose,

es.

the

wn

for

be:

v of

Traditional historiography on the Caribbean has been ruling-class-biased, as is demonstrated by Eric Williams when he tellingly remarks, with regard to slavery, that the "British historians wrote almost as if Britain had introduced Negro slavery solely for the satisfaction of abolishing it" (Williams 1964: 182)

It is in Caribbean history, understood both as the crystallized human past and the scientific discipline related to it, where the limits of the social sciences for the study of the social history of the region are most clearly revealed. In the Caribbean an indigenous historiography has always faced serious obstacles in its search to uncover the past, because the traces history has left behind are highly biased towards ruling class interests, and thus constitute a selective sample from the events of the past. In the context of the dominated colonial societies of the Caribbean this has taken extreme forms. The historiography of Caribbean oppression, for example, suffers from the inherently insuperable limitation that the most direct witnesses of atrocities and crimes have been their victims, and even the reminiscences of their deaths have been systematically erased. In such conditions of unrecoverable evidence, the social sciences inevitably come to a dead end in the reconstruction of the past. To try to drive the social sciences beyond that point can lead to another perversion in which history becomes political activitim instrumental for the creation of national symbols and national identity. But ne every angry slave was a rebel, nor every rota an insurrection, nor every rotten a heroe, unless history is confused with ancestor worship. It is between these two extremes that Caribbean history as a social science must find its way.

Particularly in the mainland Caribbean societies the livere of sociology and anthropology, which derives from its North Atlantic origin, obtained a remarkable dimension in the post-war period. What Western anthropology defined an approached as an isolated community constituted for the emerging state the "sociological" problem of the incorporation of minorities in the national society as part of the emancipa tion of the peoples in the decolonization process. Although it has been claimed that anthropology pursue

Although it has been claimed that anthropology pursue extra-geographical generalizations for the study of the origin and development of human societies, it was often criticized for serving specific non-scientific interests and, on the othe hand, for being excessively nourished by the romantic fastention for the exotic, along with the curiosity of discoverin unknown as yet unexplored civilizations, geared towards receptive North Allantic audience for its finding the

An extreme example from the region is an article functioned in a bibliographical study by Richard Price (1976: 56 which was written after three brief trips chiefly to collewoodcarvings in Surriame's interior, with a title in which only the articles and prepositions lacked esnessionalists "Africa's Lost Tribes in South America, An On-the-spot A count of Blood Chilling African Rites of 200 Years Ago Preserved Intact in the Jungles of South America by a Tribe Runaway Slaves' (Kahn 1939).

Western anthropology overtly or tacitly, was promote and supported by metropolitan administrations because of instrumentality to the material and social vost reduction of colonial domination. A revealing example can be found in Duchs clonialism, where a social science discipline evolved, cailled 'Non-Western Sociology' (also 'Sociology of the Non-Western Eopology'). According to a Dutch encyclepodial of sociology (De Valk 1977: 195) "it is a specialization in the social sciences which arose in the Netherlands out of the so-called indology, the training of government officials in the colonies, particularly in the Dutch East Indica. When the indology became superfluous because of the independence of Indonessia, the need still existed for a social science directed to application in the Third World, and that was called Non-Western Sociology".

In recent times there is increasing consensus that the differences between sociology and anthropology are fading away; but holding modernization responsible for that is only another prove of eurocentrism.

In an effort to save the autonomy of these disciplines, even prettigeous anthropologists resort to spurious arguments, like distinguishing disciplines by the difference in the methods they apply, as for example is done by Levi-Strauss (1960: 20 and 30). Methods however although they are intrinsically related to it, are strictly instrumental to a discipline and external to its object of study. They are an artefact of dealing with questions that arise, and their value is not given by any their degree of instrumentality for a specific discipline or science, and it is for that reason that a distinction between disciplines can never be based or justified by the methods they apply.

In the Caribbean, demography as a discipline obtains particular significance, since its societies were implanted by forced migration and modified by intra-regional population movements to re-allocate the labour force, while major social problems found an outlet in the mass migration to the colonial metropolis, vasing the same martime routes in the wake of **propriated Caribbean wealth. However, there has been retry little demographic logic in the population figures of the **propriated Caribbean wealth.** Caribbean. It can be calculated, for example for Surinams from the figures of Van Lier (1945: 92 and 134), that the number of alaves and freedmen at the time of abolition was eight of the total amount of imported slaves to the colony. A significant dose of "sociology of oppression" is estyle of Gerard Pierre-Charles' Battians study (1973) in needed to make demographic figures meaningful under suci circumstances.

Crucial concepts of demography like population pressure and overpopulation which are important in the small territories of the Caribbean, cannot be defined demographically Caribbean cannot be defined demographically cannot be considered to the control of control of the control of the control of the control of control of the control of

For these reasons it has always been difficult to distinguish in the Caribban between demography and other sociations disciplines like economics and sociology, unless it stripped to the "algebra" of figures on population statistics. F. the field of economics this interveaving with demography, the present in the issue of size, which strengthened, under the control of the control

These brief remarks on the place of the current societies cliciplines in the region are intended to illustrate is kind of problems that the fragmentation of social scien implied for the study of the region, and to explain why ear indigenizing social science in the Caribbean was heatiant follow the strict division lines of the current disciplines. Traces, however, they have left behind in the developments

the region should seriously be taken into account. In this regard, Lloyd Braithwaite already signalized in the fifties (1957a: 100), that the fact that sociology was not taught in the British Caribbean in the 1950s is related to the "relative underdevelopment" of the social sciences in the United Kingdom, where it "hardly achieved recognition".

The awareness grew that separate autonomous social science disciplines constituted a serious problem for the study of Caribbean societies, and already toward the end of the 1950s Vera Rubin recommended to anthropologists working in the region to "borrow the resources of other social science

disciplines" (1957b: 120).

ne

al

16

ce

to

ne

in

In the late sixties and early seventies the New World Group would adopt a multi-disciplinary approach, trying to broaden the disciplinary focus by drawing on history, sociology, anthropology and geography" (Beckford 1972: xxiv-xxv) in their economic studies, in order to achieve disciplinary integration. But this new tendency was still of a somewhat limited scope because of the predominantly economic background of its scholars. The trend towards overcoming the limitations of the

disciplines continued, and at an ISER-seminar on methodology and research orientations in 1975 with social scientists of most of the disciplines, there was a general agreement that Caribbean social science research should be interdisciplinary "in scope and thrust" (Lindsay 1978a: iv).

In more recent times, due to a tendency to implement more holistic approaches, (such as the rise of Marxist-oriented social science in the region), more organic relations between the several disciplines could develop.

Although the case for interdisciplinary social sciences has by now become popular, and few scholars will object to it, that does not mean that one of the tiresome problems can be struck out on the check list of the Caribbean social sciences, Gordon Lewis still notes for contemporary North American-sponsored research and publication that most of it reflects the "(North) Americanization of academic studies dominated by an excessive departmentalization of thought and research (G.K. Lewis 1985a: 32).

(G.K. Lewis 1985a: 32).

At the present the awareness of the need to integrate the disciplines is generally acknowledged in the region, but stipoorly translated into practice, as will be appreciated later

5. Institutionalization of the Social Sciences

The social processes in the twentieth century whice cultimated in decolonization and political independence form the direct background to the institutionalization of it social sciences in research and training institutes in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean.

In general the developments in higher education in the region got only directly related to the national and developmental interests of the Caribbean countries in the course this century.

In the age of slavery and the early years of indenture it radius of action of education was limited to the represent tives of the colonial power, and the early attempts to extablishigher education in the region like the Codrington College Barbados in 1800 (Bratihwatte 1958), were unrelated to the educational needs or the social and economic interests of the social and economic interests of the colonial co

A peculiar semi-exception of interaction between colon and national interests can be found in the history of high education of Suriname, where due to a curious colon circumstance a School of Medicine was established in the 19 century. As a consequence of protest movements in independent of the control of

At the turn of the entury there already existed certain potential for the expansion of formal education among the scinipent coloured middle classes, and pressure in the education. The field required the growth of primary and secondary education. The initial demand for higher education in the field of the social sciences could be satisfied by scholarships to Britain and Holland, but with the post-war developments in nationalism and decolonization, an institutionalization of the social sciences became an urgent need.

When social science training was established in the region in the post-war period Britain and Holland were directly involved in their institutionalization. In the case of the English Speaking Caribbean the University of London, and in the case of the Dutch Speaking Caribbean a number of Dutch universities (Amsterdam, Tilburg, Groningen) were the di-

rect patronizers.

e

aì

er al th

n-

e,

he

At the University of the West Indies, the teaching of economics at Mona began with a core of British researchers (Beckford 1984: 47), some of which were graduate students from the United Kingdom conducting field research in the West Indies, who for the most part knew very little about the Caribbean environment (bibd).

As an overseas dependency of the University of London, the University College of the West Indies was established 1948 for the British West Indies, with its campus at Mona Clamatica), but it was only in 1959 that a social sciences degree was introduced. From 1956 to 1952 during the short-lived West Indian Federation it functioned as a regional university with British Culama as a contributing territory. It was the only regional institution that survived the collapse of the Federation, when the beams independent from the University of London in 1962 and was established officially at Mona as the University of the West Indies," while two additional campuses were founded at Cave Hill (Barbados) and St. Auquestica (Chrindad) in 1963.

In the case of the University of the West Indies, the institutionalization of social science training could be based on ongoing research, since the Institute of Social and Eco-

nomic Research (ISER) had already been established in 195 at Mona, and was expanded later to Cave Hill in 1962 and t St. Augustine in 1970. The establishment of the Institute International Relations in Trinidad in 1966 stimulated ar other field of research in the region. (See Williams and Harve

The University of the West Indies as a central institution was weakened as a consequence of the persisting crises in th regional integration movement and of the increasing insula nationalism that led to decentralization and to an increase autonomy of the different campuses. It is to be expected the factors external to the academic institution will remain influ ential for its future development, particularly those origina ing in the political ambit, since economic crises tend to h hardest in the social and educational sectors. In the meantin the different campuses are expanding into other areas n assigned to them, apparently to be prepared for any sudde split.

The establishment of the University of Guyana in 19 brought an end to its participation in the regional universi for the British Caribbean. Social science training, that start in the same year, could not count on a research institute a it was only in 1975 that the Institute of Development Stud was established (Fletcher et al. 1987).

The University of Suriname was established in 1968 a its Faculty of Social and Economic Studies started in the year of independence in 1975, two years before its research ins tute, the Institute of Economic and Social Research (IES which is now called IMWO), while the University of t Netherlands Antilles was established in 1979 with a Facu of Social Sciences which offers a course in management, the still lacks the support of a research institute, and in Arub recently established university (1988) is starting off with first courses in law.

The institutionalization of the social sciences in English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean is relatively recer compared with Latin America, where it goes back to arou the 1930s in the cases of Argentina (in 1927), Brazil, Mer ×

t.v

nd

ti-

he

ltv

at

a a its

he

nd ico and Chile (Sonntag 1988: 70). However, in the majority of the countries of that region it only expanded substantially "in the inter of global captual majority and the second world war and the second war and

In general, the movement in support of separate national universities is induced by two factors: an expanding demand universities is induced by two factors: an expanding demand in the national society for highly qualified staff and a rejection of quiumt and educational dependence on training institutes abroad. The establishment of national universities avoided the disraptive massive temporal emigration to the metropole of the talented youth from the Caribbean societies, a proportion of which would never return, and it responded also to the more recent impossibility for the small indebted countries of sustaining a permanent allocation of foreign currency to support an expatriate student population, when less aliented graduates could be prepared in the national context. (See also Van Lier 1968: 6)

However, the recent tendency in the smaller countries of

the region to establish their own independent universities can be questioned, as they will be able to sustain an acceptance when the control of the control

and changes in the University of the West Indies convincingly testify, particularly when pressure was executed to "separate the constituents parts" of the system when "the island nations and their leaders went their separate political ways" (Waggoner and Waggoner 1986; xii).

Some institutionalized or semi-institutionalized social science cooperation across the linguistic division lines cluding the Spanish and French Speaking Caribbean), seem to be the next priority in the institutionalization process of the social sciences in the region. The UNESCO-sponsored "Consortium Graduate School", an institutional cooperation of the University of the West Indies and the still to be incorporated University of Suriname, is first initiative that direction.

first initiative that points in that direction.

The institutionalization of the social sciences, although

not itself equivalent to indigenization, constitutes an important condition for its realization. But particularly in sma territories engaged in 'solos' which still depend too much on the old metropolis, as in the case of the Netherlands Antille and Aruba, institutionalization can be detrimental to indenization, as in the early years of the University College the West Indies of which Bratthwatte notes that, 'althoug vaguely coneview of as serving West Indies of the West Indies of which Bratthwatte notes that, 'althoug vaguely coneview of as serving West Indian interests in West Indian tortext, the University was primarily biogard. West Indian for the best of Bratian abrased 'Gratthwatt

6. Theory and Praxis

Theory and praxis have never been divorced in the Carinbean social sciences. It should be noted that, unlike developments in other historical contexts, the Caribbean social side ments in other historical contexts, the Caribbean social scenes have never been in an 'vory tower' nor divorced for social reality. Social science practice in the calm colonial do of the Caribbean was shorn of a colonial praxis in defense of the status quo and social peace, while, on the other hand, for their very inception in the early post-war period, the indige

izing social sciences were immersed in nationalism and decolonization.

Defense of the status quo and the securing of social peace. challenged by the awakening masses in emancipating societies throughout the region, mark the early twentieth century development of social science research. Up to Second World War, the social sciences were instrumental to law and order, to the status quo and to a tranquil administration of the colony. They were informed by the interests and the concerns of the colonial power, which led for example to the research and consultations in 1938-39 of the Moyne Commission (Moyne Report 1945), that was a direct reaction to the colonial concerns about the social upheavals that accompanied the region in the 1930s, as we have already seen.

With the indigenization process under the influence of the already prestigeous nationalist ideology and the progress of decolonization the social sciences became directly involved in the general movement challenging the colonial status quo in a search for new, viable options.

As a result, the complicated philosophy of science problems related to the de-mystification of the social sciences, to the commitment of social science, and to the relation of theory and praxis, which so much plagued the European intelligentsia, have never figured on the agenda of the social sciences in the Caribbean.

A technocratic social science approach conceiving the regional social science as "value free" and "objective" found an advocate in Acton Camejo (1970). This line of thought however, did not develop into a significant current in the region, partly because of its outdated conception. (In the West European social sciences themselves it had already come under heavy attack with the rise of the 'Frankfurter Schule' and its 'Positivismusstreit' (Adorno et al. 1972)).

Nevertheless, it did count with a number of tacit adherents among the more technocratic social scientists of the Caribbean, who conformed to burocratic settings in an attitude derived from the dependent position that characterizes most social scientists, deprived as they are of options for their establishment as independent professionals.

Arthur Lewis was the first to translate the regional soc science into practical models by proposing an elaborat development strategy for the region. The rapid development and changes occurring in the Caribbean social-political reties forced a new generation of social scientists to search less dependent and more indigenous models of developme The most important expression of this was the 'New Wo Group', but as we shall see later, it was not sufficien embedded in the ongoing social processes in the region.

More recently the capitalist model itself has been qu tioned; however, without offering convincing alternatives the particular Caribbean reality. Nevertheless, the transit to socialism became an important issue in the region un the influence of Marxist-oriented social scientists (Thor 1974: Rodney 1978).

Politics in general tends to be demagogical, power p serving and particularistic, and to focus on perceivable salable successes, preferably within the government te which usually is detrimental for a mid and long term plant and, consequently for structural changes. This holds a fort in the case of the Caribbean with recently structured poorly institutionalized states, in which politics is unsu ciently codified or rational, and too recent to possess a s orienting tradition, and therefore, has been unsufficie development-oriented, or open to social science recommer tions. The social scientists themselves were not always aw of this reality, and they "imagined that political processes a higher degree of rationality than was actually the case Nowotny (1986: 406) observed in general for the Third Wo This is one of the reasons why a number of social scientists to operate to certain extent on the margin of Caribb society and development, since they had to deal with politics and politicians generated by a competitive parlian tary system that privileged demagogy, charisma, mobi tion capacity and racial group leadership, as the releits

nt

rld

esfor

ion

185

ınd

m.

ing

and

ffi-

olid

ıtly

da-

are

had

. as

rld.

had

en

iza:

ant

factors for upward political mobility and for the location of people in positions of command of state power and resources.

The university itself, as a government-funded and sustained institution in the region, possessed a limited capability to challenge the status quo and to contribute to transformation. Eric Williams clarifies this when he observes that: "The University of the West Indies has generally had to cut its cloth to conform with the priorities of its contributing governments, and this can quite confidently be expected to be intensified" (Williams 1974: 11), and therefore, the "Governments, paying the piper, will more and more call the tune" (ibid.: 9). For Maurice Odle (Lindsay 1978b: 131-132) the social scientists were following the Government's policy making line rather than acting as "vanguards in policy making". But the experiences of the New World Group and particularly of Walter Rodney have shown that institutional possibilities to inform public policy are scarce unless one does not challenge the status quo and presents no danger or threat to the interests of the political elite. The opposite case of Arthur Lewis also proves it; he was critical of current social science paradigms, but did not challenge the position of the political elites in the region, and as a response there was not only tolerance, but he was even regularly consulted by the governments. An independent social science will always be viewed with

An impependent social science will always be viewed with subjection by whatever government comes to power, and this reates a difficult dilemma. Since social scientists, particularly in the peripheral capitalist societies, lack an independent profession and significant alternative sources of incident entry profession and significant and control and significant alternative sources of mission of the society and of the west profession inevitably lead social scientists to deal with urgent and acute occial problems and their causes, in order to advance strategies for change and transformation. The result can be embarassing times for these who "pay the piper". The history of the

Caribbean social sciences is full of examples of the kind of conflicts this state of tension can generate, from the haras ment Carl Stone (1984) experienced in political polling, is spite of previous accuracy in election forecasts, to the assaismation of Walter Rodney.

There have been several reactions of Caribbean soci, scientists to this dilemma, which can be grouped under for general headings: conformism, neutrality, emigration an rebellion.

The sacrifice of autonomy led to uncritical support and a rewarding conformism, which demanded a high price be cause of the abandomment of scientific integrity. Neutral impartial 'social scientists locating themselves at an equiditant position between the extremes, claimed independent because of their criticisms of both positions. However, the comfortable position in the middle' was defined in function the extremes, which made it dependent on both because of the confortable position in the middle' was defined in function in the extremes, which made it dependent on both because of its conformation of the conformation of

"backward" metropolitan social science institutes, less merized by politics, deprived the region of a number of its me talented social scientists. For those who stayed, demands of autonomy and a rejection of the status quo by social scientist looking for structural transformation have often led to host relations between the social sciences and the government. Although these four types give a clear illustration of t

kind of reactions the dilemma of the social scientists count involve, fortunately they are not limitative, as other pri matic solutions can be found within the specific social a political contexts with a less rigid contraposition of confi mity and conflict. However, it should be borne in mind, there are no harmonious conflict-free solutions for dilemma and as Greene comments for the social sciences in the 19⁶ given the developments of the state system, especially in it independent territories, social science research which is bound to be "subjective" (Terene 1844: 22).

ne id go d re at is, ne d-

as

In the search for pragmatic options between 'subversion' and uncritical support' there is one element that should be seed under all circumstances. The social sciences in the region, particularly in the field of research, should enjoy a raininum level of autonomy, that protects its mid and long uren projects from conjunctural political changes or swings of government, and although no full institutional independence can exist, a fair degree of inertia should be built in, to guarantee a minimum level of continuity as the limiting condition for progress in the development of the social sciences in the region.



IV. Major conceptualizations

The several conceptualizations that have governed half a century of social sciences in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean should be approached as concrete manifestations of a general development, that was closely related to the social development of the Caribbean societies.

Impressive work has been done on a wide variety of issues, from highly authoritative studies to ephemeral publications and from a broad spectrum of ideological viewpoints, disciplinary approaches and rival paradigms. The result is a Caribban social science research of such a diverse nature, that its seems hardly possible to bring a meaningful order into the wide array of contributions.

For a systematic study, the first step is the selection of the ordering principal attracture the 'disorder'. An obvious device is principal by current social science itself in the form of the wake of the social science review have followed the studies of the social science disciplines, and although interdisciplinary tendencies were identified, agental understanding of social science development in the region could handly be achieved with that approach.

The separate disciplines have been influential and at no time lost their authority in the region, but we shall not follow the tradition of focusing on them in the assessment of the local sciences in the Caribbean because, as we indicated before, their very existence creates a fragmentation of the social sciences that should be object of study. We discussed already the North Atlantic origin that made their applicability in the region questionable, and it was also shown that their characteristic control of the co

1 Classification of Social Science Conceptualizations on the Region

A different ordering principle of a methodological nature that is useful for understanding the mass of the social science work on the region is the 'unit of analysis', on which a particular conceptualization is based. The 'unit of analysis' is not located in a particular social science discipline, but in social reality itself; it is the part of social reality that is taken as the point of departure of the analysis and as the basis on which a conceptualization of the society is constructed.

Two dimensions of the unit of analysis will be focused on: the social sphere to which it belongs, and its level. For the classification of the social science conceptualizations on the region the relevant social spheres to which the unit of analysis can belong are: culture, economy, social structure and politics, while its level can be: enclave, subnational section, national, regional and international level.

These two dimensions, when represented in a matrix form, produce a classification that proves to be useful to order meaningfully most of the conceptualizations and research settings of the social sciences on the region, as is done in Table 1

But before we begin our discussion, we should stress that the matrix is only a schema and not a substitute or analogy for social reality. Neither does it pretend to provide a onedimensional classification or a typology, since our objective is not the establishment of types but rather a meaningful ordering of the mass of existing conceptualizations.

We can now take a closer look at the classification. The unit of analysis refers to the basic methodological tool used in a conceptualization and to what is privileged over the rest, but it does not refer to the range of its theoretical statements. To make this point clear, although in the concentualization of 'class society' statements are also made about culture, its unit of analysis is of an economic nature.

The enclave level is an isolated setting which is conceived of as an extra-geographic entity that does not form a constituent and integrated part of the larger national society. In cultural studies in the Western anthropological tradition. attention was paid to the Amerindian community that was amply studied in the mainland territories of the region, and to the Guiana maroon societies. Enclave economies are identified in earlier historical periods, particularly in "the hinterland of conquest" (Best 1968), while an enclave study that focused on social structure was done by Richard Price (1976) who dedicated attention to the social structure of the Saremaka maroon society. In the sphere of politics at the enclave level studies were done on the Maroon Kroetoe System, which is a system of administration at the local (village) and society (tribe) level, based on public meetings in which quarrels are settled and major decisions are taken in collective deliberations aiming at the achievement of consensus or acceptable compromises (Thoden van Velzen 1966; Price 1976).

In the conceptualization at the subnational level(Table 2) the unit of analysis is a section of the national society, which forms a constituent part of it. It is generally characterized by the dominance of one section, while the social response against factionalism consists of a national unification tendency.

The 'plural society' is a cultural model at the subnational level, based on ethnic group (cultural section) as the unit of analysis, with a dominant cultural section (white in the case of Jamaica). Acculturation is the social response with the homogeneous society as the ideal long term solution.

In the dual economy model based on Lewis' two-sector

senony, the modern economic sector is dominant, and industrialisation is the response to overcome the dustity of the senoney, leading to self sustaining growth. Another economy, bead conceptualization at the subnational level is the Pure plantation economy 'model, with a fragmented economy where the dominant staple forms the leading sector and the dynamics of the staple cycle conduces to quasi-proletarianizations and the rise of the 'national economy'.

CLASSIFICATI	ON OF CONCE (Based	CONCEPTUALIZATIONS AND (Based on the unit of analysis)	NS AND RESE analysis)	JASSIFICATION OF CONCEPTUALIZATIONS AND RESEARCH SETTINGS (Based on the unit of analysis)
Social Sphere	Culture	Есопоту	Social structure Politica	Politica
Enclave	Amerindian Com Enclavo Economy munity Marcon Society	Enclavo Economy	"Saramaka Social "Maroon Structure" System"	"Maroon Kroetoo System"
Subnational	Plural Society	Dual Economy (Le- writ model) Pure Plantation Economy	Dual Economy (Le- Gender Structured Crown Colony win model) Pare Plantation Economy	Crown Colony
National level	Croolo Society	Modern Plantation Stratified Society Economy Class Society	Stratified Society	Parliamentary De- mocracy Authoniam State Socialist State
Regional levol	Afrosmerica	Regional Sconomic Federation Integration	Poderation	Geopolitics

Caribbean Social Science: An assessment

T LEVEL	Response	acculturation	industrialization	quasi-proletariani- zation	women emancipa- tion	decolonization
CONCEPTUALIZATIONS AT THE SUBNATIONAL LEVEL.	Dominant Section	white (Jamaica)	modern sector	dominant staple	male	colonial govern- ment representa- tion
TABLE 2 FIONS AT THE	Section	ethnic group	economic sector (two-sector)	plantation	gender	political sphere
CEPTUALIZA:	Social Sphere	culture	economy	economy	social structure gender	politics
CONC	Conceptualiza- tion	Plural Society	Dual Economy	Pure Plantation Economy	Gender Struc- tured Society	Crown Colony

A subnational conceptualization based on social structure is the 'gender-structured society' characterized by male dominance and by women's emancipation as the social response to achieve a gender-egalization asociety. In the Canibbean societies women occupy a central role in the family structure. This is particularly studied for the crede family in Guyana (R.T. Smith 1956). Jamaica (Clarke 1957), Curaçao (Abraham-Van der Mark 1967) and Karls 1973. Out it is only recently that systematic studies have been conducted on gender (Durant-Conzalez 1976), Powell 1964), particularly in the copying broad regional Women in the Cambbean Project' (Durant-Conzalez 1966).

In the political sphere the 'crown colony' is based on alien control of power, while the domestic social response of nationalms and decolonization movements leads to the rise of the democratic nation state.

At the national level (Table 3) a cultural unit of analysis leads to the conceptualization of 'creole society', that is a product of Afro-Buropean acculturation, but in societies with a sizeable East Indian population a neglect of their cultural identity can stimulate the rise of cultural movements and introduce elements of divergence and conflict.

In the economic sphere the 'modern plantation economy' model which is the outcome of colonial exploitation, the inherent contradiction of foreign domination of an emanistic partial goaciety leads to responses like nationalist movements, decolonization and anti-imperialism. In the 'class society' model, which is a product of the capitalist mode of production, the classic response to class contradiction is class struggle and revolution.

In the "stratified society model", the social structure is characterized by social differentiation as a consequence of differential vertical social mobility, leading to the contradiction of status and income inequality that is handled in the model by reformist responses via social mobilization, for instancia, in the labour movement.

Conceptualiza-	Social Sphere	Outcome of	Inherent	Classical Response to Contradiction
Creole Society	culture	acculturation	neglect of cultural minority	cultural movement
Modern Plantation Economy	economy	colonial exploitation foreign domination	foreign domination	nationalism decolononization
Class Society	economy	capitalist mode of class contradiction production	class contradiction	class atruggle revolution
Stratified Society	social stratifies-	differential vertical mobility	income and status inequality	reformism
Parliamentary Democracy	politica	decolonization	weak social eco- nomic rights	extraconstitutions seizure of power
Populiat Statist System (socialist)	politics	class strugglo	weak individual	
Authoritarian	politics	state capitalism	absence of democ- racy	broad democratic front

In the political sphere, the 'parliamentary democrage's model is a product of decolonization and is characterized by strong individual civil rights and weak social and economic rights, which can lead to extreme responses like the extraconstitutional seizure of power (Grenada 1979, Suriname 1980).

The 'authoritarian state' (Guyana) is conceived of as the result of crises in the periphery that led to a state capitalish that did not lose its class character (Thomas 1984b), and the response to the absence of democracy that characterizes it is a broad democratic front.

The 'populist statist' model (socialist state) is based on class struggle and is characterized by strong social and economic rights with the suppression of individual rights (Stone 1986b). Responses to it can vary from some kind of liberalization to 'rescue missions' of Western democracy by military intervention (Grenada in 1983; Liatin America 'passim'). At the regional level cultural studies focused on Africanerica, economic integration, but federation and, more recently geopolitics were also widely scutted.

It should be noted that the distinction between the national and the regional level is relative, since many 'national societies' have been sub-national units in the shortlived West Indian Federation, and for the countries of the Organization of the Eastern Caribbean States (OECS) the picture is even more complicated.

At the international level a cultural approach led to the conceptualization of African Diaspora which obtained relevance for the Rastafari and the early Black Power movement.

An economic unit of analysis led to the conceptualization of dependency, while global alliances such as the Socialis Block, International Capitalism and the Non-Aligned Movement relate to the structuring into broader spheres, whereas in international politics the East-West rivalry was considered as a maior explanatory variable in many econolitical studies.

The classification in Table 1 also makes it possible to distinguish between cultural, economy-based, social structure-based, and political studies, which will be useful in our discussions of the different conceptualizations in the region.

2. Cultural Models

In a large number of conceptualizations of Caribbean social reality culture has been highly privileged over economy and social structure. The cultural model that forms the hase of these studies can be traced back to traditional Western anthropology where most of these conceptualizations originoted. Culture was conceived of as the basic unit and the building block of the edifice of society. In this line of cultural models, enclave studies were conducted in the region on the Amerindian communities of Guyana, Suriname and Belize. and on the maroon societies in Suriname.

It should be remembered that 'enclave' is used here as a characteristic of the conceptualization and not of the social setting itself; 'enclave' refers to a social setting that is not conceived of as part of the national society, but rather as an extra-national setting which is studied for the sake of extra-geographical generalizations.

Orlando Patterson's study of early slavery (1967, 1970) based on the enclave idea of marginal non-integrated entities with implanted groups that still seemed to be scattered social settings, is criticized by Susan Craig (1982b: 145-146), because of his "nihilist approach", of the "absence of society". In general it should be noted for early Caribbean history that there is no social science justification for excluding the early white colonizer who settled in the colony, from the concept of society.

With the subnational cultural setting as a unit of analysis, the most influential conceptualization has been the 'plural society' model (Van Lier 1949, 1950; M.G. Smith 1965, 1969a). As will be discussed later the unit of analysis of this conceptualization is not the national society, but rather the different cultural sections which it comprises. The cultural sections of the plural society model attracted particularly the attention of foreign scholars who were fascinated by the cultural variety of Suriname and studies were conducted on the only Javanese population group in the New World (De Waal Malefijt 1963; Suparlan 1976) and on East-Indian religion and marriage (De Klerk 1951; Speckman 1965).

At the national level an acculturation approach conduces to the concept of 'creole society' (Goveia 1965; M.G. Smith 1965; R.T. Smith 1967; Brathwaite 1971, 1974), which is a descriptive device to understand a particular aspect of the evolution of the Caribbean societies. The 'Creole' was defined as the "native West Indian of European, African or mixed descent" (M.G. Smith 1965: 307), while the "creole society and culture derives from Europe and Africa" (ibid.: 307). This specific appreciation of the term 'creole society' makes it difficult to use it for more recent stages of Caribbean history, particularly in the societies with a sizeable and influential East Indian population like Guyana, Suriname and Trinidad, where the social meaning and impact of acculturation between 'black' and 'white' cultures assumes other forms. While for M.G. Smith the "West Indian society is Creole society" (1965: 307), for R.T. Smith (1967) it is only one of the three consecutive stages he distinguishes: plantation society, the creole society and the modern society. For him creole society had an important external component, as it "was rooted in the political and economic dominance of the metropolitan power (1967: 234).

In Edward Brathwaite's view there is a creolization proess which is a specialized version of acculturation and interculturation. Acculturation refers to the yoking of one culture to another by force and example or deriving from power of prestige, and interculturation refers to an unplanned, un structured but osmotic relationship proceeding from this yoke (Brathwaite, 1972-5)

(Brathwaite 1974: 5).

At the regional level the cultural model led to the conceptualization of 'cultural sphere' and more specifically of 'Afre America'. Charles Wagley (1987) distinguishes three cultural spheres in the hemisobere: Euro-America and America and

Plantation America. The last term could be taken as synonymous for Afro-America, a conceptualization which was developed some decades earlier under the influence of the black studies' originating in the United States.

At variance with the plural society model, which focuses on the cultural section in the national societies, the cultural sphere approach deals with the cultural section in the whole region, across the boundaries of the national states, but no intent is made to study relations between different cultural spheres in the region(in some sort of 'hemispheric pluralism').

Systematic studies of the African heritage in the Caribbean region did not develop in the region itself, because "changes in the intellectual climate of the United States fostered a new interest among urbane educated Americans in the 'exotic' black cultures of the New World" (Price 1976: 55-56). Soon attention was concentrated on Suriname, where the most interesting maroon societies of the New World were still intact in relative isolation. Melville Herskovits, a United States anthropologist, conducted field work among the Saramaka maroons in the inlands of Suriname in 1928, which laid the basis for the later hemispheric and even transatlantic Afro-American studies conducted by him and his followers. The early studies, done together with his wife, which concentrated on the Surinamese margons (Herskovits and Herkovits 1934, 1936) were broadened to Haiti (1937) and Trinidad (1947), while attempts were made at general interpretations (1941). These Afro-American studies focusing on the acculturation process in the New World of people of African descent, using concepts like survival, retention, syncretism and reinterpretation to identify the traces of Africa in America and to understand the interaction between cultures, have come under heavy attack. It was argued that cultures as such do not meet and interact, but that it is the social groups and their members, the carriers of culture, who interact meaningfully. Therefore, the acculturation process should not be conceived of as an isolated cultural process detached from the broader context of society. These studies were considered to be

"inadequately balanced by studies of the social situation, processes, and structures involved in such change" (M.G. Smith 1961: 36).

The Afro-American studies led to valuable insights into many aspects of negro culture in the region, but they were handicapped by the limitation that too much emphasis was aliad on the tenactiy of African culture in the New World (Braithwaite 1957a: 192-103; see also critical comments of Mintz and Pries 1976). This contributed to foster a reductionist tendency amongst black intellectuals, particularly with the emergence of the Black Power movement, to see the region as part of the African Disapora, overlooking the other ethnic components (GK. Lewis 1983a: 2). To certain extent they influenced the development of social movements that were influenced the development of social movements that were historically sterile, since nostaligia always takes the opposite direction of history, contrary to evolution, development and progress.

Two conceptualizations of the cultural models deserve more attention because of their relevance for an understanding of the development of the social sciences in the Caribbean the 'cultural enclave study', because of its nature of nonindigenized social science research, and the 'pural society model' as the most influential conceptualization in Caribbean social science.

Cultural Enclave Studies

The inlands of the Guianas which blend with the vast Amazone hinterland could harbour many Amerindians who were able to resist and survive European colonialism, which concentrated its plantations in the easily accessible littoral of the Atlantic coast. The unpenetrability of the tropical forests also offered a skell alernative to the marons to establish their free societies which managed to survive several centuries. Towether with the ancient Mayan background of Belize, the variegated character of the three mainland territories of the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean attracted an even more variegated crowd of Western anthropologists, and the region ranked high on the priority list of Western anthropology. A large number of enclave studies were conducted in the region, in which the settings to be studied were not conceived of as belonging to the national society, and could therefore contribute to extra-geographical generalizations about the development and nature of human society in general. The existence of the national society was not denied, but it was too often treated as external to the community under study, and its influence was often seen as dislocative. The enclaves or semi-isolated enclaves were not located in the ongoing irreversible historical processes in which the Caribbean societies were engaged, but were rather treated as timeless, historically transparent social settings. Even though relevant insights were achieved on specific issues like linguistics, folklore and ethnomusicology (an extreme misnomer), from a Caribbean point of view the exotic and deviant nature of life and customs of the communities was overemphasized, at a time when the communities were rapidly fading away under the pressure of interference by religion, education, health care and the money economy. Too little attention was given to the process of their 'de-tribalization' and incorporation into the national society, the indications of which could already be seen on the outskirts of urban centres in the lowest echelons of pre-underground economy and the service sector of prostitution. Development projects in the Guianas with the expanding bauxite sector, hydro-electric works in the interior, roads to distant timber reserves, but also education and airlift medical care, together with 'exotic' Western religions, progressively incorporated Amerindian and Bush-negro communities into the national society. By now it can be seriously doubted whether the traditional Bush-negro community life in the interior of Suriname, which has disappeared to a large extent as a consequence of the recent guerrilla war (since 1986), that left a sizeable part of its people in exile, will ever be restored

In the case of the anthropological research of Hershovig, the studies on the maron societies were not the result of, against interest in understanding the inner life of those social settings and their development, but were initiated to colore fresh evidence to prove contentions and to validate hypothesis that emerged in the United States in debates on the Black, which were an outgrow of North American black, student expectations of the social scientification of the social sciences in the resolution to the indigenization of the social sciences in the resolution to the indigenization of the social sciences in the resolution to

Enclave studies on Amerindian communities in the Guianas were conducted in the southern inlands, like Riviere's (1969) study on Trio marriage, and in general, kinship religion, belief systems and language were the major issues to be highlighted (See Butt Colson and Heinen 1983-84). But research was also done on the coastal Amerindians and the problem of incorporation and integration in the national society was raised, as in Kloos' (1971) study on the Maroni River Caribs in Suriname. An old debate was revived by the development plan he proposed for the integration of the Caribs in the national economy which was criticized by Magana (1981) as an ethnocidal policy of dismantling Carib society. The main issue in this debate (with a rejoinder of Kloos 1981) was whether for such isolated groups, a laissezfaire policy of not disturbing the peacefully living community, should be preferred to an active development of local opportunities, when the process of incorporation in the national society is already under way and cannot be stopped anymore.

Enclave studies based on culture conducted in the region since the inteteenth century in the form of ethnographic accounts (see Price 1976: 48), that were more scientifically based in the present century, did not contribute to the indigenization of the social sciences in the region nor to a better understanding of the development of the Caribbean societies. but this should hardly surprise us given the limitations inherent to the enclave-approach of those studies.

Plural Society

'Plural society' has been the most influential conceptualiration in the Caribbean social sciences. During four decades it has dominated social science discussions in the region in a prolonged debate. It also filtered into other non-academic and political settings where it occupied a major place, an example of which is the symbolization of it in the first national flag of Suriname by five coloured stars standing for the different ethnic groups.

Culture is the basis of the concept of 'plural society', and its unit of analysis focuses on the national cultural sections in

society.

The first point that should be made is, that this model is not originally nor specifically Caribbean. The term was first applied by the economist J.S. Furnivall, when he tried to characterize the colonial environments of the Dutch East Indies (now Indonesia) and Burma, in a comparative study of those countries in the 1930s and 1940s (Furnivall 1939, 1945, 1948). In his description of such a society he observes that: "It is in the strictest sense a medley of people, for they mix but do not combine. Each group holds by its own religion, its own culture and language, its own ideas and ways. As individuals they meet, but only in the market place, in buying and selling. There is a plural society, with different sections of the community living side by side, but separately within the same political unit" (Furnivall 1948: 304).

The plural society was seen as lacking common social values and a common social will. But the conceptualization of the plural society by Furnivall was not strictly cultural since the economic factor was considered important in those colonial societies. As will be seen later this economic dimension would disappear with the resurgence of the model in the Caribbean

Soon the term 'plural society' became very popular within and outside social science literature, because an answer was given with a concept that was easy to grasp, due to its highly descriptive nature, to the problem how to qualify those distant different type of societies found in the 'tropical'.

The first one to apply the concept to characterize a society in the Caribbean was Rudolf van Lier. Influenced by Furnivall's early work on the Dutch East Indies (1939), he considers Suriame in an influential socie-historiest study as "one of the best examples of a plural society" (Van Lier 1949: 8).

In his view the plural society in Suriname finds its origin in the abbiltion of slavery in 1863, and was characterized by the big cultural difference between on the one hand the class of the Dutch white and the middle class of Jewish colonists and free coloured, and on the other hand, the lower class of black workers. This situation of plurality became more complex with the immigration of Chinese, East Indian and Javanese indentured workers (Van Lier 1949: 284).

In 1950 Van Lier described the West Indian societies as plural societies (using the term 'expennet ad societies'), which were characterized by a low solidarity in a society composed of separate segments, where co-operation primarily takes place in the economic and political field, while the power of the state is mostly monopolized by one segment (Van Lier 1950. He maintained his enthousiasm for the term for quite some time, considering the Surinamese population in 1987 "a successful plaritly" (Van Lier 1957: 37-38), but in 1971 he became somewhat more reticent. (Preface of the 1971 reprint of Van Lier 1994).

In brief, it can be noted that Van Lier used the term 'plural's ociety' strictly as a descriptive device to characterize the Caribbean societies. It was M.G. Smith (1965) influenced by Furnivall and Van Lier, as he himself admits (M.G. Smith 1983: 117), who elaborated the concept theoretically for the region in the 1950s, and who became its most fervent defender during almost four decades.

Smith, who considers Furnivall's conceptualization of pluralism as a general theory (1983: 107), purged it of its seconomic dimension and elaborated it into a social scientific model based on culture to understand and explain the comniety Caribbean societies.

In a series of articles written from 1952 to 1961 (which are

rations of the pluralism theory.

Smith (1960) defines societies as territorially distinct units of people having their own central governmental institutions. Taking as a criterion the shared institutions and their nature, he distinguishes three types of societies: homoeneous, Dural and heterogeneous.

In a homogeneous society the population shares a single stef institutions. In a plural society there is formal diversity between sections of the population due to differences in the system of basic institutions (also called 'complayor,' or cominstitutions), amongst which he reckons: kinship, education, religion, property and economy, recreation and certain sodialities. In a heterogeneous society the members share a common system of basic institutions, but practice differing 'alternative and 'exclusive' institutions.

Thus, a plural society exists when groups that practice differing basic institutions live side by side under a common government.

government.

To Smith pluralism was "a causal and explanatory principle" (1953:112), and considerations of status, rather than economic forces maintained the social structure. Ethnicity and 'social race' were factors on their own right not reducible to economic factors or social class. Smith took the opposite position of the economic reductionist tendency that explained those whenomen acxusively in class terms.

In his further elaboration of the plural society model, Smith points to the domination of one cultural section over the rest. He sustains that: "Given the fundamental differences of belief, value, and organization, that connote pluralism, the monopoly of nower by one cultural section is the essential precondition for the maintenance of the total society in its current form" (1960: 86).

The dominance is even conceived of as a minority group dominance, because: "The political regime of the plural society is identified by an exclusive concentration of political and juridical resources and functions in a ruling minority organized as a corporate group" (M.G. Smith 1969a: 230-231).

This minority group dominance was criticized as an "unnecessary limitation" (Kuper 1980: 243) of his model. Indeed, understanding the plural society as dominated by a minority cultural section, excludes three sizeable countries the region to which Smith refers as plural, namely Guyana, Suriname and Trinidad and Tobago, which are the best

ourname and Irminad and 100ago, which are the best examples of what pluralism stands for.

The situation of domination by one minority cultural group possibly could be 'claimed' by the pluralists for the special case of Jamaica, which was amply studied by Smith, and maybe it is a 'Jamaican-bias' that can account for the

limitation of minority rule.

In a further adaptation of the plural society model (M.G. Smith 1969b: 440) a distitction was made between cultural, social and structural pluralism but the nature of the unit of analysis remained cultural, since all those concepts were defined in terms of institutional differences.

There have been several other contributions to the plural society model (Van den Berghe 1967, 1969; L. Kuper 1969a, 1969b), but for our present purpose it suffices to concentrate

1969b), but for our present purpose it suffices to concentrate on the work of M.G. Smith.

As a model the concept of plural society is not supported by empirical evidence in the region, since it was very little applied in research. The only significant intent to test the plural society model is Leo Depres' study (1964, 1967) on the nationalist polities of British Guiana. But as McKenzic (1966) indicates in a methodological critique (of Depres 1964), his efforts to test the theory of the plural society lead to a circular. argumentation in a confusion of explanation and description, of what exists "in fact" with what "by definition", and as a result Depres' study only provides arguments that the theory of the plural society is not a theory but a descriptive category.

The term 'plural society' appears in the title of both Annemarie de Waal Malefijt's study (1963) and the re-study of Parsudi Suparlan (1976) on the Javanese in Suriname, but neither of them elaborates or really applies the concept theoretically, as it is only used descriptively to identify the social context of the minority group of the Javanese.

Edward Dew (1978) applies the model to explain political mobilization, party politics and the political development in postwar Suriname, ascribing a priority role to the factor eleting group reglecting other relevant variables. But the validity of the plural society model was challenged inmediately after his study, by the virtual absence of ethnicity as a relevant factor in the subsequent developments in that country with the military coup of 1980, a military controlled government (1980-1987) and a negotiated return to parliamentary democracy (1987); a whole pend that cannot be dealt with in terms of the pluralist paradigm.

Smith's theory of the plural society caused a fierce debate in the Caribbean. The structural functionalists Lloyd Braith-waite (1980) and Raymond Smith (1982) jumped into the arena and were soon joined by others among which the Marxist-oriented social scientists, and in some sense almost every Caribbean social scientist in one way or another, got involved in the plural society debate.

Braithwaite, who considers "the theory of the plural society logically unacceptable" (1960: 817) and doubts whether the term was sufficiently clear theoretically (1960: 818), holds the view that "there must be a certain minimum of common, shared values if the unity of society is to be maintained" (blid: 822).

Harry Hoetink (1962: 151-158), who is only willing to accept the ideal-typical approach of the plural society for the

early times of implantation of the societies objects the minority group domination and considers as a major weakness the absence of racial cleavages as a relevant category.

In methodological critiques Malcolm Cross (1968) discusses a confusion between description and explanation, and he considers it incorrect to define social structure in cultural terms, while he does not consider it a theory, but rather a descriptive classificatory scheme (Cross 1971, 1977)

Carl Stone (1973: 8) questions the explicit assumption of the pluralism theory that "value consensus is the primary basis of political stability and integration".

Susan Craig (1974: 133) points to the lack of historical perspective in the theory of the plural society as it "presumes that the Caribbean societies have not changed one whit since 1820". She also criticizes the theory because its central concept of institution is confined to crystallized, reflect, unambiguous actions and ideas, and is not process-oriented (Craig 1981: 152).

Pluralism is considered as an extreme type by Kuper (1980: 243) and the theory of the plural society is not considered by him as a general theory of race or of ethnic relations (ibid.: 246).

From a Marxist point of view pluralism, that is "so memerized by everything that it cannot explain anything" (Hall 1980: 343), is reticized, because offits simple dichotomization (Hall 1977) and its "simple binarism of race and class" (Hall 1980), in which no room is left for 'class', as a relevant factor.

Robotham (1980, 1985) sees pluralism as an ideology; it is not a theory but "a scientific abstraction derived from the ideological consciousness of the Jamaican anti-colonial middle class of the period" (1980: 69) and it is "the story of an acculturation process" (Robotham 1980: 71), a view which was vehemently rejected by M.G. Smith (1983).

According to Robotham, the Caribbean society is presented as a rigid and frozen scheme, composed of "cultural sections one on top of another" (Robotham 1980: 82). Finally it should be mentioned that a critique, that appears as a constant in almost all the criticisms questions the categorical rejection in the pluralist model of economic factors.

We mentioned all these criticisms, spread over time and from different ideological points of view, to give an impression of the impact that the concept of plural society has had in the Caribbean social sciences in four decades.

But still many things are obscure, and since some major points can still be made, we shall give this model a closer look from a theoretical and methodological point of view.

Contrary to what is still widely believed or assumed (Marks 1975; Robotham 1980: 88, 1985; 112; Craig 1982b; 152, CW. Mills 1987: 70-71; an exception is Morrissey 1976: 107), M.G. Smith does not reject the structural functionalist paradigm.

Before we argue this noint, it should be noted that there

provide we argue that by promy as smooth protection and the control of the contro

tionalism and the theory of the plural society? M.C. Smith himself is clear on that when he observes that: "In homogeneous societies integration connotes the maintenance and perpetuation of the system as a system by the functional relations of its institutions. This definition if applied to plural societies must be supplemented by distinctions between the integration of the totality, and each of its component sections. The same point applies to the concept of equilibrium and, but only more so, to the notion of stability." (M.G. Smith 1964: 187).

Structural functionalism is "applied" to the plural society
and to find out how that takes place we should consult another

of Smith's works. In distinguishing between "social systems" and "society" he argues that" "almost any group structure or activity can be conceived of as a social system of some particular kind or other" (Smith 1961: 40).

Hence, "social system" is not necessarily the national society in Smith's view, but can be "any group structure or activity" within it. This is the case in the plural society model, because the cultural sections which are for Smith "oriented toward the preservation of their institutional patterns unchanged" (1954: 157), are taken as the point of departure, as the unit of analysis.

If we line up the argument chronologically, it goes as follows. In the theory of the plural society the paradigm is structural functionalism and consequently the model to be used is 'social system'. Almost any group structure or activity can be conceived of as a social system. In the plural society and of the social system is the cultural section and its ervensas a point of departure to explain the national society and to argue why it lacks consensus.

Our conclusion is therefore that the theory of the plural society is not a theory or a paradigm; its theory or paradigm is structural functionalism, which is creatively applied to the region with its weakly integrated multi-racial societies.

Both the plural society model and the stratified society model (Braithwiste 1953; RT. Smith 1962; 1967) are based on the structural functionalist paradigm, but this affinity is not reflected in the social science debate as there existed relatively more affinity and less polemic between the stratified society model. There are two reasons that can explain this. The first one which we already discussed, is that the plural society model was perceived as being at variance with the structural functionalist paradigm. The second reason is, that the plural society model differs from the other two models on both dimensions of the unit of analysis of these latter models is at the national level and only differ with regard to its nature as the national level and only differ with regard to its nature.

ambit of culture (see Table 1). It is this two-dimensional difference that can be held responsible for the lack of affinity in the social debate we referred to.

In the race-class debate of the last forty years in the Caribbean insufficient attention of the social scientists to these underlying methodological differences, along with a heavy stress on the ideological dimension, contributed to the confusion and the unsystematic nature of the debate, a great deal of which could have been saved otherwise.

Let us now take a final general look at this influential plural society model.

The model is based on the axiomatic assumption that pluralism is an independent, causal and explanatory variable, and a factor in its own right, not reducible to other social factors. The whole fabric of society is built on culture and the

extreme emphasis on the gregarious cultural sections gives society an archipelago-like structure; the national society almost becomes a contextual variable for the institutionally autonomous cultural sections As a static model it was not capable of dealing with the

most important issue of Caribbean post-war history: the process of social political change. The limitations of structural functionalism on which the plural society model is based, is one of the reasons that made it difficult to "investigate underlying structural forces which break up, as well as maintain a given cultural configuration" (C.W. Mills 1987; 83). The capacity of a people and a society to influence the course of history, particularly at the advent of disastrous developments such as race conflicts, is not taken into account sufficiently, which gives the model a deterministic nature. In the societies of the Caribbean, on the one hand, the

issue of return movements, to Africa, India and Indonesia although they existed in history, has no convoking or mobilizing capacity, and on the other hand, in the larger societies of the region the conditions for a separatist movement such as the historic, cultural or religious identification of ethnic or other groups with part of the territory are absent. In such a situation important social pressure is exerted towards nation building, which generates social developments which the plural society model has problems in dealing with.

As a conceptualization of the Caribbean societies, possi bly difficult to question for the early years of the emerging societies in the region (Hoetink 1962: 151), its pessimies premisses on the incapacity to build a harmonious society and nation in the multi-ethnic societies of the Caribbean, made the plural society model somewhat anachronic in the decolonization atmosphere that dominated in the post-way period and in the search of the societies for selfreliance Fortunately, this did not constitute a major problem in the region, as such an argument against autonomy was only sporadically advanced by some anti-independence movements, but not by the colonial powers themselves that did not use it to legitimate their colonial domination, since they had become aware in the post-war period that traditional colonialism, seen in terms of their own interests, was already historically outdated

The plural society theory, notwithstanding its lack of empirical support, could not only survive but at times even enjoy a high prestige in the Caribbean. One of the major reasons was that M.G. Smith made an important contribution placing race and ethnicity, which had been almost taboo in the social sciences, high on the social science agenda. As Vera Rubin observed: "The candid discussion of race relations and politics may seem contrary to the national interests of emerging nations, but it would seem essential to bring this emotionladen area under to pictive scrutiny in order to understand the political problems of wielding a multi-cultural, multi-racid society into a homogeneous nation? (Rubin 1962; 433).

Another reason for the influence of Smith's theory was that while it was perceived as an original Caribbean theory that could challenge both the Marxist and the structural functionalist paradigm and contribute to the indigenization of the social sciences in the region, on the other hand, theref has been an astonishing incapacity of rival theories to present a satisfactory alternative explanation or model, particularly in the case of its most fierce Marxist opponents who, with their economic reductionist models, were unable to grasp race and class as they were articulated in the Caribbean societies.

3. The Critical Economists

The first conceptualization of indigenous economic thought in the Caribbean was Arthur Lewis model which imitated a "critical tradition" (Bernal et al. 1984) in Caribbean social science thought. The later conceptualizations of plantation economy and 'dependency' that developed in reaction to that first model was only a continuation of the warrh for indigenous solutions for Caribbean problems.

This tradition took 'economy' as the basis of its analysis, and the 'building block' of its conceptualzations was a unit of analysis of an economic nature. But it should be noted that 'economy-based' model is not the same as 'economic model'; 'class society' for example is an economy-based model, but not an economic model, and the same holds for 'plantation economy', since they are not based on the social science discipline of 'economics', but on economy as part of social reality. The difficulty in English of distinguishing with the term 'economic' between tangible social reality and an academic fabrication should be taken into account, since it can lead to incorrect assessments, and particularly the kind of difference that exists between a 'sociological problem' and a 'social problem' is obscured in the term 'economic problem'. It may be noted in passing that this limitation does not exist in German that can differentiate between 'wirtschaftlich' and 'oconomisch' while it is more serious in Spanish, Dutch and French, that do not even distinguish between 'economy' and 'economics'.

After this clarification we can take a closer look at the early economy-based studies in the region. As a consequence of the social and political developments in the Caribbean societies and the discussions they originated in the early

decolonization process about the viability of independence in the region, 'economy' obtained particular relevance in the societies of the region, and the problems of underdevelopment, and development, economic growth, unemployment and persistent poverty acquired priority on the checklist of independence.

In the 1960s these historical circumstances gave rise to a number of economy-based social science studies in the region. But as a reaction to the North Atlantic social sciences that could not deal sătisfactorily with the specific problems of the post-war Caribbean, it led to the emergence of critical econmists and to attempts to indigenize the social sciences in the region.

The unit of analysis of the Lewis' model (see Table 4) is at the subnational level. The economy is conceived of as com-

prised of two sectors, a traditional rural 'subsistence' sector and a modern capitalist sector, jointly forming the 'dual economy'.

In the conceptualization of 'plantation economy' the 'pure

plantation economy' model is located at the subnational level and the 'modern plantation economy model at the national level and the 'modern plantation economy' model at the national level, while the 'dependency' model that studied the contemporary plantations (the transnationals), deals with the international level, and finally, the economy-based unit at the regional level pays attention to the issue of regional economic integration.

These influential conceptualizations in Caribbean social science will be the subject of our next sections.

Lewis' Model

Arthur Lewis has been a pioneer in the indigenous social science study of the region. He was the first indigenous Caribbean economist (T.W. Farrell 1980: 66) and undertook the first attempt at theory construction for West Indian economic problems (St. Cyr 1983: 3). When Lewis presented his economic thought and strateey for the Caribbean in the

TABLE 4

Level	Economy-based conceptualization	Unit	Scholara
Enclave	Enclave economy	Enclavo in hinterland of Best and Levitt	Best and Levitt
Subnational	Dual economy	Two sector: subsistence Arthur Lewis and modern sector	Arthur Lowis
	Pure plantation economy Plantation	Plantation	Best
Regional	Regional economic inte- gration	Region	Demas McIntyre Browster and Thomas
National	Modern plantation economy	National economy	Best and Levitt Beckford
International	Dependency	Trananational corporate Girvan economic system	Girvan

early post-war period (Lewis 1945, 1949a, 1950), he introduced a new critical approach that would become the leading one in the next decade. At a time when the decolonization process was rapidly advancing and the plea for independence emerged all over the Caribbean, the question of the feasibility of independence and the possibilities for economic development figured high on all the agendas, both of politicians and academics. It was these circumstances that gave rise to the first generation of Caribbean social scientists of which Arthur Lewis formed part.

Lewis, although occupied with the major problems of the Caribbean, did not see the region as a 'unique' area and his studies soon abtained a broader character which led to general theoretical studies (Lewis 1949b, 1954, 1955, 1958b). with which he gained international prestige.

Lewis himself indicates in an autobiographical note, that he was worrying about a general problem concerning Third World economic development, walking one day in 1952 down the road in Bangkok, when he suddenly found the solution: "Throw away the neo-classical assumption that the quantity of labour is fixed. An 'unlimited supply of labour' will keep wages down... The result is a dual (national or world) economy, where one part is a cheap reservoir for the other" (W.A. Lewis 1980: 4).

Although a substantial part of Lewis' work addresses itself to general problems of the Third World, it should not be considered 'less Caribbean' or as not belonging to Caribbean thought for that reason, because as we discussed already, no such a thing as 'a proper Caribbean social science theory' strictly confined to the region exists, and Arthur Lewis was well aware of that

Lewis' theoretical work has been reviewed on several occasions as in a special edition or the Journal 'Social and Economic Studies' (St. Cvr 1980; T.W. Farrell 1980; Worrell 1980), but also as part of more general reviews of economic thought in the region (St. Cvr 1983; Bernal et al. 1984). For the purposes of our discussion his model will only be highlighted here from a Caribbean perspective, with particular attention to his strategy for economic development of the Caribbean societies.

Lewis considered the Caribbean economies as a 'dual

economy', that consisted of two sectors: a traditional 'subsistence' sector based on agriculture, and a modern capitalist sector, and his model was therefore, according to our methodology, an economy-based conceptualization with the unit of

analysis at the subnational level.

Lewis was not dominated by the Keynesian theory which was the most influential paradigm in economies at the time; was the most influential paradigm in economies at the time; rather he resorted to the "classical tradition, making the radiasical assumption and asking the classical question" (Lewis 1964: 400). His modified Ricardian influenced classical approach brought him to the two sector model of the economy, with "unlimited supplies of labour" in one sector servicing the other modern one, and that particular characteristic became the basis of his model and strategy of industrialization for the economic development of the Caribbean esocieties.

His argument on industrialization went as follows (W.A.

Lewis 1950). The case for rapid industrialization in the West Indies rested chiefly on a situation of over-population with an unemployment that had become endemic, which had made industrialization indispensable for those economies. The principle obstacle to industrialization was the laissez-faire economic philosophy of the British West Indian Government, that argued that it was not necessary for a government to promote industrialization actively, for if industries were worth establishing, then private persons would do it. Lewis, for his part, proposed an active government initiative to promote industrialization, but realized that the necessary conditions, such as capital, entrepreneurship and market relations, could not be provided domestically. His solution was industrialization by invitation of foreign capital to produce light manufacture for the region and for export, courting it with a series of incentives like; tax holidays, subsidies, temporary monopoly rights, infrastuctural provisions, import restrictions to diminish competition, and low wage guarantees. To secure the latter in the case that powerful labouunions should manage to hike up wages, the government should control the real purchasing power with a simple cutie wages or, since that would be politically difficult to impla, ment, by devaluation. Compensation could also be given by some indirect subsidy such as price increase and further import restriction by raising tariff barriers, or also by giving a direct subsidy to the particular industry. This whole venture would be directed by a special central agency, an 'Industrial Development Cafrornation'.

There was one last necessary element for the model to operate: a labour supply high enough to contain wages, but with the unlimited supplies of labour in the dual economy that

last ingredient for success was also added.

Lewis was optimistic because the proof of the feasibility of

his model was not so far away. "Some key is needed to open the door behind which the dynamic energies of the West Indian people are at present confined. The key has obviously been found in Puerto Rico" (Lewis 1905-04.) "But the initial cost may be very high", he argued, "you have, to begin by rolling your snowhall up the mountain (Lewis 1905-05.) However, subsequent developments in the region showed that Lewis under the contract of the carried out to be too steep for a snowball in the Caribbean tropical heat, and this brings us to the critique of Arthur Lewis.

The Lewis-model was embraced throughout the Caribbean and as time passed by there was evidence to evaluate its

success, and criticism began to appear.

The most systematic criticism of the Lewis' model would

come from the next generation of social scientists gathered in the 'New World Group' (Best 1967; Best and Levitt 1968; Girvan 1971); a group that would be sufficiently important to merit separate treatment.

Girvan 19/17, a group that would be sunciently importantment separate treatment.

A good testcase for the model was Jamaica. It had experienced a high level of foreign investment and a significant economic growth in the period 1950-1965, with an annual rafe of growth of the gross domestic product of about 7.2 per cestwhile the real national income per head increased 4.5 per cest per annum. (Jefferson 1967, 1972). Thus, the Lewis' model was in full operation in the case of Jamaica.

For Norman Girvan (1971) who analyzes this case, foreign capital investment led to a growth that did not make the economy more self-sustaining, but rather more dependent. nor did it relieve the material deprivation of the people, and he therefore concludes that, even when capital inflows are large in relation to the size of the economy, they cannot be a substitute for structural change.

Not even the Puerto Rican case which for Lewis himself constituted the proof, could easily stand up to the test. and two other members of the New World Group (Brewster and Thomas 1967: 60) called it "as much a showpiece of industrielization as of unemployment and the maldistribution of wealth and income".

Another postulate of Lewis' self-sustaining growth, that

foreign capital investment would stimulate local entrepreneurship was rejected for Trinidad, where it could not be detected that people were "learning the trick of the trade and entering in the field" (Carrington 1968: 149). For the New World Group Lewis' expectations for self-

sustaining growth were "based on a crude mix of Ricardian and Keynesian economics, the former being revised to allow for technical progress in agriculture, and the latter for autonomous capital inflows to an 'open economy'" (Levitt and

Best 1975: 34). If we try to make an assessment of Lewis' model, it is noted that there were serious and urgent problems it could not

handle, and particularly the crucial variable of unemployment was unmanageable in his model.

The unemployment rate, generally taken as one of the indicators to monitor development, was even questioned by him: "if we wish to measure our achievements in development, we must measure them not by the fall of unemployment, but by the increase in employment. The success that we

have in creating employment is what is relevant rather than our failure in reducing unemployment" (Lewis 1958a: 45).

Lewis himself was frank on unemployment. Unable to grasp the immense problem of its increase in developing countries as a surplus of rural labour force, headmits: "Personally, therefore, I regard this part of the problem as insoluble" (Lewis 1958: 45)

This insolubility was due to a methodological weakness, or the Lewis model itself. The unlimited supplies of labour wear not just a given or a constant, but were generated by the operation of the model itself. Industrialization in the modern capitalist sector itself generated those labour supplies, because 'the more work you provide in the towns the more people will drift, into the towns, and there is no certainty that you can win the race' (Lewis 1958sa: 44).

Lewis' strategy generates 'unlimited supplies of labour, and maybe a clearer term to understand why unemployment caused unsoluble problems for his model would have been 'unabsorbable generation of labour supply. His attempt therefore, to tackle the problem of unemployment that was generated by his very model was an effort to 'square a vicious circle' (To use an expression of the Caribbean writer Albert Helman (1954: 8)).

Arthur Lewis made valuable contributions to Caribbean

social science which were significant at a time when growing nationalism was demanding a strategy for development in an atmosphere governed by discussions about the viability of independence in the region. Lewis provided a strategy that received recognition and had appeal. He dominated economic thought and policy for more than a deaded and, as St. Cyt (1983; 7) observes, "despite of its lack of scientific realism his model" continues to fascinate young minds and inform public policy". At the same time he has been severely criticated although recently a certain bias in his favour can be appreciated, maybe because it is regarded as an unrewarding task to criticize a Nobel-Burgeate.

Arthur Lewis was censorious but he was not a rebel, and his criticisms did not exceed the margins of tolerance of the status quo. He had arduous debates with the British Colonial Office, that rejected the industrialization of the British West

Indies (see T.W. Farrell 1980), but he did not challenge the capitalist system as such, and his statements were not in contradiction with the interests of the emerging economic and political elites in the several Caribbean societies, not even with those of international capitalism, that was kindly invited to participate in the project. Lewis was looking for solutions within the capitalist system. Defending one of his arguments he remarked: "If you don't like this, then you must think in terms not of a capitalist but of a socialist economy. hecause this is how capitalist economies work" (Lewis 1958 : 52). With this statement unconsciously, Arthur Lewis was formulating what a number of Caribbean social scientists indeed would do in the next decades, namely question capitalism and its capacity to offer solutions for the Caribbean problems, and consequently searching for alternative models. But before that should happen, a new generation would annear on the scene; the New World Group.

The Radical Caribbean School: Plantation Economy and Dependency

A new generation of critical social scientists that emerged as a reaction against Arthur Lewis, whose strategy for industrialization was already in operation in several countries in the region, but also as a product of a search for the deeper rauses of underdevelopment, dominated Caribbean economic thought in the sixties and early seventies.

The critical stand of a number of scholars, predominantly economists, brought them together in the 'New World Group'.

This new tendency in Caribbean social science thought is otherwized as: Caribbean Dependency Economics Girvan 1973), Historical Structural Institutional Approach (Girvan 1973), Caribbean Structuralism (Harris 1978), Plantation-Ospendency School (Greene 1984), Radical Caribbean School (Brena 1944), Andical Caribbean School (Bernal et al. 1984), and sometimes as New Worldism or just six the Plantation School.

The last term is vehemently criticized by George Beckford who does not recognize any 'plantation school' as "foolishness like that" (Beckford 1987a: 23); the term Histori, cal/Structural/Institutional refers rather to a methodological qualification, whereas 'New Worldism' points to an inteller. tual movement. For our purposes here we will use the term 'Radical Caribbean School' (Bernal et al. 1984).

This tendency in the Caribbean emerged some time after a similar line of thought developed in Latin America, but Girvan contends that the Latin American and Caribbean schools of thought based on the concept of external depend. ence and the institutionalization of underdevelopment, which apply a similar methodology, "emerged virtually independently of one another" (Girvan 1973: 1), a position that is strongly rejected by Cumper (1974), who sustains that Girvan exaggerates the degree of independence of these streams from each other.

In the Radical Caribbean School two interrelated concentualizations dominate: the Plantation Economy and the Dependency model, which originate from the same line of thought, but focus on different levels of analysis. Their search for structural characteristics in the Caribbean economies led to a historical analysis of the structure and development of those economies since the early days of colonialism. This resulted in Lloyd Best's classical article on the "Outlines of a Model of Pure Plantation Economy" (1968), which was followed by a series of publications together with Kari Levitt (Best and Levitt 1968; Levitt and Best 1975), that introduced the term 'plantation economy' in Caribbean social science. They could draw on earlier plantation studies of Sidney Mintz, Eric Wolf and Charles Wagley, that were now theoretically molded into a model.

Briefly, they distinguish three types of New World hinterlands: of conquest, of settlement and of exploitation. The latter, the hinterlands of exploitation characterize the Caribbean 'plantation economy', which is seen as a direct extension of the economy of the metropole and its raison d'être is to produce a staple for metropolitan consumption or trade

They further distinguish between 'pure plantation' (the ideal type), the 'plantation modified' (with marginal activity of settlers, runaway slaves or nomadic natives), and the 'plantation further modified' in more recent times.

In terms of the conceptualizations in our schema three

types will be distinguished (See Table 4).

Enclave economy which can be found in the hinterlands of conquest with "units of production which tend to be selfcontained and self sufficient" forming "enclaves" (Best 1968: 294). In the hinterland of explotation the 'pure plantation economy' is a conceptualization at the subnational level with a commental economy. Best himself points to it, when he notes that "in as much as plantations dominate and are 'total' in character, the Pure Plantation Economy is a segmental economy. The 'firm' is the meaningful unit of economic analysis" (Best 1968: 307). According to him: "The hinterland is composed of a single industrial sector fractured into plantations" (Levitt and Best 1975: 41). Finally, for the 'plantation further modified', to which we shall refer as the 'modern plantation' economy, the national economy is the unit of analysis. The process of development from 'pure plantation econ-

omy' at the subnational level to the 'modified plantation economy' at the national level, according to Levitt and Best, is related to the "staple cycle", in which one staple (agricultural export product) passes through a foundation period, a golden age and finally a period of maturity and decline, after which it is substituted by another staple. In the period of decline, it is argued, the export sector tends to grow at reduced rates or even to contract, which leads to a reduction in the demand for labour. This conduces to a modification of the typical unit of production, because the redundant labour force is moved out of residence in the plantation, and that leads to a transition from fully-bound labour to quasi-proletarian. This forms the background of the emergence of a national economy which is complementary to the traditional exportsector (Levitt and Best 1975: 44-45).

Influenced by these works, George Beckford (1972) tried

to explain the contemporary societies in the Third World with

a plantation background. One question that engaged him particularly clarifies his viewpoint and commitment: "why is it that after four hundred years of direct participation in the modern world economy the plantation economies of the world still find themselves underdevloped with the bulk of their inhabitants living (rather existing) in the most wretched conditions of overtv" (Reckford 1972: xxii)

Beckford (1972: passim) makes some remarkable statements concerning change and transformation in plantation economies. According to him, the plantation system generates serious resource missillocation with high costs to the society. Structural factors from within the system impede progress and therefore the plantation comounty never gets beyond the stage of underdevelopment and is perpetuated, because the dynamic for economic development is not within the plantation sector, but outside it. He concludes that "the plantation committee and the state of the state of the state of the containing times. It have to the destruction. Consequently, a dynamic equilibrium of underdevelopment is endemic in plantation economy (Beckford 1972: 212).

When the plantation economy model was applied to the contemporary Caribbean societies, it developed in a natural way into a new conceptualization: the dependency model.

Norman Girvan (1967, 1970, 1971, 1976) was to become

its key figure with studies done on the oil and bauxite sector in the Caribbean. These sectors which are crucial for the economies of the four major countries of the region (Guyana, Jamaica, Suriname and Trividad and Tobago), were dominated by multinational corporations. For Girvan (1970: 39) the functioning of these industries in the national economies in which they are physically located can be better understude by an analysis of their functioning in the corporate conomies when the control of t

and weakly integrated at the level of the national economy (ibid.).

The unit of analysis of Girvan's dependency model is therefore international, since it is formed by the transnational corporate economic system. This unit was developed by the 'denationalization of the mineral industries" of the respective countries which 'was merely the corollary of the multi-nationalization of the metropolitan corporations" (Girvan 1970: 516). Their operation in the region can therefore conceal existing economic relationships in the region, particularly between the Carbibbean and Latin America (Girvan and Latin Am

ation of the Caribbean itself (1bid.: 95).
Other New World scholars also discussed the dependency

The Radical Caribbean School was severely criticized from different ideological angles, but most of the criticism

came from Marxist-oriented social scientists.

As an overreaction of the New World Group to the North Alantic social science paradigms, which was not considered applicable to the Caribbean, there existed the danger to "fall into the trap of exaggerating the degree of exemption" of the Caribbean from the structural characteristics of other societies, according to Oxali (1973: 64), who considered this "one of the cardinal teness of the earlier New World Group which follow, 4.69. But it was not clear what exactly was resiected of Western economics by the members of the New World Groups since they also drew on it for their models (Cumper 1974).

For Objected and 1979/30: 398) the "fundamental flaw of

the plantation model is its economism -its neglect the social by plantation model is its economism -its neglect the social socia

The descriptive nature of the conceptualizations of the Radical Caribbean School was criticized by Mark Figueroa, because they focused on the "thing manifestation" of the purely economic, which led them to a "fetishistic approach in their treatment of the multinational corporation, staple evole

and plantation" (Figueroa 1977: 46).

"As a description of static reality", Trever Sudama notes, "the model may have some utility, but as an analytical construct which seeks to establish causal relationships and explain the dynamics of historical change, the model is clearly unsatisfactory and of little use" (Sudama 1979: 77). This is also the reason why it is said to possess a relatively low theoretical level and why this new tendency is not considered a theory by Don Harris, whose tentative assessment of the school is "that it is concerned with typologies which purport to demonstrate the social features which characterize the Caribbean Economy' (Harris 1978: 19).

Indeed, the concepts of 'plantation' and 'staple' are metaphorized to such an extreme that they even lose their metaphoric logic like the case of tourism when it is considered a staple, or when Beckford notes: "When I say plantation system I am not talking about agriculture and planting food, I am talking about the planting of labour, as the critical

element" (Beckford 1978: 24)

The Radical School saw the Caribbean as an "oversons canonny" dominated by a "series of international firms" and notate a dependent capitalist formation dominated within the world system of imperialism. [Bernal et al. 1984; 42-43.] It was insafficiently realized that plantation economies were charged as a realized that plantation economies were charged as a rained to a plantation of capitalism and a subsystem of international capitalism, and that most non-plantation Third World segondnies shared many of the features of plantation economies, and it is therefore not foreign capital that must be locked at but calculated as a whole! (Watton 1980; 60 Watton 1980; 60

There was another characteristic of the school that was

object of criticism, which was particularly present in the view of Lloyd Best. In several articles in 'Tapia' (the organ of his political movement), he sustains that (in the case of Trinidad) there are no classes at all. He categorically rejects Marxism, although his interpretation of it (Best 1967) raises suspicion that he was familiar with it from second hand references, particularly from the versions popularized by the Western capitalist tradition. In this respect the Radical School is criticized because it lacks a methodology of class analysis, and although production is considered to be the basic explanatory variable in the study of social systems, the concept of social class is missing (Morrissey 1976: 112), and instead an "important role is assigned to the capitalist state as a deliverer from foreign domination" (Watson 1980; 51). Due to the fact that a descriptive and empiricist approach could not be posed at the level of systems, "the laws of motion of Caribbean capitalism went unexplained as the accumulation process was never exposed for the Caribbean as a part of the world capitalist system" (Bernal et al. 1984: 42).

In New World Grup fell apart, attempts to transform into a political movement obtain political leadership in the political movement to obtain political leadership in the political movement, and most of the Group's failed, like Best's Tapin movement, and most of the Group's ments or as government advisers (see Payne 1984a: 7-9). As ments or as government advisers (see Payne 1984a: 7-9). As the political movement of the political movement and the political movement of the political movement and political movement of the political move

George Beckford later. The latter is the most salient case of the evolution of the New World Group's members. He himself frankly admits this process when he notes that: "Social change on any scale informs the work of students of society. I have therefore developed with this development." (Beckford 1996: 132). At the end of the seventies he acknowledges that the plantation model should be placed in a wider setting when he remarks that the Carbbean reality "is located within the herman that the Carbbean reality "is located within the within that system and has a particular place within that system and economic organization."

Arthur Lewis and the New World group: A Comparison

The difference between Arthur Lewis and the Radical Caribbean School is sometimes ascribed to 'paradigmatic differences', a qualification that has a greater capacity to obscure than to clarify.

Lewis and the New World Group coincided on a number of points. They were critical of Western economic paradigms and looked for an indigenized social science and both tried to transcend the limits of a single discipline. Lewis addressed himself to a broad range of social science issues, and in addition to economic subjects he also published on labour (1938), education (1961) and federation (1965), while the New World Group was explicitly searching for an interdisciplinary approach. The unit of analysis of both tendencies was of an economic nature and their major concern was with the alternatives for development within the capitalist system. However, while Lewis saw a harmonious model with the close cooperation of international capital, the Radical School was precisely concerned about foreign dependence and tended to an anti-imperialist stand to solve the structural problems of development, transcending the level of 'economic growth' and at variance with Lewis, it saw a contradiction between national and international capital.

Besides the different level of the unit of analysis we mentioned earlier, (subnational vs. national) maybe the major difference is in the way they dealt with the economic status quo. Lewis was 'pragmatic', he took the existing economy as a starting point, and instead of questioning it he made an inventory of the problems and developed a theoretical model geared towards the outline of an economic strategy. The Radicals questioned the status quo, looked for the underlying causes in a historic, structural, institutional approach and focused on the evolution of the Caribbean economies and their most fundamental critique of Lewis was precisely that he overlooked the structural limitations of the economy.

Thus, Arthur Lewis and the Radical Caribbean School coincided in questioning the status quo of economics, but they differed in questioning the economic status quo, looking in opposite directions: Lewis towards the future with his strategy, the Radicals towards the past for underlying factors and structural characteristics.

But there is one crucial area where Arthur Lewis and the Radicals coincide: their idealistic view of social change.

Arthur Lewis holds the view that: "Every society has to learn to rise above its divisions, whether of class, race, religion, language or tribe. As I have said, what in the end does the trick is economic development, which abolishes both the vertical and the horizontal divisions. Abolishes the horizontal divisions by putting the emphasis on performance rather than upon family or tribal affiliation. And abolishes the class divisions by displacing both the property owner and the proletariat and expanding the numbers and powers of those in the middle. The end of this is the class-less, detribalized society, where nobody cares what race or religion you belong to" (W.A. Lewis 1967: 12).

But also, for George Beckford at the time of the New World Group "the precondition of all preconditions for change and transformation is a structuring of the minds of people to accompdate the change. Once this is accomplished all other things will develop" (Beckford 1972: 233). A similar position is held by Lloyd Best when he summarizes his view saying:
"Thought is the action for us", adding that "we cannot be so
presumptious as to assume that there are not elsewhere other
men who will accept them (other types of action) as their
responsibility and address their attention to them with a
dedication and a competence equal to our own" (Best 1967:
23).

In both tendencies the level of reasonableness and rationality of society seems to be overestimated along with the moral force of rational arguments, as a consequence of which the influence of social forces in society, whether of class or other origin is insufficiently appraised, particularly when it regards social changes that affect the backbone of society, which convert those forces into the motor of history. As a result neither of the tendencies dedicated systematic attention to the central issue of social change with which the turbulent potential care of the control of the contro

4. Holistic Search

A number of approaches in the social sciences were based either on a cosmogony or on a broad orientation in which the disciplines were not taken as a point of departure. Studies based on such an orientation can be discussed under the heading 'holistic search', because of the attempts which are made at a fusion of disciplines as a product of an issueoriented approach, and of the steps that are taken in the direction of transcending the individual disciplines. Under the influence of the rise of nationalism and the decolonization process a large number of such studies were conducted in the pre-and post-independence period, taking the national society as a unit of analysis. This led to studies on the state and the nation, on race, class and stratification, on decolonization and size, and on the political system and democracy, while the region as a whole was the concern of studies on regional integration and on geopolitics.

Race-Class Debate

In the discussion of the relevance of race in social processes it should be remembered that social status is not a simple linear function of the amount of pigment or a yardstick of its absence, since it is not race but the social perception of it that makes it relevant in society. It should be further realized that ethnicity is not synonymous with race, and that no physical characteristics should be included in the concept of ethnicity (as 704) here x 1971: 47 does), as two different of ethnicity (as 704) here x 1971: 47 does), as two different case in the concept of the control of th

Race, historically, has been one of the most important factors in the region in suppressing claus behaviour by the creation of vertical solidarity within ethnic or racial segments concliating different and even opposing clauses, and on the other hand, by creating horizontal antagonisms stressing cultural, religious, and linguistic differences between people

within the same class.

The Caribbean was for a long time plaqued by a false dispute between the deterministic economic reductionism of ologmatic Marxist origin which insisted that race and ethnicity could be totally reduced to class, and on the other hand, the non-reductibility thesis of the plural society model, which razgued that race and ethnicity were independent factors in their own right not reducible to class at all; they were two extreme viewpoints bordering a vast extra-dichotomous 'no man's land'.

This dichotomization dominated the social science debate

In the Caribbean region, where race has been permanently price Caribbean region, where race has been permanently price Caribbean region and the second which was as consequence of shaver, an administration of shaver, and the second region of shaver, and the processes of enamerication and decolorization in inter-ethnic competition, which could originate dangerous tensions and conflicts, as was the case in Guyana in the early 1960s and in Suriname on the eve of its independence.

It was this persistence of race and ethnicity in Caribbean social processes that forced social scientists to quit the veil of taboo with which racial issues were traditionally covered, and to question the dichotomous nature of the debate.

Several social scientists pointed at the neglect of the race factor and the 'failure to integrate race with Class in the analysis of Caribbean society', which weakened social science work (Beekford 1978: 25). Nerman Girvan usularia that as race cannot be reduced to class it cannot be concluded that revolutionary politics and ideology can be 'de-racialized' in content to any significant degree' (Girvan 1975: 30). This is in ine with Gordon Lewis, who sustains that the theory of economic exploitation alone is insufficient to explain the totality of the Caribbean exploitation. The exploitation of the Caribbean masses was not simply one-dimensional. It was two-dimensional. And the racial exploitation etholid deep psychia wounds quite different in character and quality from 1988; a. f. 7).

The difficult problem of the interplay of ethnic group and claim the social reality and evolution of the Caribbean continues to fascinate the social scientists and to form an incentive to look for more convincing explanations. Possibly one of the major points that should be taken into account in the race-class debate is the relatively poor contextualization.

of the concept of class in the region.

In the Caribbean there has been no simple historic materialist development from a 'primitive' to a slave mode of production, with a subsequent development to capitalism, since on many occasions evolution and history were violently interrupted. 'Primitive' Amerindian societies did not develop as a result of internal contradictions into a new stage, since their obliteration only made way for an implanted slaves osciety, and when slavery became obsolete and a fetter to metropolitan capitalism, once again a non-endogenous process took place when indentured labourers were transmigrated from one distant colony to another as a hybrid of slaver and protestina. In this respect Cityer Thomas observes that

the state in the peripheral capitalist societies, such as those of the Caribbean, has in no way been "a 'natural' outgrow of the Caribbean, has in on way been a 'natural' outgrow of the development of the indigenous communities of the New World' (1984th, 10), since it was not only determined by World' (1984th, 10), since it was not only determined by statemally by the imposition of the colonizing power, and for that reason "the origins of the colonizing power, and for that reason "the origins of the colonization states".

Classes in the Caribbean did not develop merely by some second of the classification of

Classes in the Caribbean, as Thomas argues, are fluid and least clearly demarated, because substantial sections of the working class have some access to private property (taxi, small store) and have skills which are salable on a part-time, spare-time basis (carpenter, seamstress). Even the classic relation between the economic and the political sphere is reversed in the post colonial period, as the economic power of the dominant class flows from their political power (Thomas 1984a: 58 and 62). While traditionally the consolidation of comomic power by the bourgeois has preceded the acquisition of state and political power, in the capitalist periphery the reverse is generally the case, because political and state power is being used as "an instrument for the consolidation of a developing ruling class" (Thomas 1983: 29).

Many social scientists have pointed to the relatively limited development of the classes in the region because of deep ethnic, sexual, cultural, linguistic and religious divisions in a social and economic context with a weak indigenous material base for their existence and with a substantial class mobility, and in a political context due to political clientelism, nepotism and corruption that generates a sizeable amorphous middle class of civil servants, and other sectors which operates as a powerful de-antagonizing buffer between oppoing classes.

In the particular case of the Caribbean societies class as a social force has been affected activally by migration, which always constituted an intervening factor in the region, whether fostering labour competition by immigration, or reallocating labour by intra-regional migration, or exporting acrops the properties of the properties

It is for all these reasons that the important concepts of class and class struggle need to be contextualized with retelement of the contextualized with the contextualized with this can prevent too rigid postures which lead to a confision reac-class debate in the region. Classes do exist and constitute in important factor in social processes and development, but since their role as a social force is not given once and for all, it is precisely the origin and nature of 'class' and the concrete characteristics it assumes in a particular social historical context that should be assessed in social science analysis.

In the race-class debate in the Caribbean the extreme position of pluralism, with its mon-reducibility argument, was countered at the other extreme by the equally untenable position that race and ethnicity, in their social meaning and their influence in the social processes, were totally reducible to economic factors, since they were considered as part of the to economic factors, since they were considered as part of the manipulation, divide and rule policy vaces of bourgeois the proposagands. But in the Caribbean such as superstructure

seemed to be too heavy to be sustained by its fragile 'economic' base. Paradoxically it was the extreme reaction of the second position to the theory of the plural society, that prolonged its life.

The unequivocal presence of race, class and ethnicity in the Caribbean societies, and their deep and diffuse influence in the social processes still constitute a major priority area for the social sciences of the region which cannot be dealt with strictly in academic terms because their major manifestations are rather in the political sphere. An interesting example of the treatment of the race-class problem in that field can be found in the approach of Walter Rodney to deal with this issue. Although our schema of social science conceptualizations (Table 1) was not designed to explain 'real life' it can be useful to clarify some social developments in which social science and praxis are united. An example is Rodney's strategy to de-racialize Black Power. It can be seen in Table 5, that what was at first an 'orthodox' Rastafari movement in exile in Babylon (Jamaica), not related to the national society because of its retrogressive character based on religion (culture). obtained a national character when its utopian nature was overcome with the reinterpretation that "Jamaica was Africa". In a following stage, the cultural movement was politicized into Black Power in the context of the emancipation process and of the search for an own particular identity accompanied by a 'black consciousness' (Black is beautiful). Walter Rodney, who played a significant role in this politization process, finally 'ideologized' Black Power into class struggle by the reinterpretation of "black is oppressed", and by reducing the categories of 'black' and 'white', to mere metaphors, standing for the opposing classes engaged in class struggle. Thus, a cultural enclave based on religion was 'nationalized' into a cultural subsection of society and politicized into a political subsection based on race, to be 'ideologized' later in the ambit of class struggle at the national level.

The race-class debate occupied a significant place in Caribbean social science, as could be appraised from the

TABLE 5 WALTER RODNEYS RACE-CLASS STRATEGY

	Culture		Politics	
Enclave	'ORTHODOX' RASTA (Jamaicas:Babylon=exile)			
	'nationalization'			
Subnational Section	REINTERPRETED RASTAFARI	politicization	BLACK POWER (Black=beautiful)	
	(vamaica=Arrica)		ideologization	

polemic around the 'plural society model with sharp opposing setures during four decades. Fortunately in more recurs intributions less rigid and more balanced positions can be sereeved with a corresponding hesitation to adopt extreme extinent. Two approaches are particularly important in this see conceptualization of the race-class issue, focusing on the basterial development of the regional societies.

Concerned about ethnic features, Malcoin Cross (1978) and concerned about ethnic features, Malcoin conscious of the concerned and concerned an

the Carribean societies is Sixuart Hall's (1980) contribution on rice, articulation and societies structured in dominance. He squee that Grames's concept of hegemony may help to contract the overwhelming weight of economism that down to characteristic in the analysis of colonial societies, and the state of the contracted of the relation between the same and superstructure and the role of ideology. For Hall 1900, 330 lits clear that at the economic level "nees must be sent that the second is reflectively, as which particular that the control level "nees must be sent that the second is reflectively as which particular way the different reads and enforce properties inserted historically, and which relations have tended to receive and transform, or to preserve the distinctions through time as "active structuring principles of the present organizations casciery." (Hall 1990: 339)

These two contributions are welcome in a social science atmosphere in which the race-class debate has been ton polemic and apologetical at the theoretical level and too little the result of concrete historical study of the evolution of the Caribbean societies in their race and class aspects. In the ethnically complex societies in the Caribbean, forces to integrate and to antagonize both vertically and horizontally operate simultaneously, leading to contradictory processes of fragmentation along ethnocultural lines (language, religion customs, arts), and of polarization along class lines in a social reality in which nationbuilding constitute the only peaceful option. Therefore, an abandonment of a one-dimensional approach both for race and for class seems to open new possibilities for social science research in a priority field where the outcome can vary from disruptive racial wars to social revolutions

Democratic Nation-State

Drastic political changes have taken places in the region in a relatively short span: from also societies where freedom was subversive to independent republics with universal and frage. The democratic nation-state in the Caribbean was the logical outcome of this process, when a peacefully negotiate decolorization resulted in political independence. This process of decolorization resulted in political independence. This process of the contract of the process of the contract of the contract

These important social developments and changes were the object of a number of social science studies related to decolonization and the political system. Studies were done of the constitutional and political developments in Trinidad and Tobago (Ryan 1972), Jamaica (Murroes 1972), and Guyana (Lutchman 1974). The political development from a plura Society focus was studied in Guyana (Depres 1967) and

surname (Dev 1978), while the oppoing decoloration of the subschedule of the subschedule and the subschedule and the subschedule of the subschedul

In the meantime several regimes have appeared on the scene in the Carbbean, which are classified by Carl Stone 1986b) in three types. In a study on the Carbbean Basin he distinguishes between: the 'democratic pluralist', the 'au-thoristarian' and the 'populist-statist' type. The 'democratic puralist' type is participatory on the inputs side and is characterized by strong individual and civil but weak social rights, because "most citizen participation is concentrated on the participation in the selection of the political citic 'Glome 196th' 217. The populist-statist' (type is participatory on the barticipation in the selection of the political citic 'Glome 196th' 217. The populist-statist' (type is participatory on the participatory on the selection of the political citic 'Glome 196th' 217. The political right's the selection of the political right's with suppression of individual political rights.

These different types, sometimes under other headings, are amply discussed in the Caribbean social sciences, and we will therefore dedicate some attention to them.

Purliamentary democracy in the English and Dutch Speaking Carribbean did not develop in an indigenous process as the culimination of a struggle protagonized by the dominated classes; rather, the Westminater system was introduced from outside by the colonial metropoles in order to fill waturum, when colonialism was forced to step back, and capitalists to the social pressure of the emancipating masses. Next only the Westminster model as such, but the "associated bolitical behaviour also was expected to accord in general with british forms," as Lutchman (1978: 40) observes. The new political system was not the outcome of a distical ferrementation of political foress in an ongoing domes tradition, or the result of the demands of existing polity, parties, but the very emergence of those parties derived fre the advent of the Westminster system. It is erroneous, believed that the virtues of partiamentary democracy a 'deeply imprained in the culture' of the Carrbbean (Thom 1984: xxx-xxi). The emerging political parties which tried conquer the new political space were based on the exist clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the clustering of colonial society in social groupings and of clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the clustering of colonial society in social groupings and of clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the clustering of colonial society in social groupings and the colonial colonial social groupings and the colonial social groupings and the clustering of colonial social groupings and the colonial social grouping and the colonial grou

Several social scientists did not consider the Westminst system consistent with the social and political developmen in the region.

For Louis Lindsay (1976: 63) the new institutions "he proven to be inadequate largely because they have not be devised for societies such as our own, but are parts of inheritance of the colonial era - borrowed from the imperial power and imitatively implanted in the local environmen. The Westminster system, he argues requires a relative.

high degree of socio-cultural homogeneity, and if it is sake "attempts to spear the model lead inevitably to the intentification of conflicts between social groups which pere themselves as being divided along racial, ethnic, linguistic other similar lines" (Lindays 1978b; 322). Westminster per lite is a considered unsuitable to the task of promoting the ment, because of certain inherent features within that me which generate widespread political corruption in the reg and lead to the "artificial tribalization of social life in factional partials are groups" (Lindays 1978b; 324-324).

There have also been studies on other aspects like Arth Singham's (1968) case study of Grenada that points at I prevalence of highly personalistic regimes to which the ope tion of the Westminster system leads, while Edwin Jos notes that the stress on political competition made it net sary for the parties to build up close "clientelic relationship". al mlyre as to reprise

ts

st ly ng sive or diplel on to

he aes ps with the basiness and in some instances with the labour awments* (Jones 1976; 280). For Paget Henry (1983: 281-182) only relatively small areas of the institutional space of oxieties are usually democratized "and therefore, democracy as a principle of social organization is "always found to be a sart of a larger institutional context whose principles of organization are essentially non-democratic*. For his part, Perry Mara points to the fact that parliamentary democracy as the Caribbean facilitated the access to power of economic layin influential groups, because of a "historically demonration of the control of the control of the control of the special control of the control of the control of the control of the special control of the control of the control of the wealth assess try to control the control.

Other social scientists, however, stressed the positive side of the Westminster system in the region. Parliamentary democracy "has worked well enough" for Gordon Lewis (1985b: 227), who considers Grenada and Suriname only as exceptions to the fact that the regional electorates have chosen the constitutional path (ibid.: 228), while Scott MacDonald (dedicating his book among others to "Suriname, our cat"!) concludes that the Westminster model has been successful for the case of Trinidad and Tobago (1986: 217-218). Carl Stone for his part does not only point to the capacity of parliamentary democracy to survive in the region, but he even challenges the view that the feasibility of parliamentary democratic rule is closely related to "urban, affluent, industrial societies with advanced capitalist economies" (1986a: 194). In the case of Jamaica he notes that the "democratic system has shown a remarkable capacity for survival and adaptation to change over the four decades of electoral politics between 1944 and 1984" (ibid .: 191). It can be noted however, that the interpretation of this assertion can be different if the operating geopolitical factors are taken into account. On pain of economic boycot, military threat or invasion, no other political system was tolerated in the region by the old and new metropoles, except those that were in line with their interests. From that point of view the "remarkable capacity for survival" of Jamaica's democratic system to a centain extent could also turn out to be a pragmatic wisdom for survival in a situation where alternatives were not looking bright. This leads to be general point that the Caribbean social sciences when coping with the question of the parliamentary system in the weak, integrated, multi-thim is ocieties ean not present a geopolitic cal analysis.

Undoubtedly the parliamentary system has many short.

Undoudedty the parameterizary 292-en rids limitly shop, comings in the region, but if compared with other regions is the hemisphere with a high incidence of military coups at the hemisphere with a high incidence of military coups at frequent changes of constitution, the Caribbean can be considered to have relatively stable political systems. However, the question to be answered by the social sciences, is whether Grenada and Suriname were exceptions or only the first sign of a new trent.

In the study of the authoritarian state, the case of Guyana's 'cooperative socialism' has occupied a central place and it particularly inspired Clive Thomas who did major work in this field (1982b, 1983, 1984b, c). He sustains that "cooperative socialism is an ideological rationalization for the development of state capitalism in Guyana and for the creation of a new class of indigenous capitalists, 'fathered' in the first instance by the state" (1983: 47). In his general study on the authoritarian state in peripheral societies (1984b), which is characterized by a relative autonomization of the state in the periphery without loss of its class character, his major point is that "the crisis of the society and the world economy together engender a crisis that threatens the continuation of the regime in power", and the authoritarian state as "the specific product of the conjuncture of world capitalism and peripheral capitalist development" is the ruling class response to the crisis confronting the society (1984b: 88). But it "does not mark the end product of political degeneration and crisis", because "further stages of reaction are possible, including military dictatorships" (ibid.: 128). For Thomas the response should be a broad democratic front against authoritorion rule

The last regime type, the 'populist-statist' which is conceptualized by others as the 'socialist state', brings us to the issue of social change.

Transformation

After some decodes of economy-based models in the tradition of the critical economists, and cultural enceptualizaion of the critical economists, and cultural enceptualizations at the 'plural society' model, the important issued and change was still field amount untouched in the social sciences in the region. The concern of the social science models were predominantly with alternatives within the status quod orgatization in a dependent society and the questioning of its repriheral nature was in function of a search for a succupitable place in the internation of a search for a succupitable place in the internation of a search for a succupitable place in the internation of a search for a succupitable place in the internation of a search for a succupitable place in the internation of a search for a succupitable place in the internation of a search for a succupitable place in the internation of a search for a succupitable place in the internation of a search for a succupitable place in the internation of a search for a succupitable place in the success of the search of t

But the serious problems of the societies in the region were not alleviated, because underdevel opment and dependoper persisted along with their social manifestations, while the them problems are the serious problems of the serious the serious the serious transfer and the serious problems of the serious transfer and the serious problems of the serious serious the serious problems of the serious serious problems of the serious serious problems of the serious

The post-war political mobilization experiences were disappointing and frustrating, partly due to a disverse between political leadership of middle class origin and the varing classes, flowers 1985; 130.1 There was satubborn racial 1995 which had penetrated into all the spheres of society which had penetrated into all the spheres of society with the week state institutions were too fragile to guarantee consistency in politics. There existed a climate in which have given the could be exerted with impunity in the

national political scene, directly by classic interference or even military invasion or in a more sophisticated way by covert action and economic and diplomatic pressure, as the political history of Guyana, Jamaica, Grenada and Surinama clearly demonstrate.

One of the responses of the social sciences to this situation was the rejection of the capitalist status quo and emphasis on social change based on social action. A new field of study wa embarked upon with its focus on transformation (Thomas 1974) or transition (Roden 1978).

The Marrist orientation became predominant in this new for research bulk, particularly in its early versions, it do not provide an indigenous study of the Carribbean societies a model based on it; it was often not even a social science paradigm but rather a doctrine, because society itself warm the object of study but of application, and it was too busy with the "surveying or societies of the study of contemporary of the study of the study of the study of contemporary online in the study of contemporary on the s

In the Caribbean many concepts have been used in the search for models of change: revolution, reform, transforms tion, transition and non-capitalist path of development. The differed from the older dependency model of the Radiot

Economists in their rejection of capitalism.

The major scholar in this tendency, Clive Thomas, Mel European development responsible for having generated the underdevelopment of the rest of the world by destroying indigenous social forces which might have led to the transfer mation of their precapitalism mode of production, because was the "dialectical process of the internalization of a capitalist system" that formed the contradiction that garrise to the "development of underdevelopment in Third Word societies" (Thomas 1974: 50).

This new theorizing in the Caribbean was in line wi earlier approaches in Latin America, which is aptly summ rized by Sergio de la Peña when he observes that the unde development of the backward societies or "capitalist anti-d velopment" as he calls it, was not a social syndrome curable by means of specific actions, nor the result of atmospheric conditions or racial antecedents or vicious circles, and not even a by-product of capitalist growth, but the necessary condition for capitalist development (1971: 123).

The first study in this new tendency is Clive Thomas; work on dependency and transformation (1974), that tries to answer the question whether there exists a feasible road for a transition one state power has been transformed to the control of the

Thomas (1974) bases the transformation to socialism on spoulution general towards satisfying community needs with a strategy of convergence of domestic resource use and domestic demands, while exports are understood to constitute an extension of that activity. His approach was criticised for notifying an adequate treatment of the problem of size, which made the applicability of his model questionable for small countries like in the Carbbean (TAA. Farrell 1976). The study left a major area untouched, because it did not destrict the study left a major area untouched, because it did not destrict the left of the problem of size, which is the study left as the control is a set of social and political change itself was not

Another influential Marrist-oriented approach that was untroduced in the region was the 'non-capitalist path of development, which was advanced by Soviet theorists (Ulyanows) 1974; Salodovinkov and Bogalovinkov; 1975) in an eligible of the control of the contro

can be most clearly noted in the case of Guyana's PPP, Grenada's New Jewel Movement (Jacobs and Jacobs 1g) the WPJ in Jamaica and to a lesser extent in Suriname at the military coup in 1980, where Simonia's (1974) work this matter was translated into Dutch.

The non-capitalist path model is a neo-Marxist approwhich claims to provide an alternative development, p. which claims to provide an alternative development, p. towards socialism without the necessity of passing through stage of mature capitalism. It is a strategy based alliance of progressive forces under the leadership of rew tionary demorates of petty bourgoois origin, which can be from the existence of the socialist world to hold power one is taken over.

This approach met with many criticisms in the so sciences. It was considered as historically and political inappropriate for the region for it incorrectly postulated anti-imperialist stage, and it was considered to reflect " ideological needs of the USSR as a major world power m than the theoretic-philosophical needs of Marxism-Leninis (Watson 1982a: 19). Clive Thomas criticized it because practice a great deal of overemphasis is placed on the a imperialist posture of the state", which led to a "considera underplaying of internal class struggles" (1978: 20). In g eral he criticizes the thesis of the non-capitalist path for neglect of the democratization of social life, for it is assun that the struggle against imperialism will automatically democratic. This, for him, contains the seeds of the ration zation of dictatorial and authoritarian rule such as in the c of Guyana (1978, 1984b).

Both the non-capitalist path and Thomas' theses on traformation were based on a situation in which the poquestion was already settled with progressive forces in ctrol of the state, while the previous question was left unswered, namely how state power could be conquered, wheticonstitutionally or extra-constitutionally. nd O)

90

ath

ad lo-fit

2 10

ial

an he

"in itible enits ed be

ef

Constitutional means are confined to the Westminstergerian But Perry Mars (1986; passin) considers this system instructurageous for the radical and revolutionary movenests, because of the tendency of that system to support ceitalism and favour a middle class control of politics in the claribear, while Corl Stone (1986) points out that the second control of the second of the control of the

Parliamentary democracy in the Caribbean societies sustained in a symbolicia of welfare and poverty, in total laway spashed fediusing explosive conflicts by means of partonage, em annipulation and other similar mechanisms. This had sized yresulted in two cases of extra-constitutional seizure open in the region in the cases of Granda (1979) and Summans (1980), which opened the way for a new discussion the field of political change and transformation. One of its specta refers to the relation between what can be called political revolutions and 'socially based revolutions'. The spectar refers to the relation between what can be called political revolutions and 'socially based revolutions'. In summer to political revolution in summer to political revolution in summans are summans and socially seasons are summans. The summans are summans and socially summans are summans are summans and socially summans. The summans are summa

mobilized, and can mature and come into action against the status quo, can be transformed more easily into a political revolution with some kind of stability than in the reverse case. A stability of the stability of the stability of the stability of the scalar neventury will encounter extreme difficulties to survive, particularly in a hostile geopolitical environment, as meant Caribboan history reveals.

In the political revolutions of Grenada and Suriname (see Cardenas 1988) power was seized by extra-constitutions conspiracies of small militant vanguard groups with no substantial direct participation of the social classes or of the rganized masses which were only convoked afterwards;

Power was seized by surprise at times of conjunctural crises and loss of authority of the political elite, taking advantage of the negligence and carelessness of a weak underdevelope ruling class to defend its interests.

The most important task after the seizure of power is develop the solid social base necessary to convert a politic revolution into a social revolution. This question of the rel tion between transformation and democracy starts to dra the attention of the social scientists. Particularly the case Grenada raises the interesting question of the capacity modify a conspiratory method to seize power into a broad democratic movement to consolidate power; what guarant exists that the method will be abandoned inmediately aft power is secured, particularly when there is no solid soci base on which new democratic structures can be inmediate build? In the case of Grenada such was clearly not the case and it only showed that if conspiracy against the status of does not lead to democratic forms of rule and participation, can easily turn into a conspiracy within the conspiracy by 'vanguard' of the vanguard. In the cases of the politic revolutions of Grenada and Suriname, to a large extent t failure is due to the fact that they were "without the sancti of a successful revolution" (Thomas 1982b: 25) that w socially based.

Particularly after the Grenada experience social scitists in the region started to pay attention to the issue political democracy in the processes of structural politichange, which seems to be in line with a wider trustheorizing as can be found for example in the recent contrition of Laclau and Mouffe (1985) with emphasis on "radidemocracy".

Perry Mars (1985) points at the middle class nature Caribbean political lendership that imposes serious limite political movements in the Caribbean that are geared wards transformation. For the left wing movement the millication efforts are negatively influenced by the colonia nature of the political structure and the middle class come stition of the leadership, which impels such a movement take totalitarian rather than democratic routes, which counterproductive given the "brittle political system and provided that the political system and the political system and provided that the political system and provided that the provided that the political system and provided that the provided that the provided that provided the provided that the provided that provided the provided that the provided that the provided that the provided that provided the provided that the provided thas the provided that the provided that the provided that the multiracial nature of the societies" (Mars 1985: 143). His major thesis is therefore that "the class character of Caribbean political leadership imposes serious limits on the capacty of Caribbean political movements to effect far-reaching and fundamental transformations in Caribbean political and economic structures" (Mars 1985: 129).

in recent Marsias thought emphasis is put on the democate nature of the socialist project with the rejection of any stempt to oppose political democracy to socialism, because the view that "political democracy is a bourgeois confidence cuts" is a grave error (Themas 1987: 17), and autoritarianism in the West Indian context, whether of the left or the right', a "inherently limited in its capacity to promote all-round coil and economic develop mosaic promote all-round coil and economic develop mosaic sustains that socialism coil and the proper political social relations in the built without a democratization of all social relations, including the power relations of the state (1983: 46), and therefore a "left' authoritarian state is a degeneration in the struggle for socialism (1984): 318

This democratic line of thought is a meeting point of Marsist-oriented scholars and other social scientists, as can be seen in the similar line of thought of Gordon Lewis, who appuss that "a new democratic left, in seeking a new social order, must enaure that it is a mixture of social radicalism and moreave, I must expose the flash in the literal enquiry are burgeoid inventions that can be readily discarded "(1985):

This ongoing discussion in the Caribbean social sciences on the issue of structural transformation points to the need for a more elaborated theory of social and political change for the Caribbean, that can take it beyond the schemes of old tenets that too often have dominated the scene in post-war Caribbean.

Regional Focus

n3

on tobiist poto

he

In the political history of the Caribbean the significant role of the 'region' as an entity is clearly reflected in social science research. At three points in time it attained partical lar relevance: under colonialism, during decolonization and the new peripheralization and in geopolitics.

Colonialism in the Caribbean was bound to be regional since the dictates of empire imposed regional policies. The collapse of Empire in the twentieth century was accompanie by two processes that took place simultaneously the process of decolonization and the new peripheralization. The decolonization process, as we already argued, a consequence of sweeping political changes, started as a regional issue and was only after the collapse of the West Indian Federation that individual independence of the nation-states was optical for the collapse of the nation-states was optical for the state of the collapse of the nation-states was optical for the state of the nation-states was optically constituted a shift from colonialism to non-colonialism.

two contradictory trends in the transition from the lax colonial to the postcolonial priced, which are "the anti-imperialist struggles in the periphery and the opposition of the United States and the Soviet Union to European imperialism (Henry 1985: 203). For a while, this appeared to permit the peripheral countries to "liberate themselves from their roles in the international capitalist system". However, as US, interests began to assert their hegemony" a new process of interests began to assert their hegemony are process of interests began to assert their hegemony that the second parameter of the second process of the second process. The parameter is the second process of the second process of the This relief of the round. How was based on the Monree

Paget Henry (1985) in a case study on Antigua, identifies

doctrine, found institutional expression in the Angle-American Caribbean Commission in the 1940s, which was a joint commission of Cereat Britain and the United States for the coordination of colonial policy in the region and the preparation of new structures of dominance for the changing solid and political reality, It was soon broadened into the Caribbean Commission with the incorporation of France and Holland (Springer 1962, 1973).

The process of new peripheralization attracted the attention of social scientists and it formed the basis for the conceptualizations of the dependency model of the Radical Carib

bean School, particularly in the studies of Norman Girvan on oil and bauxite in the region, and of a later monographic study of Carlo Lamur (1983) on the "American take over" in the bauxite sector in the region.

Figet Henry argues that the new types of peripheries do enequire the use of colonial superstructures for their cabilitation (1983: 204). It should be noted however, that imperstructures of dominance sould not be entirely dispensed with if stability was to be secured at this new stage, since it am is generalized for the peripheral region that whenever a genuine democratic movement emerges which transcends the optical level by demanding also social and economic rights, at will necessarily assume a nationalist character and come indeed the minimum political continuous formation. These superstructures which the sphere of geophilics can provide are necessary for the simple season that domination without dominance leads to represent that dominance leads to represent that dominance leads to represent the dominance leads to represent the dominance leads to represent that dominance leads to represent the dominance leads to the dominance lead

Regional Integration

Two aspects in the regional focus received particular attention in the Caribbean social sciences. The first one is an internal search from within the region to integrate, and the second one is a tendency from outside the region to maintain hagemony.

The rise and the fall of the West Indian Federation (1985-969) drew significant attention of the social scientists of the region(among others Springer 1962; C.K. Lewis 1988; Mordeal 1986; Domingo 1973). The main issue that informed these works was the tension between the necessity to Geferate based on long term regional interests and, on the other hand, the sland national lisms.

The Caribbean developments do not seem to form an exception to the general trend in the history of the formation of states, particularly in the Third World, that there has been

a higher propensity toward fragmentation in the world than towards integration. Particularly in situations of artificial grouping of territories by colonialism into unitary states the do not correspond to a pre-existing socio-cultural setting, turned out to be easier to mobilize social forces on sectional and parochial grounds with concretely identifiable interests than to find a solid basis for unification with the pursuit of general and common long term interests. In such circum, stances separatism could originate more influential move ments than integrationism, due to the lower mobilization canacity of the latter, and therefore, integrationism has been mostly on the defensive in situations where geographically identifiable subunits possessed unequal advantages with regard to their natural resources and economic possibilities This situation has been clearly the case for the Caribbean

According to Ramphal (1971), the geography of the region "increases the probability that separate communities -which are island communities within the state- will have peculia areas of dissatisfaction", and this makes it "more likely tha these differences will exert fissiparous tendencies as the become more acute. In an archipelago the search for solution to political problems all too readily turns to separatism an finds expression in secessionist movements" (Ramphal 1971 246). The recent autonomy of Aruba from the rest of th Netherlands Antilles and the threat to further desintegration only confirms this.

The collapse of the West Indian Federation underscore the vulnerability of the integrationist movement in unitin entities with unequal economic resources and prospects. was the desire for individual decolonization of the major Caribbean countries that brought an end to the West India Federation, when the Jamaican referendum voted againfederation as a consequence of which Trinidad also decided withdraw. But the rise of the separate nation-states based the Westminster system did not bring an end to the region movement, although a clear shift was made from political economic integration as the first priority, since the latter we seen as a prerequisite for broader political integration.

In the social actionees the issue of integration was related to the discussion on the viability of small states in the region. In the region of the control of the control

In the same line of thought, Alister McIntyre observes that "one must distinguish between structural dependence—the dependence—the dependence—the structural dependence—the structural dependence—the dependence which arises as a result of the particular spendence which arises as a result of the particular spendence which arises as a result of the particular spendence are pursued "(1966: 186). It was "structural dependence" at the national level that led to the plea for regional magnation.

Since Demas' study the problem of size obtained an

important place in the regional social science (see V.A. Lowis 1976), although different views coessited. For Brewster and Dimans (1967: 344) one painful inheritance of altevery is the disastrofobia of aize and our response to it; but size is not soushered as the cause but "the cause but "the cause to "the ca

Later on, when the Cuban Revolution was stabilized, it Provided an argument that small states were not necessarily Powerless in the international arena, and therefore the issue was not "whether small states can generate power, but how" (Manitzas 1983: 143). Shortly after Demas, a new dimension was added to by, discussion on regional integration with a detailed technical study of Brewster and Thomas (1967), who proposed an integrated production of goods by pooling available resource in their view import-substitution does not mean what is literally suggests, namely the reduction or elimination of imports, and they warn against "misconceptions and naivies, to include the substitution of the productive structure which takes place, that is the direct measure of growth and success of import substitution."

Demas' view led also to other critiques from the New World Group. Lloyd Best (1971: 29) objects that he is in fact "defining away the possibility that a small country can ever become fully independent".

In the seventies and eighties the plea for integration remained strong in the regional social sciences, particularly in the further work of Demas for whom the three essential reasons for Caribbean economic integration are: the need to widen markets; the need to pool and combine natural resources and to programme regional economic activities; and the need to strengthem. collective bargaining power vist-avis powerful external entities and forces: (1975:74).

The collapse of the West Indian Federation in 1962 was followed by years of suspicion, hesitation and reflection about regionalism until the establishment of the Caribbean Fret Trade Association (Carifla) in 1968 which developed into the Caribbean Community (Caricom) in 1973.

These developments were closely monitored in the social sciences (Payne 1980, 1984b, 1985), by Caricom itself (Ten Years of Caricom 1984) and by the World Bank (Chernick

Years of Caricom 1984) and by the World Bank (Chemick 1978).

There has been wide support in the regional social sciences for the idea of regional integration, although it walk never exempt from critical comments on its prospects. Eco-

nomic integration is considered as a particular aspect of the development of the region and not as a panacea (Brewster and

page 1967: 332) and its impact can go deep because integration threatens the basis of existing trade estations between the 'mature' and 'immature' economies dis 339. From the viewpoint of the transformation of the Garbbean societies it is noted that if the relations of produces are not changed "any method or instrument of integration in use will only contribute to the perpetuation and the depending of the underdevelopment process" (Thousaide Capacity of the underdevelopment process" (Thousaide and the historical materialist bases can development (Thomas 1972: 299).

In the evaluation of the regional movement Anthony rigns ascratians that Carrison promoted 'constituter of equal integration at one level with regional fragmentations assorber' (1980-284), and adds that Carlcom in now level with proposed to the construction of the c

Social scientists, despite of the failure in the political field of make concrete progress, have new abandoned their support for regional integration, which could be particularly mixed as a constant in the work of William Demas (1974, 1976, 1981, 1987), who has been one of the most dedicated advancets or fregolan integration. The urgent need for the "Isoma option was the "mathematical" outcome of their wallysis, and probably, there has been no other issue in Caribban social sciences with such a high degree of consense. But a united caribban antion is still flar away, Recently C.L.R. James (1981) expressed his firm belief in the feasibility with both of the caribban antion is defined of the Caribban, which

was criticized by Gordon Lewis (1986a: 28), because "naig grandices schemes amack of romantic utopis-mongering". He has more belief in a functional federation conceived of a stycreative invention of institutional mechanisms for regional cooperation rooted in basic, limited common purposes; which all participating governments have a ready, practical interest" (bld.: 27). Political "Federation is not considered feasible by him, because there "are still too many governments and politicians jealous of their own little silve of soverments and politicians jealous of their own little silve of sovertlewis 1986b: 245. to any central regional authority" (G.R. Lewis 1986b: 245.

Due to these considerations it will not be any easier for Carriom to achieve a wider regional integration including the full membership of Suriname, the Netherlands Antilles. Haig and the Dominican Republic, all of which enjoy observer status in the organization, because it is very improbable that a broadening of Carriom can take place if the current differences are not overcome first. Integration of a hemispherin enture of the Carribbean and Latin America that finds its proponents particularly among the intellectuals of the two subsregions (Bryan 1983: 12), will have to deal with a number of major diverting tendencies to be of any significance (See Bryan 1983: 10), analogue 1983-10.

Geopolitics

Post-war geopolitics in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean is the direct result of the new peripheralization of the region. The imminent decline of British and Dutch colorism and their subsequent decline of control in the Caribbean area catalyzed by the accelerating decolonization process, left to a new peripheralization based on a modern application of the Monroe-Doctrine, in which old European metrople's made room for the North American influence in a new geopen blitical situation that started to change only recently with changes in hegemonic control as a consequence of which set actors appeared on the scene, affecting the traditional forms of domination.

Leslie Manigat (1986a: 39) observes that the crisis of the Caribbean seen from a geopolitical perspective is tri-dimensonals: it is a crisis of domestic structures of the individual states particularly of their development model and "choice of society", it is a crisis of regional structures, and finally, it is a crisis of the Caribbean insertion into the international enter.

In the social sciences these changes opened up a new field of sudy which closely monitored politics at the regional level. The establishment of the Institute of International Relations in Trinidad in 1966 gave significant institutional support to this new line of research in the 1970s and 1980s.

Social science research embarked upon new issues such such termstonian l'etations and foreign policy of the newly undependent states (V.A. Lewis 1976, 1983b). Ince 1979al, foreign control in the region (De Katel 1972, Paere 1982, Barry et al. 1984; Maingot 1983), the role of the middle powers in the region, and recently militarization, while three specific topics and bud united and the control of the region and recently militarization, while three specific topics and the control of the region and recently militarization, while three specific topics are controlled to the control of the region of th

The gradual decline of United States' hegemony in the rigion made way for the emergence of regional middle powers region made way for the emergence of regional middle powers which were amply dealt with in the geopolitical studies when one of the active regional middle powers operating in the Actibbean, as a consequence of its proximity to the region, a 1500 mile Caribbean coast line, its strategic, economic and simulate with Caryon and a Lindardy because of the actions dispute with Caryon and a Lindardy because of the actions formions with Trinidad and Tobago. An active policy of Venesuals towards all the regional territories and its particular states on the political developments of Grenada and Suriments of the control of the control of the control of the control of the states of the control of the c itself, as can be appreciated in two readers on its relations with the region (Serbin 1983, 1987).

The Cuban Revolution in 1959 that challenged the Monroe-Doctrine but particularly its stabilization constituted one of the most significant long term factors in the

regional geopolitics.

In the first period Cuba's influence was limited to type party and movement level particularly with radical posture, and it was only in the early 1970s that Cuba appeared only, scene in the Caribbean as an active regional middle powe, with the simultaneous establishment of diplomatic relating, in 1972 with Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica, and Trinidad and Tobago (L. Manigat 1988a: 46) and it obtained particular significance with its involvement in the political processes in Guyana (Burnham, Jagan), Jamaica (Manley), Grenda (Bishon) and Suringane (Boutzersch.

Brazil which has much in common with the Caribbean bear seed of the Caribbean focus on Cuba, Suriname and Guyana. Particularly in the cases of its Caribbean neigh bours, Suriname and Guyana, Brazil developed an active policy (Ely 1987) to handle these difficult 'deviant cases'.

Besides these three major actors two other Latin Amerian middle powers operated in the region: Mexico (Mairi 1983) and Cobmbia (Cepeda 1986), while from the nord Canada's presence has always been significant, because: "Be Canada the Commonwealth Carbbean constitutes perhaps the only place in the world where Canada enjoys a 'presendent in the international relations sense of the term' (Levit 1988).

Finally, the European presence (Britain, France and Holland) which historically dominated in the region, hall never disappeared, and particularly because of the expansion of its interests in the region (like the launching pad in Kourf in French Guiana) and its active diplomacy in the region (like in the Central American conflict), social science interest in the geological prosence of Europe has increased.

Another field of study was related to the fact that the mer Hispanic Caribbean states, most of which do not have regular military units became militarized overnight." (Philisand Young 1986: 23. This led to a number of studies in the 1930s with a general focus on the region (Phillips 1985; Phillips and Young 1986; Garefia Multiz 1997), while more precede studies were done on Guyana (Damas 1983, 1986), the studies were done on Guyana (Damas 1983, 1986), the studies were done on Guyana (Damas 1983, 1986), the studies are consistent of the studies were done on Guyana (Damas 1983, 1986). The studies are security and the studies are security and attainal political development in the region.

The East-West conflict has been constantly present in the major geopolitical events of the region and most scholars consider the East-West rivalry as a major tool of analysis to understand and explain geopolitical developments and processes in the Caribbean Basin (Levine 1983; V.A. Lewis 1984a. h The United States' fear of communism is ascribed a "major role in shaping international developments" in the Caribbean (Millet 1979: 14), and the region is seen as a "zone of intense political rivalry between Western capitalist and Eastern communist influence" (Stone 1985; 13). In general, the impact of exogenous superpower competition in the region, whether by direct action or articulated in the national context, is conceptualized as a major explanatory variable for the study of geopolitics in the region, while material factors of an economic nature, such as the economic interests of the superpowers, are less privileged in the analysis.

For the description of conjunctural geopolitical developments in the region there can be no doubt of the relevance of the East-West rivalry in the Caribbean. The Soviet strategic and ideological interests and its support for revolutionary mements, met heavy actions of the United States in the 'Ston, whose proximity to the area historically has been the "ston for more specific hegemonic claims in the Caribbean." The composition of the composition

usually most significant, a unilateral emphasis on the East. West rivalry obscures the analysis of geopolitics in the region. In the regional analysis these two variables should be integrated, and for that purpose the concept of 'hegemony' can be particularly useful.

Hegemony of a political power in an international contest can be understood as the degree to which a combination of coercion and consent establishes authority and leadership without a direct resort to visible force or violence. It does not draw on naked power but on the awe towards power; therefore, the contribution of 'power' to 'hegemony' does not lie in its application but rather in the persuasive capacity of power as a potential and latent entity without the need to resort to direct force or violence. It is for this reason that loss of power leads to loss of hegemony, but the reverse is not the case. because loss of hegemony normally leads to a temporal increase in the direct use of power, in desperate efforts to restore authority. Hegemony, therefore is dominance by consent, by accepted 'moral' authority and leadership, and it is mediated by dependency, ideology and political alignment that eliminates alternative independent positions, while it is based on the coercive capacity to sanction deviant behaviour that contains a challenge to it.

The specific relation between hegemony and power shadle particularly be taken into account in geopolitical analysis. Hegemony, although it is based on power is not equivalent to it; power submits and belongs to the ambit of domination, while hegemony legitimizes and belongs to the ambit of dominance.

With this concept we can now take a closer look at the geopolitical analysis in the regional social sciences.

Ample attention of scholars of geopolitics was attracted by the Grenada Revolution in which the issues of transformation at the national level and geopolitics coincided. It was

discussed by its adherents (Jacobs and Jacobs 1980) and evaluatively (Ambursley and Cohen 1983b). Even more inter-

est was raised by the Grenada invasion that was discussed by several scholars (Payne et al. 1984; Mandle 1985; L. Manigat 1986), while Watson's (1985) ortique of the petty bourgeois nature of the Bishop fraction led to critical reactions. Even a just e Department version saw the light (Sandford 1985), while the Grenada Documents were published at length cachary et al. 1984).

The United States' invasion of Grenada with the moral support of a number of friendly states of the English Speaking Coribbean is generally considered as a reassertion of United States' hegemony in the region. For Leslie Manigat: "The Grenada events have thus inaugurated a new era, one in which a reality wrongly believed to belong to the past has been dramatically reaffirmed. In effect, U.S. hegemony over the region has been reasserted" (1988b; 217). Our discussion of the concept of hegemony, however, points to the reverse, namely that the Grenada invasion is rather a proof of the loss of United States' hegemony in the region, because what at one time had been self-evident and uncontested needed to be proven now with the 'argument' of the invasion, Moral authority made way to military power and the Grenada invasion was a warning, even to those Caribbean countries directly involved in it, that loss of hegemony was not equivalent to loss of power. Manigat's conclusion that with the Grenada invasion "the U.S.A. is again lord and master in the Caribbean" (1988b: 217), can therefore be questioned, because it only proves that it is again 'master', but not 'lord' anymore. At variance with the invasion in the Dominican Republic and the expulsion of Cuba from the O.A.S. no hemispheric support could be secured, and the Grenada invasion was not even put on the O.A.S. agenda.

In the analysis of the Grenada invasion again the uniquements argument; looms up when the invasion is considered executions for the region under study. However, the same remembers used to sustain this can be applied to assert that the invasion was by no means exceptional, but that it brought are not to the exceptional situation of the English Speaking are the supplied of the supplied of the Speaking Speaking and the supplied to the second of the supplied o they had been erroneously assumed to be some kind of attribute or privilege of Latin America.

It should be noted that the Grenada invasion was not counterrevolution, it was not even related to an existing domestic social movement or social force; it was a conquest from outside, from the horizons of the Caribboan of Central America. It is the United States of the Caribboan of Central America. It is the United States national security (Ronald Reagan's statement to the Washington Post, quoted in Phillips 1985; 99).

Everything indicates therefore that the Grenada invasion was not an exception but an example of a reaction to the decline of hegemony.

A next issue that drew particularly the attention of scholars of recent geopolitics in the region is the Caribbean Basin Initiative (CBI) which is an expression of geopolitics in the economic field. It is an initiative of the United States towards the Caribbean providing duty free entrance to the United States of a number of goods, and it officially aims at fostering the economic development of the states in the region, as its formal name 'Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act' indicates. It is not a regional plan but a national plan of the United States for a new peripheralization of the Caribbean. It is not regional but on a bilateral base, since it is based on the relation of the United States with each one of the respective Caribbean countries separately and operates like a fan of dyadic relations toward the Caribbean Basin with the pivot in the United States. The CBI is an expression of the fact that the Caribbean Basin is "critical to the ability of the United States to play its role as a world power with wideranging global commitments" (González 1988: 278).

Social scientists in the region have generally been critical of the CBI. It was questioned because of its bilateral character that threatens regional integration (Polanyi-Levitt 1985)

in because of its lack of feasibility due to technical molens for improving the level of production in the Cartication (Palmer 1894: 69) and developing a national capitalist data (Watson 1886a). It was also criticized because of its aim on tengrate the Cartibbean Basin into the North American seates (Heine 1988: 56; Watson 1965: 220), and in general, secuse its main purpose was an attempt at reasserting ingeniony in the region (Watson 1985a: 32; Hillcost and Quenn 1987: 77).

The Grenada invasion and the CBI served the same basic sursets although using different devices. The CBI was ment to strengthen the new peripheralization and reassert the properties of the properties of the carbons states while they themselves entered in competitions at the carbons states while they themselves entered in competition of the carbons states while they themselves entered in competition of the carbons at the carbon of th

In the geopolitical studies on the region it was not always related that even though the East -West rivalry was related to the problem of hegemony it could never substitute it as a major variable in the analysis. In fact, there is a consequent descial hegemonic response to nationalist, anti-imperialist and mass movements in the region, which predates the Soviet Vector Revolution and can therefore never be explained by Machine West rivalry. It is related to a natural propensity in Society was not considered to the control of t

was only the modern version of the Monroe-Doctrine, both of which were based on underlying interests and geared toward, suppressing international economic contradictions by dischotomizing any third option into one of the poles of the extrapegional rivalry.

It should be noted in the case of geopolitical studies than

it is not possible to isolate a particular region, and therefore the geopolitical analysis of the Caribbean cannot be separate from the wider context of hemispheric relations. The field of geopolitics can therefore become a meeting place for the social

sciences of the Caribbean and Latin America.

Geopolities in the Carribbean Basin is only one aspect age general hemispheric development and is related to the diciliage general hemispheric development and is related to the diciliage of United States' hegemony in the hemisphere as part of a global loss of empire, indications of which can be found in the emergence of SELA, the Annual Meeting of the Latin American Presidents, the refusal to support United States' Central America policy, the reincorporation of Cuba in the Latin American family, but it is most clear in the emergence of the Contadora Group, which as Johnny Cova observes, "is the Latin American annual Company, and the Contadora Group, which as Johnny Cova observes, "is the Latin American solutions to Latin American problems" (1987-153), and as was observed elsewhere, when stripped of all hetoric its resintantion seems to be a first step towards an "OAS without the United States" (Sankatasine 1988: 8).

The hemispheric approach in geopolitical studies is important for another reason, because geopolitics in the Cardbean is not only relevant from the point of view of the hegemony of extra-regional appropriate, but also with the focus on intra-regional hemispheric south-south cooperation in the relations between the English and Dutch Speaked Cardbean and Latin America several persistant port 1984. Cardbean and Latin America several persistant port 1984 particularly the border disputs of Guyana and Venezulis. Belize and Guatemala, and the demarcation of maritary frontiers between Trinidad and Tobage and Venezulis. Compared to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary frontiers between Trinidad and Tobage and Venezulis. Compared to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of maritary to the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the demarcation of the Cardbean and Cautemala, and the Cardbean and Cautemala,

anctural developments like the Malvinas/Falklands War IJy 1983 only widelend the gap that already existed due to historical differences and negative mutual perceptions, and further weakened the options for a closer integration between the Caribbean and Latin America.

New patterns of peripheralization that can appear on the scene point in the same direction, as they can lead to what Lealie Manigat (1988-235) calls, "a dismembering of the Caribbean through the adoption of a go-it-alene policy by individual nation states of the region", particularly in closer relations with the United States (Grenada, or as an effect of GBI, Great Britain (Anguilla), Prance (Haiti), Holland The Netherlands Antilles, Aruba, Suriname), the Soviet Union Cabal.

The recent growth of the number of social science studies on geopolitics particularly in the 1980s point to new social and political processes that are gaining relevance in the region.

The progressive interweaving of social, economic and oblicial issues at the national level in a wider international network of relations and the interpretation of national issues in geopolitical terms by the major actors in the region is clearly reflected in the social science research on the region.



V. Conclusion

When the social sciences, developed in close interaction with the social evolution of Europe, were transplanted to the Carbbean in the form of separate disciplines, a particular jace structure in the scientific study of the region stardured, that harbourd serious limitations for social scintured development in the English and Dutch Speaking Scinture, the separate social science disciplines were not even signested of one central unified body of social science, but speaker more or less autonomous social science fields of study, with a differential evolution.

On the one hand, the advent of the social sciences in the region constituted a positive development as a rich tradition of thought and accumulation of knowledge was made accessible to the region; on the other hand, however, their transplantation to the region organisated an unber of complications

related to their usefulness and applicability.

For early Carribbean social scientists who were confronted with difficulty problems of application this led basically to two Major responses in the post-war period: indigenization of the social sciences and the transsendence of the individual disciplines, as was amply discussed. These reactions were positively influenced by the close relation and interveneying of which was durated that was characteristic for the region. It can discipline the problems of the social sciences, particularly of its major reseptional problems. The social sciences, particularly of its major responsability and the social sciences, particularly of its major responsability and the social sciences, particularly of its major responsability to the social science species of the social sciences and the social sciences and the social sciences and the social sciences are particularly to 1940s.

The ongoing emancipation and decolonization processes, Particularly when the advent of political independence besame inevitable, exerted significant pressure to institutional134 7 Conclusion

ize social science training and research in the region, and the newly established social science centres formed the institutional base to support the indigenization process and provided the basic infrestructure for further domestication of social research.

The problems and challenges that there contradicage agreets of the ineption of the social sciences harbours providing a scientific tool of analysis that could be questioned as the same time for its applicability, have often been improved by the composition social scientists, as can be appreciated in the supports of Finals in Frazier, who noted in an introduction, an important publication on the Caribbeant (Nobin 1957) the supports of Finals in Frazier, who noted in an introduction and introduction of the support of the suppor

However, in a relatively short span Caribbean social scientists managed to give rise to a rich tradition of regional social science.

After half a century of indigenous social research in the Caribbean it is pertinent to raise the question whethers better understanding of its societies could be achieved and whether more valid or at least more reasonable explanation could be given for its social processes and developments.

Several social scientists have commented that the designment of theory in the social sciences on the region is away as well, as we discussed before it was observed that what have are "shelf-all foliar" and "shells with little contained the social foliar" and "shells with little contained the social foliar shells with the shell shel

that they are able to capture and reflect accurately the specifics of the Caribbean situation".

Although these assertions about the theoretical weakset of the regional social science cannot be denied, two
marcs should be advanced. The first point is whether other
vesities and regions of the Third World or even of the North
statistic have been able to achieve a better understanding of
the structure and dynamics of change and development of
their respective societies, there does not seem to crisis support
of an affirmative answer to this question. The second point
rainees as a consequence of which no exclusively Caribbean
noises and the second of the second point of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the second point
of the sec

Crisis in the social sciences do not stem from endogenous cases within the disciplines, but rather from the confrontasen with reality, social praxis and social history, and it is a set to the confrontasen with reality, social praxis and social history, and it is conscial reality has been less satisfactory in the Caribbean than oversewhere, and whether in this particular region social knowlsets has been more at variance with social reality.

It can be concluded from the evidence advanced in this

substitute the indigenous social sciences in the Roglish and the Speaking Caribbean have made substantial progress their inception in the 1940s and can already count on a stratified body of indigenous social science thought and assumed the social science work has been seen and many original contributions were generated by algonous Caribbean social science research, which even international fame to several Caribbean social science international fame to several Caribbean social science, and the second science of the science of the

136 T Conclusion

Walter Rodney, to name a few, while Arthur Lewis was awarded the Nobel Prize in Economics in 1979.

But the development of social science in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean has not been uncomplicated du to the constraints that accompanied its non-endogenous na ture, such as those which stem from its differentiation in disciplines. Since the early days of Eric Williams and Arthur Lewis until modern times, efforts were made to overcome the limitations of the separate social science disciplines and the early abandonment of a uni-disciplinary practice gradually opened the way for a multi-, inter- and even transdisciplinary approach with an increasing integration of the separate disciplines. However, there is a limit to this progressive advances if the premisses of the social science disciplines are not questioned and if the social processes of production and legitimation of science on which they were grounded historically are not taken into account. The challenge to transcend the individual discipline is still open for the future, in order to achieve a non-desintegrated, holistic social science with a central discussion on theory, paradigm and methodology which can lead to what can be denominated an 'extra-disciplinary approach' (of which this study is a modest attempt).

It should be realized, however, that no solution will be found in a global and amorphous social science without specializations, since such a complex subject matter as the Caribbean societies and their social processes cannot dispense with specialized studies. What should be pursued therefore, is specialization that corresponds to the major problem fields and challenges in the Caribbean (Van Lie 1979: 10,1) in which social reality itself-will impose the integration of disciplines. It should be realized that the ideal of settra-disciplinery's social science constitutes a sharped it cannot be considered to the control of existing social science constitutes and any real it cannot be considered to the control of the cont

in the field of social science methodology caution is warnized and social scientists in the region should be on their card against a propensity to consider the field of methodoloral less subjective and less context-bound than theory, since that can lead to an underestimation of the limitations often application of current methodology for social research, as can be seen for instance in the observation of Manners and the context of the contemporary of the context of the context of the context of the contemporary Caribbean and cann other Third World communities."

cream other infired words communities.

However, it should be taken into account that methods and techniques, as instruments of research, are developed in or pursuit of solutions and answers to specific problems and cessions that rive in a particular social cortext, and constitution of the constitution of

In the case of Caribbean social science already in its early cost war efforts at indigenization in the work of Arthur Lewis, but even more so with the advent of the New World Foun, externally generated models and a methodology that was developed in function of an alien social context, were remanyl questioned. This critical stand was continued in the most recent questioning of the sophisticated quantitative changues that are based on assumptions of a level of measurement, that the social variables lacked in the region (just as

At several points in this study, particularly when the different social science conceptualizations in the region were discussed, a descriptive and phenomena-oriented approach was identified. The theory of the plural society, for example, "as called a 'descriptive classificatory scheme" (Cross), while

138 □ c_o

the 'plantation economy model' was seen as a "typological (Harris), a "description of static reality" (Sudaman) and criticized beause of its "feithistic" approach and focus on a "thing manifestation" (Figuerea). It should be realized hever, that overemphasis on facts, events or phenomena can detrimental to social research, since a "deification" of factors of the control of the c

therefore obscure the analysis.

Reinhard Bendix (1964: 24) observes that in the analysis of the social sciences the future must be conceived of uncertain, but not only from the viewpoint of the present, also from the past, because the eventual development of perents are some size of the present of the prese

Only when this is understood can justice be done to work of the late Elsa Govein who observed that "in hisk time supplies the continuum but not the principle of chain many of the West Indian historians did: to seek, beyond marrative of events, a wider understanding of the though habits and institutions of a whole society. In the society is in its purpose and in its daughter processes will be found in its uproper.

true genesis of history" (Goveia 1956: 139).

There is a particular danger for the social sciences folling the conjunctural movement of events and social developments, of themselves becoming 'conjunctural social scient that 'oscillate' with events and become a victim of the prices' of evolution. Social science, excessively precedively events can be reduced to 'scientific journalism' dealing with contemporary reality, and when turning to

pest, to some kind of 'analytical historiography'. It should be noted that the Caribbean social sciences have not been exampt from this tendency, and therefore caution is warranted for the future.

Particularly at times of crisis when progress in the social science tends to stagnate because of their perceived incapacity to deal with the central issues of society, there exists a sanger of moving away from theory and fall into empiricism with schemeral, phenomena-oriented research.

The agenda of the social sciences in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean cannot be divorced from the tradition of half a century of accumulated social science practice, and in general terms research can be expected to continue in the wake of the major issues which have already been the concern of the Caribbean social sciences.

The responsibility of the social sciences towards society studerscrost re-levience of studying visible urgent social pollems which have always figured on the already classical inof social evils, such as unemployment, powerty, marginalize and criminality, the problems of housing, health care and decation, and the list can only be expanded with new conjuntural problems that have loomed up in recent times, such a city traffic and harve-government, the debt problem, and the social problems related to AIDS that seems to find a best problem, and the social problems related to AIDS that seems to find a best problem of the social problems are consistent of the social problems are consistent to the social problems are consistent to the social problems are consistent to the social problems and the social problems and constitute the spineness of underlying factors, a substantial part of interaction and the spineness and underlying factors, as undestantial part diversarch should be decidated to these structural factors.

The major issues that will figure on the agenda will own the race-class debate, the problems of economic, social and political stability and change should be dealt with, and a throny of social change seems to constitute the next priority not only at the national level.

At the regional level, the issue of integration on wich there has existed an almost consensual support amongst social

he

140 Conclusion

scientists, will continue to attract attention, while geopolities starts to constitute a new field of increasing relevance which can form the most significant meeting place for Caribbean and Latin American social scientists.

But there is one general condition that seems to be imperative. For the social sciences to be able to address themselves to these and other major problems that will appear on the scene, freedom of research and writing is an indispensable condition. In the fragile intolerand democracies of the Caribbean where no other institution democracies of the Caribbean where no other institution that the university has a special responsibility to constitute, the university has a special responsibility to constitute, the constitute of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution and the constitution and anomaly to the constitution and anomaly to the constitution of the constitution of the constitution and consti

The social scientists themselves should help in creating such favourable conditions for the progress of social sciences in the region, with joint efforts and cross-fertilization of ideas a climate of free and open dicussion that is not plagued by an apologetical defense of dogmatic tenets as has too often been the case in the nast.

In backward societies the social scientists form part of the privileged sector, and therefore a heavy burden is laid upon their shoulders in the politically brittle Caribbean societies, where 'persistent poverty' persists along with high incomes

'per capita selecta'.

In the history of the social sciences in the English and Dutch Speaking Carbbean, the social scientists have newt failed to assume their responsibility as this brief study has been able to demonstrate and it can be expected that the future will be a continuation of the past and that the new child integrated multi-their soficial responsibility and the second property of the social scientists have done in the course of a turbulent half century in the English and Dutch Speaking Carthbean.

References

Bibliographical Notes

In order to maintain the exact chronology of the social ginese publications, the system of reference chosen for this soldy is based on author and original date of publication, even seen laster editions were quoted or consulted. This is imporum for the exact location in time of the respective works in originations of social science development in the region. In origination, the which are not in English are translated for contractive the which are not in English are translated for a some for official to Tutchly, which is passed in the in other languages than English are translations of the surface.

Abraham-Van der Mark, E.E.

1969

Yu'i Mama: Enkele facetten van gezinsstructuur op Curacao. (Yu'i Mama: Some Facets of Family Structure in Curacao) Assen (Holland): Van Gorcum, 1973 (Orig. Ph. D. diss. Amsterdam, 1969)

Adhin, Jan H.

Development Planning in Surinam in Historical-Perspective (With Special Reference to the Ten Years Plan). Leiden (Holland): Ph. D. diss. 1961

Adorno, Theodor W. et al. 1972

1961

Der Positivismusstreit in der deutschen Soziologie. (The Positivism Debate in German Sociology) Darmstadt und Neuwied (Germany): Luchterhand Verlag. Agarwala, A.N. Singh, A.P. 1958(eds.) The Economics of Underde, velopment. London: Oxford University Press.

Alpers, Edward A Fontaine, Pierre-Michal 1982 (eds.) Walter Rodney, Revolutionary and

Aluminium Comité 1970 (ed.) Scholar A Tribute. Los Angeles Center for Afro-American Studies and African Studies Center. University of California.

De uitbuiting van Suriname. (The Exploitation of Suriname

Ambursley, Fitzroy Cohen, Robin 1983a (eds.) Nijmegen (Holland): Socialistische Uitgeverij Nijmegen (Compiled by Aluminium Comité) Crisis in the Caribbean. London

1983b

Heinemann Educational Books

Crisis in the Caribbean: Interna
Transformations and Externa
Constraints. In: Ambursley an

Cohen 1983a.

Ayearst, Morley 1954

A Note on Some Characteristics of West Indian Political Parties. In Lowenthal and Comitas 1973a

Azimullah, Evert 1987 Jaggernath Lachmon: Een pel tiske biografis.. (Jaggernath Lachmon: A Political Biography Paramaribo: Vaco-Press, n.d. (Astually published in 1987)

Bakker, Eveline 1985 Surinams in historiografich per spectief: 1945-1970. (Suriname i Historiographic Perspective: 1945 1970) SWI Forum (Suriname) Vol

2, No. 2, 1985.

Barry, Tom Wood, Beth Preusch, Dah The Other Side of Paradise: Foreign Control in the Caribbean, New York: The Grove Press.

Reckford, George L. 1969

The Economics of Agricultural Resource Use and Development in Plantation Economies. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 18 No. 4 1969

1972

Persistent Poverty: Underdevelopment in Plantation Economies of the Third World London : Oxford

1975a (ed.)

Caribbean Economy: Dependence and Backwardness, Kingston; ISER

1975

Caribbean Rural Economy, In: Beckford 1975a

The Plantation System and the Penetration of International Capitalism. In: Lindsay 1978a

University Press

1984

1978

The Struggle for a Relevant Economics. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 33, No. 1, 1984

Beckford, George L. Witter, Michel 1982

Small Garden Bitter Weed: The Political Economy of Struggle and Change in Jamaica, Jamaica: Maroon Publishing House (The original 1980 edition was expanded in 1982)

144 D References

Bell, Wendell

1967 (ed.)

1969

1974

1980

Bell, Wendell Freeman, Walter E.

Bell, Wendell

Oxaal, Ivar 1964 (eds.)

Bendix, Reinhard

Bendix, Reinhard 1964

D. . . . D. . . ! -

Benn, Denis 1984 The Democratic Revolution in the West Indies: Studies in National, ism, Leadership and the Belief in Progress. Cambridge (Mass.). Schenkman Publishing Co.

Teachers, Students and Ideas: A Personal Account. In: I.L. Horowitz 1969

Ethnicity, Decisions of Nationhood, and Images for the Future. In: Bell and Freeman 1974 Equality and Social Justice: Foun-

dations of Nationalism in the Caribbean. Caribbean Studies 1980 No. 2

Ethnicity and Nation Building: Comparative, International and Historical Perspectives. London: Sage Publications

Decisions of Nationhood: The Po-

litical and Social Development of the British Caribbean. Denver (Colorado): The Social Science Foundation, University of Denver.

Estado nacional y ciudanía. Buenos Aires: Amorrortu Editores, 1974 (Nation Building and Citizenship New York: Wiley, 1964)

The Caribbean Community: The Internal Environment: The Geo

graphic, Economic and Politics Legacy. In: Ten Years of Caricom 1984 1987

Ideology and Political Development: The Growth of Political Ideas in the Caribbean, 1774-1983. Kingston: ISER

Bernal, Richard Figueroa, Mark Witter, Michael 1984 Caribbean Economic Thought: The Critical Tradition. Social and Economic Studies Vol 33. No. 2, 1984

Best, Lloyd

Size and Survival. In: Girvan and Jefferson 1971 (Orig. In New World Quarterly Vol. 2, No. 3, 1966)

1966

Independent Thought and Caribbean Freedom. In: Girvan and Jefferson 1971 (Orig. 1967)

1967

Outlines of a Model of Pure Plantation Economy. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 17, No. 3, 1968

Best, Lloyd Levitt, Kari 1968 Externally Propelled Industrialization and Growth in the Caribbean. Montreal: Mc Gill (Mimeo)

Bosch, Juan 1970 De Cristóbal Colón a Fidel Castro: El Caribe Frontera Imperial. (From Christopher Colombus to Fidel Castro: The Caribbean Imperial Frontier) Barcelona: Editora Alfaguara.

Braithwaite, Lloyd 1953

Social Stratification in Trinidad: A Preliminary Analysis Kingston: ISER, 1975 (Orig. in Social and Economic Studies Vol. 2, Nos. 2 and 3, 1953)

The Present Status of the Social 1957a Sciences in the Caribbean. In: Ru. bin 1957 Sociology and Demographic Re-1957h search in the British Caribbean Social and Economic Studies Vol 6. No. 4, 1957 The Development of Higher Educa-1958 tion in the British West Indies. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 7, No. 1, 1958

Social Stratification and Cultural Pluralism. In: Horowitz 1971 (Orig. in: Rubin 1960)

The Role of the University in the Developing Society of the West Indies, Social and Economic Stadies Vol. 14. No. 1, 1965

The Development of Creole Society in Jamaica 1770-1820. London: Oz ford University Press

Contradictory Omens: Cultura Diversity and Integration in the Caribbean. Jamaica: Savacou Pub lications, 1985 (Orig. 1974)

Caribbean Economic Integration Problems and Perspectives. Jose nal of Common Market Studies Vo 9 No. 4, 1971

Economic Dependence: A Quantita

tive Interpretation. Social and Eo nomic Studies Vol. 22, No. 1, 197

1960

1965

Brathwaite, Edward 1971

1974

Brewster, Havelock R.

1973

1971

Brewster, Havelock Thomes, Clive Y. 1967 The Dynamics of West Indian Economic Integration. Kingston: ISER, 1973. (Orig. 1967)

1969

Aspects of the Theory of Economic Integration. Journal of Common Market Studies, Dec. 1969

Brodber, Erna 1974 Social Psychology in the Caribbean: A Bibliography and Some Comments. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 23, No. 3, 1974

Brown, Adlith 1973 Review of Girvan and Jefferson 1971 Social and Economic Studies Vol. 22 No. 2 1973

Brown, Adlith Brewster, Hevelock R. 1974

A Review of the Study of Economics in the English Speaking Caribbean. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 23, No. 1, 1974

Bryan, Anthony 1983

The CARICOM and Latin American Integration Experiences Observations on Theoretical Origins, and Comparative Performance. CARICOM Bulletin No. 4, 1983

Buschkens, W.F.L. 1973 Het Familiesysteem der volkscreolen van Paramaribo. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1974 (Orig. Ph. D. diss. Leiden, Holland, 1973, English Edition: The Family System of the Paramaribo Creoles)

Butt Colson, Audrey Heinen, H. Dieten 1983 1984 Themee in Political Organization: The Caribe and their Neighbours. Caracas: Instituto Caribe de Anthropología y Sociología. Antropologica 59-62 Camejo, Acton M. What is Sociology? In: Cross 1970

References

Caram, Anthony R.

Geldanalyse en Centrale Bank pal
tiek in Suriname Enige be
monetaire beidel bij de om ke
monetaire beidel bij de
monetaire peldel beidel
monetaire Pelde in the Book
Monetaire Pelde in the Book

Tilburg

Cárdenas, Osvaldo
De revolutie van sergeanten. (The
Revolution of Sargents) Nijmegen
(Holland): Studiscentrum vor
Vredesvraagstukken

Carrington, Edwin
1968
Industrialization in Trinidad and
Tobago since 1950 In: Girvan and
Jefferson 1971 (Orig. in New World
Ountret'v Vol. 4. No. 2. 1968

Castro, Gregorio A.

1988
Sociólogos y sociología en Veneruela. (Sociologíata and Sociología Veneruela) Caracas: Unesco-Tropykos

Cultural aktiviteit in Suriname (Cultural Activity in Suriname) Paramaribo: Stichting Cultured Centrum Suriname (CCS)

Development since 1957) The Ha. gue: Ph. D. diss. University of

Centrum Suriname (CCS)

Cepeda, Fernando

Colombia: A Caribbean Vocation

1988 In: Heine and Manigat 1988

CCS

1957

Chernick, Sidney E.

The Commonwealth Caribbean: The Integration Experience, Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press (Report of a mission sent to the Commonwealth Caribbean by the World Bank)

Chin, Henk E. 1986s (ed.) The Caribbean Basin and the Changing Structure. Groningen (Holland): Wolters-Noordhof

1986b

The Need for Restructuring the Economy of Suriname. In: Chin

Cinanni, Paolo

Emigration und Imperialismus: Zur Problematik der Arbeitsemigranten. (Emigration and Imperialism: On the Problem of Labourmigrants) Munich (Germany): Trikont Verlag

Clarke, Edith

My Mother who Fathered me: A Study of the Family in Three Selected Communities in Jamaica. London: George Allen and Unwin

Collins, B.A.N. 1967 A Select Bibliography on Public Administration in the British Caribbean. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 16. No.1, 1967

Comitas, Lambros 1977

The Complete Caribbeana (1900-1975): A Bibliographical Guide to the Scholarly Literature. Millwood (N.J.): KTO Press

Comitas, Lambros Lowenthal, David 1973a (eds.) Slaves, Free Men, Citizens: West Indian Perspectives. New York: Anchor Books 1973b (eds.)

Work and Family Life: West Indian Pespectives. New York: Anchor Books

Cova, Johnny

Cuba: Un número clave en la com, binación del Caribe. (Cuba a Key Number in the Caribbean Combination) In: Sarbin 1987

Craig, Susan

Review of Lowenthal 1972. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 23, No. 1, 1974

1978

The Application of Oral-History Research Techiques in the Study of Social Behaviour. In: Lindsay 1978a

1981 (ed.)

Contemporary Caribbean: A Sociological Reader, Vol I. Trinidad: The College Press

1982a (ed.)

Contemporary Caribbean: A Sociological Reader, Vol II. Trinidad: The College Press

Sociological Theorizing in the Eng.

1982b

lish Speaking Caribbean: A Review. In: Craig 1982a

Cultural Pluralism and Sociological Cultural Plura

Cross, Malcolm 1968

cal Theory: A Critique and Beevaluation. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 17, No. 4, 1968

1970 (ed.)

West Indian Social Problems: A

10.0 (ed.)

Sociological Perspective. Port of Spain: Columbus Publishers, 1980 (Orig. 1970) 1977

Problems and Prospects of Caribbean Social Research. Boletin de Estudios Latinoamericanos y del Caribe No. 22, 1977

1978

Colonialism and Ethnicity: A Theory and Comparative Case Study. Ethnic and Racial Studies Vol. 1, No. 1, 1978

Cumper, G.E. 1974 Dependence, Development and the Sociology of Economic Thought. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 23, No. 3, 1974

Danns, George K. 1983 Decolonization and Militarization in the Caribbean: The Case of Guyana. in: Henry and Stone 1983 The Role of the Military in the

1986
De Kadt, Emmanuel

National Security of Guyana. In: Young and Phillips 1986

Patterns of Foreign Influence in the Caribbean. London: Oxford Univer-

1972 (ed.)

Caribbean. London: Oxford University Press

Cultus and ritueel van het othodox hindoeisme in Suriname. (Cult and Ritual of Orthodox Hinduism in

De Klerk, C.J.M. 1951 De Kom, Anton

Suriname) Amsterdam: Urbi et Orbi Wij slaven van Suriname. (We: Slaves of Suriname) Amsterdam: Uitgeverij Contact, 1981 (Orig. 1934: Spanish Translation by Casa

1934

De la Peña, Sergio

de las Américas, Havana)

El antidesarrollo de America
Latina. (The Anti-Development of
Latin America) Mexico: Siglo XXI

1971

Latin America) Mexico: Siglo Editores, 1980 (Orig. 1971)

Demas, William G. 1965

The Economics of Development in Small Countries with Special Ref. erence to the Caribbean. Montreal McGill University Press

1974

West Indian Nationhood and Caribbean Integration. Barbados: Caribbean Commonwealth Secretariat Publishing House

1975

Situation and Change. In: Beckford 1975a

1976

Essays on Caribbean Integration and Development. Kingston: ISER The Ten Challenges of the Nineteen

1987

Eighties. Bulletin of Eastern Caribbean Affairs Vol. 7, No. 3, 1981 Seize the Time: Towards OECS Political Union. Barbados: St. Michael

Depres, Leo A. 1964 The Implication of Nationalist Politics in British Guinan for the Development of Cultural Theory. American Anthropologist Vol. 66, No. 5, 1964

Cultural Pluralism and Nationalist

1967

Politics in British Guiana. Chicago Rand Mc Nally The Javanese of Suriname: See

De Waal Malefijt, Annemarie 1963

ment of a Plural Society. Assen (Holland): Van Gorcum

Dew, Edward 1978 The Difficult Plowering of Surinam Ethnicity and Politics in a Plural Society. The Hague: Martinus De Valk, J.M.M.

Encyclopedia van de sociologie. (Encyclopedia of Sociology) Amsterdam: Elsevier

Domingo, W. A.

British West Indian Federation: A Critique. In: Lowethal and Comitas 1973a

Durant-Gonzalez, Victoria Role and Status of Rural Jamaican
Women: Higglering and Mothering.

Women: Higglering and Mothering. Berkeley: Ph. D. Thesis, University of Berkeley.

1986

Duverger, Maurice

Evolution of a Research Methodology. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 35, No. 2, 1986

Introduction to the Social Sciences: With Special Reference to their Methods. London: George Allen and Unwin

Ely, Roland T. 1983 Olae de lae Malvinas: Repercueiones del conflicto Anglo-Argentino en la cuenca del Cariba. (Waves of the Malvinas: Repercuesione of the Anglo-Argentine Conflict in the Caribbean Basin) Mérida (Venezuela): Libros Azul

1987

Guyana y Suriname frente al "Coloso del Sur" (Guyana and Suriname Facing the Colossus of the South) In: Serbin 1987

Engels, Friedrich 1888 Ludwig Feuerbach and the End of Classical German Philosophy. Moscow: Progress Publisher 1973 (With an Appendix: Karl Marx' Theses on Feuerbach. Orig. 1888) Erisman, Michael Martz, John 1982 (eds.) Colossus Challenged: The Struggle for Caribbean Influence. Bouldner (Colorado): Westview Press

Etzioni, Amitai

A Union that Failed: The Federation of the West Indies (1958-1962), Chapter in: Etzioni, Amitai; Political Unification. New York: Holt, Rinchart and Winston, 1965.

Evelyn, Shirley 1974 West Indian Index: An Index to Moko, New World Quarterly, Savacou, Tapia 1963-1972. St Augustine (Trinidad): U.W.I.

Farrell, Terence W. 1980 Arthur Lewis and the Case for Caribbean Industrialization. Social Economic Studies Vol. 29, No. 4, 1980

Farrell, Trevor M.A.

Review Article on Dependence and Transformation. (Thomas 1974) Social and Economic Studies Vol. 25, No. 3, 1974

Figueroa, Mark 1977 A Critical Essay on Caribbean Political Economy. Kingston (Mona): Department of Economics, U.W.L. (mirnes)

Fletcher, Gem France, Lynette Sukdeo Iris D. 1987 Higher Education in Guyana. Caracas: CRESALC-UNESCO

Frazier, Franklin 1957 Introduccion. In: Rubin 1957s

1957 Furnivall, J.S. and a little to the P

1939

Netherlands India: A Study of Plural Economy London: 1939. 1948

1945 Some Problems of Tropical Economy In: Hinden 1945

> Colonial Policy and Practice: A Comparative Study of Burma and Netherlands India. Cambridge University Press

Garcia Muñiz, Humberto Intervención,
1987 militarización

Intervención, seguridad regional y militarización en el Caribe: 1979-1986. (Intervention, Regional Secuvity and Militarization in the Caribbean) Managua: Coordinadora Regional de Investigaciones Económicas y Sociales (CRIES)

1988 Defense Policy and Planning in the
Caribbean: An Assessment of the
Case of Jamaica on its 25th Independence Anniversary. Caribbean
Studies Vol. 21, No. 1-2, 1988

Germani, Gino Migration and Acculturation. In: 1964 Hauser 1964

Girvan, Norman

1970

Multinational Corporations and
Dependent Underdevelopment in
Mineral Export Economies. Socialand Economic Studies Vol. 19, No.
4, 1970

1971 Foreign Capital and Economic Underdevelopment in Jamaica. Kingston: ISER

1973 The Development of Dependency
Economics in the Caribbean and
Latin America: Review and Comparison. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 22, No. 1, 1973

1975

Aspects of the Political Economy of Race in the Caribbean and the Americas. Kingston (Mona): ISER

1976 Girvan, Norman Corporate Imperialism: Conflict and Expropriation. New York: Monthly Review Press

Jefferson, Owen 1968 1971 (eds.) Corporate versus Caribbean Integration. In: Girvan and Jefferson 1971 (Orig. in New World Quarterly Vol. 4, No. 2, 1968)

Glazer, Nathan Moynihan, Daniel 1975 (eds) González, Edward Readings in the Political Economy of the Caribbean. Kingston: New World Associates Ethnicity: Theory and Experience. Cambridge (Mass.): Harvard Uni-

U.S. Strategic Interests in the Caribbean Basin. In: Heine and Manigat 1988

versity Press

1988 Gorostiaga, Xavier

Toward Alternative Policies for the Region. Cimarron Vol. 1, No. 2, 1986

Gouldner, Alvin W. 1970 The Coming Crisis of Western Sociology. London Heinemann, 1972 (Orig. 1970)

Goveia, Elsa

Estudios de la historiografia de las Antillas Inglesas hasta finales del Siglo XIX. Havana: Casa de las Americas, 1984. (Orig: A Study on the Historiography of the British

1956

1974h

1977

West Indies up to the End of the Nineteenth Century. Mexico: Instituto Panamericano de Geografía e Historia. 1956)

1965 Slave Society in the British Leeward Islands at the End of the Nineteeth Century. New Haven: Yale University Press

Jowricharn, Ruben S. The Decolonization of Suriname.

1980 Carabisch Forum Vol. 1, No. 1,

1980 Caraibisch Forum Vol. 1, No. 1, 1980

1981 The State in Primary-Export Societies: The Case of Suriname. In: Craig 1981

1983 Staat en accumulatie in Suriname:
Historische en theoretische notities. (State and Accumulation in
Suriname: Historical and Theoretical Notes) In: Willemen 1983:

Race versus Politics in Guyana.

1974a Kingston: ISER

search in the English Speaking Caribbean: Toward a Methodology. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 23, No. 1, 1974

> Research Trends in the Development Sciences in the English Speaking Caribbean. In: Greene and Collins 1977

A Review of Political Science Re-

158 D

1983 Cooperativism, Militarization,
Party Politics, and Democracy in
Guyana.In: Henry and Stone 1983

1984 Challenges and Responses in Social Science Research in the English Speaking Caribbean. Social and Economic Studies Vol 33, No. 1, 1984

Greene, J. Edward
Collins, Carol
1977
English Speaking Caribbean. Kingston, ISPR

Hall, Stuart Pluralism, Race and Class in Car-1977 ibbean Society. In: Unesco 1977

1980 Race, Articulation and Societies Structured in Dominance. In:

Unesco 1980

Harris, Don Notes on a Marxist Methodology for

1978 Social Science Research in the Caribbean. In: Lindsay 1978a

Hauser, Ph. M. Handbook of Social Research in

Hauser, Ph. M.

1964 Handbook of Social Research in
Urban Areas. Paris: Unesco
Heilbron, U.W.

Kleine boeren in de schaduw vande

1982 plantage: De politière ekonomie van de na-slavermij periode in Suriname. (Small Farmers in 'br Shadow of the Plantation: The 'Pe littical Economy of the Poat-Slaver's

llitical Economy of the Post-Slavery
Period in Suriname Notterdam
Ph. D. Thesis, University of Rotter
dam

Heine, Jorge From Commonwealth Caribbean to
1988 Caribbean Commonwealth. In:
Heine and Manigat 1988

Heine, Jorge Manigat, Leslie 1988 The Caribbean and World Politics: Cross Currents and Cleavages, New York: Holmer and Meier

Helman, Albert 1954

1983

1985

1983

Spokendans. (Ghost Dance) Amsterdam: Amsterdamsche Boek- en Courantmii.

Henry, Paget

Decolonization and the Authoritarian Context of Democracy in Antigua. In: Henry and Stone 1983

Henry, Paget Stone, Carl

Periperal Capitalism and Underdevelopment in Antigua, New Brunswick (N. J.): Transaction Books The Newer Caribbean: Decolonization, Democracy and Development, Philadelphia: Institute for the

Study of Human Issues Herskovits Melville J. Life in a Haitian Valley, New York: Knopf

1937 1941

The Myth of the Negro Past. New York: Harpers Rebel Destiny: Among the Bush

Herskovits, Melville J. Herskovits, Francis S. 1934

Negroes of Dutch Guiana, Amster-Surinam Folklore, New York: Columbia University Press

dam: Emmering

1936

Trinidad Village, New York: Knopf

1947 Hillcoat, Guillermo Quenan, Carlos

La iniciativa para la cuenca del Caribe: antecedentes v perspectives (The Caribbean Regin Initiative: Antecedents and Perspectives) In: Serbin 1987

1987

Hinden, Rita 1945 Fabian Colonial Essays. London: Allen and Unwin

Hira, Sandew 1980 Imperialisme, akkumulatie en klassenstrijd in Suriname. (Imperialism, Accumulation and Class

1983

alism, Accumulation and Class Struggle in Suriname) Caraibisch Forum, Series of articles in Vol. 1 and 2 Van Priary tot en met De Kom: De geschiedenis van het verzet in Suriname 1630-1940. (From Priary to

Hirst, Monica 1986 De Kom: The History of Resistance in Suriname 1630-1940) Rotter, dam: Putile La Política Exterior de Brasil en 1986. (The Foreign Policy of Brazil in 1986) In: Muñoz, H., Las politicas exteriores de América Latina y el

Hoetink, Harry 1962 in 1986) in Muñoz, H., Las politicas exteriores de América Latina y el Caribe: continuidad en la crisis. Anuario de Políticas Exteriores Latinoamericanas, Prospel, 1986 De gespleten samenleving in het Caraibisch Gebied: Bildrage tot de Caraibisch Gebied: Bildrage tot de

sociologie der rasrelaties in gesegmenteerde maatschappijen. Assen (Holland) Van Gorcum (Shortened

version in English: Two Variants in Caribbean Race Relations: A Contribution to the Sociology of Segmented Societies. London: Oxford University Press, 1967) Sociological Self-Images. London:

Horowitz, Irving L. 1969 (ed.)

Sage Publications

Horowitz, Michael 1971 (ed.)

Peoples and Cultures of the Csribbean. Garden City: The Natural History Press Jacobs, W. R. Granada: El camino hacia la revolución. Havana: Casa de las Américas, 1981 (Orig.: Grenada: The Route to Revolution, Havana: Casa

1980 cas, 1981 (Orig.: Grenada: The Route to Revolution. Havana: Casa de las Américas, 1980) James, C. L. R. The Black Jacobins: Toussaint

1938 L'Ouverture and the San Domingo Revolution. New York: Vintage Books, 1963 (Orig. 1938)

1981 The Birth of a Nation.In: Craig 1981

Quarterly Vol. 3, No. 3, 1967)

1982 Walter Rodney and the Question of Power. In: Alpers and Fontaine

Jefferson, Owen Some Aspects of Post-War Economic Development. In: Girvan and Jefferson 1971 (Orig. in: New World

1972 The Post-War Economic Development of Jamaica, Kingston: ISER

Jones, Edwin Tendencies and Change in Caribbean Adminstrative Systems. So-

cial and Economic Studies Vol. 24.
No.2, 1975

Ince. Basil A. Contemporary International Rela-

1979 tions of the Caribbean. Trinidad: Institute of International Relations

Kahn, M.C.

Africa's Loat Tribes in South America: An On-the-spot Account of
Blood-chilling African Rites of 200
years Ago Preserved Intact in the
Jungles of South America by a Tribe
of Runaway Slaves. Natural History No. 43 (Quoted in Price 1976)

Kloos, Peter

Peter 1971 The Maroni River Caribs of Suriname. Assen (Holland): Van Gorcum

able)

1981

The Future of the Caribs and the Future of Anthropology. Cara: bisch Forum Vol. 2. No. 1, n.d. (Published in 1981)

Koulen, Ingrid Oostindie, Gert Verton, Peter Sociaal-wetenschappelijk ondercoek Nederlandse Antillen: Inventarisatie (1987-1994) en prioriteiten. (Social Research Netherlands Antilles: Inventory (1987-1984) and Priorities) Leiden (Holland): Royal Institute of Linguistics and Anthropology (Shortened English version avail-

Koulen, Ingrid Verton, Peter Silberie, Rupert Dilemma's van dekolonisatie en ontwikkeling: Ontwerpen voor onderzoek, (Dilemmas of Decolonization and Development: Designs for Research) Willemstad: University of the Netherlands Antilles (UNA Cabiers No. 9)

Kruijer, G.

Suriname: Neokolonie in rijksverband. (Suriname: Neo-colony in the Framework of a Kingdom) Meppel (Holland): Boom

1973

19690

1985

Suriname: De problemen en hun oplossingen. (Suriname: The Problems and their Solutions) Utrecht (Holland): Het Spectrum

Kuper, Leo

Ethnic and Racial Pluralism: Some Aspects of Polarization and Depolarization. In: Kuper and Smith 1969b

Plural Societies: Perspectives and Problems. In: Kuper and Smith 1969

Kuper, Leo Smith, M. G. The Theory of Plural Society, Race and Conquest.In: Unesco 1980

Pluralism in Africa. Berkeley: University of California Press

Laclau, Ernesto Mouffe, Chantal 1985 Hegemony and Socialist Strategy: Towards a Radical Democratic Politics. London: Verso

La Guerre, John G. 1982 The Social and Political Thought of the Colonial Intelligentsia. Kingston: ISER

Lamur, Carlo 1983 The American Take Over: Industrial Emergence and Alcoa's Expansion in Guyana and Suriname. (With Special Reference to Suriname 1914-1921) The Hague: Ph. D. Thesis

Lamur, Humphrey E. 1973 The Demographic Evolution of Suriname 1920-1970: A Sociodemographic Analysis. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff (Ph. D. Thesis) Los estudios políticos no Colombia 1970-1987. (The Political Studies in

Leal Buitrago, Francisco 1987

Colombia 1970-1987) Paper presented at the Seminar "Jose Silva Michelena: El estado actual de las ciencias sociales en América Latina. Caracas: Flacso-Cendes (Mimeo)

Levine, Barry B. 1983 (ed.) The New Cuban Presence in the Caribbean. Bouldner (Colorado): Westview Press Levi-Strauss, Claude 1960 El campo de la antropología. The Field of Anthropology (Orig. 1960) In: Lévi-Strauss, Claude. Antropología estructural. Mexico: Siglo XXI Editores, 1986 (Orig. in French 1973)

Levitt, Kari

Canada and the Caribbean: An Assessment. In: Heine and Manigat 1988

Levitt, Kari Best, Lloyd Character of the Caribbean Economy. In: Beckford 1975a

Lewis, Gordon K. 1968

The Growth of the Modern West Indies. New York: Monthly Review Press

1983a

Main Currents in Caribbean Thought: The Historical Evolution of Caribbean Society in its Ideological Aspects. Kingston: Heinemann Educational books

1983b

On the Limits of the New Cuban Presence in the Caribbean. In: Levine 1983

1985a

Some Reflections on the Leading Intellectual Currents that Have Shaped the Caribbean Experience: 1950-1984. Cimarron Vol. 1, No. 1, 1985

19855

The Contemporary Caribbean: A General Overview. In: Mintz and Price 1985 1985c

An Appraisal of Caribbean Scholarship, in: Grieb, K.J. (ed.) Research Guide to Central America and the Caribbean. Madison (Wisconsin): The University of Wisconsin): The University Wisconsin Press.

Lewis, Sybil Thomas Mathews, Caribbean Integration Papers on Social, Political and Economic Integration. Rio Piedras (Puerto Rico); Institute of Caribbean Studies.

Lewis, Vaughan A. 1976 (ed.) Size, Self-Determination and International Relations: The Caribbean. Kingston: ISER

1982

Formal and Informal Mechanism for the Coordination of Social Science Research in the Caribbean. In: Unesco 1982

1983

The Caribbean Experience of the 1970's: Some Lessons in Regional and International Relations. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 32, No. 4, 1983

Geopolitical Realities in the Caribbean Studies Vol. 32, No. 4, 1983

1984a

bean, with Special Reference to the Anglophone Caribbean. In: Ten Years of Caricom 1984

1984b

Commonwealth Caribbean Relations with HemisphericMiddle Powers. In: Payne and Sutton 1984

Lewis, W. Arthur

Labour in the West Indies: The Birth of a Workers Movement. London and Port of Spain: New Beacon Books

An Economic Plan for Jamaica 1945 Agenda Vol. 3. No. 4, 1945 Industrial Development of Puerto 19490 Rico.Caribbean Economic Review Vol. 1, Nos. 1 and 2 1949 1949h The Principles of Economic Planning: A Study Prepared for the Fabian Society, London: Unwin University Books, 1969 (Orig. 1949) The Industrialization of the British 1950 West Indies. Caribbean Economic Review Vol. 2, No. 1, 1950 1954 Economic Development with Unlimited Supplies of Labour. In: Agarwala and Singh 1958 (Orig. 1954) 1955 The Theory of Economic Growth, London: Allen and Unwin Employment Policy in an Underde-19586 veloped Area. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 7, No. 3, 1958 Unlimited Supplies: Further 1958b Notes, Manchester School of Economic and Social Studies Vol. 26, No. 1, 1958 Education and Economic Develop-

1965)

ment . Social and Economic Studies Vol. 10, No. 2, 1961

The Agony of the Eight, In: Lowenthal and Comitas 1973a (Orig-

1961

1965

1967

Instalation Address by the Chancellor. Georgetown: University of Guyana

1980

Autobiographical Note. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 29, No. 4, 1980

Lieuw, Gerda 1986 (ed.) Nos Futuro: Wegen naar een toekomst voor de Nederlandse Antillen. (Nos Futuro: Roads to a Future of the Netherlands Antilles) Zutphen (Holland): De Walburg Press

Lindsay, Louis 1976 Colonialism and the Myth of Resource Insufficiency in Jamaica. In: V.A. Lewis 1976

Methodology and Change: Prob-

1978a (ed.)

lems of Applied Social Science Research Techniques in the Commonwealth Caribbean. Kingston: ISER Westminster Political Institutions:

1978b

Some Methodological Issues. In: Lindsay 1978a

Lowenthal, David 1972 West Indian Societies. London: Oxford University Press The Aftermath of Sovereignty:

Comitas, Lambros 1973a (eds.) West Indian Perspectives New York: Anchor Books

1973b (eds.)

Consequences of Class and Color: West Indian Perspectives. New York: Anchor Books

Lutchman, Harold A.

From Colonialism to Co-operative Republic. Rio Piedras (Puerto Rico): Institute of Caribbean Studies 1978 Constitutional Change and Development: The Case of Guyana. In:
Sedney 1978

MacDonald, Scott B. Trinidad and Tobago: Democracy
and Development in the Caribbean,
New York: Praeger

Magans, Edmundo Review Article: The Maroni River

1981 Caribs of Suriname. Caraibisch Forum Vol. 2, No. 1, n.d. (Published in

Maingot, Anthony P. Cuba and the Commonwealth Car-

ibbean: Playing the Cuban Card In:
Levine 1983

American Foreign Policy in the

Caribbean: Continuities, Changes and Contingencies. International Journal XL, 1985

Maira, Luis
Caribbean State Systems and
1983
Middle Status Powers: The Cases of
Mexico, Venezuela and Cuba. In:
Henry and Stone 1983

Mandle, Jay R. Big Revolution, Small Country: The
1985 Rise and Fall of the Grenada Revolution. Lanham (Maryland): The

Manifest Manifest van de Universiteit van
1981 Suriname (Declaration of the University of Suriname) Paramaribo:

North-South Publishing Company

Werkgroep Ontwikkelingsuniversiteit.

Manigat, Leslie The Setting: Crisis, Ideology and

Manigat, Leslie The Setting: Crisis, Ideology and 1988a Geopolitics. In: Heine and Manigat 1988 1988b Grenada: Revolutionary Shockwave, Crisis and Intervention. In: Heine and Manigat 1988

1988c The Caribbean between Global Horizons and Latin American Perspectives. In: Heine and Manigat 1988

Manigat, Mirlande Brazil and the Caribbean. In: Heine 1988 and Manigat 1988

Manitzas, Nita Rous Cuba and the Contemporary World
1983 Order. In: Henry and Stone 1983

Manners, Robert A. Methods of Community Analysis in 1957 the Caribbean . In: Rubin 1957a

Marks, Arnout F. Male and Female and the Afro-1973 Curaçaoan Household. The Hague: Nijhoff, 1976 (Orig. Ph. D. Thesis, Leiden, 1973)

Marks, Arnout F.
1979
Intergroup Relationship Sociological Research. Boletin de Estudios
Latinoamericanos y del Caribe No.

26, 1979

Mars, Perry
Political Mobilization and Class
Struggle in the English Speaking
Caribbean. Contemporary Marx.

iem No.10, 1985

1986 Movimientos Marxistas y Política

Electoral en el Caribe Anglófono. El Caribe Contemporáneo No. 13, 1986

Marshall, W. K. A Review of Historical Writing on the Commonwealth Caribbean since 1940 Social and Economic

since 1940. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 24, No. 3, 1975 Mathews, Thomas G. et al. 1966 (eds.)

et al. Politics and Economics in the Caribbean: A Contemporary Analysis of the Dutch, French and British Caribbean. Rio Piedras (Puers Rico): Institute of Caribbean Stud

ies

McIntyre, Alister

Some Issues of Trade Policy in the West Indies In: Girvan and Jeffey

1984

1974

son 1971

The Caribbean after Grenada: Four Challenges Facing the Regional Movement. Trinidad: Institute of

Mc Kenzie, H.I.

International Relations

The Plural Society Debate: Some Comments on a Recent Contribution. Social and Economic studies Vol. 15. No. 1. 1964.

Mevis, René

Inventory of Caribbean Studies: An Overview of Social Research on the Caribbean Conducted by Antillean, Dutch and Surinamese Scholars in the Period 1945-1973: With an Index of Caribbean Specialists and a Bibliography. Leiden (Hollandi: Royal Institute of Linguistics and Anthropology

Mhango, Baijah H. P. 1980 Gap Analysis Applied to the Surinamese Economy. Paramaribo: Interprint. Ph. D. Thesis University of Suriname

1984 Ai

Aid and Dependence: The Case of Suriname; A Study in Bilateral Aid Relations. Paramaribo: S.W.I. Millett, Richard 1979 Imperialism, Intervention, and Exploitation: The Historical Context of International Relations in the Caribbean. In: Millet and Mill 1979

Millett, Richard

The Restless Caribbean: Changing Patterns of International Relations, New York: Pragger

Mills, Charles W. 1987 Race and Class: Conflicting or Reconciling Paradigms. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 36, No. 2, 1987

Mintz, Sidney W. Price, Richard 1976 An Anthropological Approach to the Afro-American Past: A Caribbean Perspective. Philadelphia: Institute for the Study of Human Issues

Mintz, Sidney W. Price, Sally 1985 Caribbean Contours. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press

Mordecai, John 1968 The West Indies: The Federal Negotiations. London: Allen and Unwin

Morin, Edgar 1977

naturaleza. Madrid: Ediciones Cátedra, 1981 (Orig. in French 1977)

Morrissey, Marietta 1976 Imperial Designs: A Sociology of Knowledge Study of British and American Domination in the Development of Caribbean Social Science. Latin American Perspectives Vol. III. No. 4. 1976 Moyne Report

The West Indian Royal Commission Report (1938-1939). London: Her Majesty's Statistical Office (Known as the "Moyne Report")

Munroe, Trevor 1972 The Politics of Constitutional Decolonization, Kingston: ISER

1977

The Marxist Left in Jamaica. Kingston: ISER

Nagelkerke, G.A. 1971 Suriname bibliografie 1940. 1970.(Suriname Bibliography 1940 1970) Leiden (Holand). Royal Institute of Linguistics and Anthropol-

ogv.

1972

Suriname. Literatuuroverzicht vanaf de 17e eeuw tot 1940. (Suriname, Bibliographical Review from the 17th Century until 1940) Leiden (Holland): Royal Institute of Linguistics and Anthropology

1973.

Nederlandse Antillen. Literatuuroverzicht vanaf de 17e eeu vot 1970. (Netherlands Antilles. Bibliographical Review from the 17th Century until 1970) Leiden (Holland): Royal Institute of Linguistics and Antirocology

1977

Bibliografisch overzicht van de indianen van Suriname, 1700-1977. (Bibliographic Review of the Amerindians of Suriname) Leiden (Holland): Royal Institute of Linguistics and Anthropology Nettleford, Rex M. 1970 Mirror Mirror. Identity, Race and Protest in Jamaica. Jamaica: W. Collins and Sangster

Nowotny, Helga 1986 Social Science Research in a Changing World. World Health Forum Vol. 7, 1986

Ohiorhenuan, John F.E. 1979-1980

Dependency and Non-Capitalist Development in the Caribbean: Historical Necessity and Degrees of Freedom. Science and Society Vol. XLIII, No. 4, 1979-80 Inventory of Caribbean Studies: An

Overview of Social Scientific Publi-

Oltheten, Theo M. P. 1979

cations on the Caribbean by Antillean , Dutch and Surinamese Authors in the Period 1945-1978/79 Leiden (Holland): Royal Institute of Linguistics and Anthropology The Intellectual Background to the

Oxaal, Ivar

Democratic Revolution in Trinidad. In: Bell 1967

1967

The Dependency Economist as Grassroots Politician in the Caribbean. In: Oxaal et al. 1975

Oxaal, Ivar Barnett, Tony Boots, David

Palmer, Ransford W.

Beyond the Sociology of Development. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul

nsford W.

Problems of Development in Beautiful Countries: Perspectives on the Caribbean. Lanham (Maryland): The North-South Publishing House 1970)

Patterson, Orlando 1967

The Sociology of Slavery. London: Mc Gibbon and Kee

1970

Slavery and Slave Revolts: A Sociohistorical Analysis of the First Maroon War, 1665-1740, In: Price 1979 (Reprint from Social and Economic Studies Vol. 19 No. 3

1975

Context and Choice in Ethnic Alle. giance: A Theoretical Framework and Caribbean Case Study In: Glazer and Movnihan 1975

Payne, Anthony 1980

The Politics of the Caribbean Community 1961-1979: Regional Integration amongst New States, Manchester: Manchester University Dependency Theory and the Com-

10840 1984b

monwealth Caribbean, In: Payne and Sutton 1984 Regional Industrial Programming

in Caricom. In: Payne and Sutton 1984 Whither Caricom? The Perform-

1985

ance and Prospects of Caribbean Integration in the 1980's, International Journal XL 1985

Payne, Anthony Sutton, Paul 1984(ade) Dependency under Challenge: The Political Economy of the Commonwealth Caribbean, Manchester: Manchester University Press

Payne, Anthony Sutton Paul Thorndike, Tony 1984 Grenada: Revolution and Invasion-New York: St Martin's Press

1981

Pearce, Jenny

Under the Engle: U.S. Imperialism in Central America and the Caribbean. London: Latin American

Petras, James

A Death in Guyana Has Meaning for Third World, Latin American Perspectives Vol. VIII. No. 1 (Issue 28) 1981

Phillips, Dion E. 1985 Caribbean Militarization: A response to the Crisis, Contemporary Marxism No. 10, 1985.

Phillips, Dion Young, Alma H. 1986 Toward an Understanding of Militarization in the Third World and the Caribbean. In: Young and Phillips 1986

Pierre-Charles, Gérard 1073

Para una sociología de la opresión: El caso de Haiti. (Towards a Sociology of Oppression: The Case of Haiti) Santiago de Chile: Quimantú

1981

El Caribe contemporáneo. (The contemporary Caribbean) Mexico City: Siglo XXI Editores

1985

1078

El pensamiento sociopolítico moderno en el Caribe. (Modern Sociopolitical Thought in the Caribbean) International Journal XL 1985

Polanyi-Levitt, Kari 1985

The Origins and implications of the Caribbean Rasin Initiative: Mortgaging Sovereignty? International Journal XL 1985

Post. Ken

Arise Ye Starvelings: The Jamaican Labour Rebellion of 1938 and its Aftermath. The Hague: Martinus Niihoff

1981

Strike the Iron: A Colony at War: Jamaica 1939-1945. New Jersey: Humanities Press

Powell, Dorian 1984

The Role of the Women in the Caribbean, Social and Economic Studies Vol. 33. No. 2, 1984

Price, Richard

Saramaka Social Structure: Analysis of a Society in Surinam. Rio

1975

Piedras (Puerto Rico): Institute of Caribbean Studies The Guiana Marcons: A Historical and Bibliographical Introduction.

1976 1979 (ed.)

Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press Maroon Societies: Rebel Slave Communities in the Americas, Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Univer-

Ramphal, S.S. 1971 West Indian Nationhood: Myth Mirage or Mandate, In: Lowenthal and Comitas 1973a (Orig. 1971)

sity Press

Rex, John 1977 New Nations and Ethnic Minorities. Comparative and Theoretical Questions, In: Unesco 1977

Rivière, P.G. 1969 Marriage Among the Trio, Oxford: Clarendon Press

Robb, Reive

Social and Economic Studies: Author and Keyword Index to Volume 1-26, 1953-1977, Kingston: ISER

The Population of Jamaica. Cam-Roberts, George W. 1957

1980

bridge: Cambridge University Press

Caribbean Social Science: An assessment	□ 177
1980	Pluralism as Ideology. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 29, No.1, 1980
	The Emergence of Sociology in Ja- maica. Social and Economic Stud- ies Vol. 33, No.4, 1984
	The Why of the Cockatoo. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 34, No. 2, 1985
1969	The Groundings with my Brothers. London: Bogle L'Ouverture Publi- cations, 1971 (Orig. 1969)
	How Europe Underdeveloped Af- rica. London: Bogle L'Ouverture Publications

1978 Transition. Transition Vol 1, No. 1, 1978

1981 A History of the Guyanese Working People 1881-1905. London: Heine-

mann Educational Books

Römer, René A. Korsow: Een sociologische verken-1970 ning van een Caraibische maatschappii. (Curacao: A Sociological Exploration of a Caribbean Society) Curação: Van Dorn

> 1977 Een volk op weg: Un pueblo na kaminda: Een sociaal-historische studie van de Curacaose samenleving. (A People on Its Way; Un Pueblo na Kaminda: A Social His

torical Study of the Curação Soci. ety) Zutphen (Holland): Walburg Press

Caribbean Studies: A Symposium Seattle: University of Washington 1957a

Press 1960 (Orig. 1957)

Cultural Perspectives in Caribbean 1957b Research, In:Rubin 1957a

> Social and Cultural Pluralism in the Caribbean, New York : Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences Vol. 83, 1960

> Culture, Politics and Race Reintions. Social and Economic Studies

Vol. 11. No. 4, 1962

Race and Nationalism in Trinidad and Tobago: A Study on Decolonization in a Multi-racial Society. Toronto: University of Toronto Press

The New Jewel Movement: Grenada's Revolution, 1979-1983. Washington: Foreign Service Institute, U.S. State Department

Maatschappijwetenschapper in Suriname, (The Social Scientist in Suriname) Surinamese Journal of

Social and Economic Studies No. 1. 1980

Suriname Between Caricom and Latin America. Paper presented at the XIIIth Annual Conference of

Rubin, Vera

1960

1962

Ryan, Selwyn D.

1972

Sandford, Gregory

1985

Sankatsing, Glenn 1980

1988

the Caribbean Studies Association held in Guadeloupe, 1988

Seabury, Paul McDougall, Walter A. 1984 1955

The Grenada Papers. San Francisco (California) : Institute for Contemporary Studies

Sedney, Jules

Het werkgelegenheidsaspect van het Surinaamse Tieniarennlan (The Employment Aspect of the Surinamese Ten Year Plan) Amsterdam: S. J. P. Bakker (Ph. D.

Thesis)

1978 (ed.)

Universiteit van Suriname 1968-1978: Internationaal Symposium Change and Development, (University of Suriname 1968-1978: International Symposium Change and Development) Paramaribo: University of Suriname

Sedoc-Dahlberg, Betty 1986

Interest Groups and the Military Regime in Suriname. In: Young and Phillips 1986 Nacionalismo, etnicidad v política

Serbin, Andrés 1981

en la República Cooperativa de Guyana. (Nationalism. Ethnicity and Politics in the Cooperative Republic of Guyana) Caracas: Editorial Bruguera

1983 (ed.)

Geopolítica de las relaciones de Venezuela con el Caribe. (Geopolitics of the Relations of Venezuela with the Caribbean) Caracas: Fondo Editorial Acta Científica

1987a Etnicidad, class y nación en la cuj tura política del Carbie de Habla Inglesa. (Ethnicity, Class and Na, tion in the Political Culture of the English Speaking Caribbean Caracas: Academia Nacional de la Historia.

1987b (ed.) Venezuela y las Relaciones Internacionales en la Cuenca del Caribe. (Venezuela and International Relations in the Caribbean Basin) Caracas: ILDIS-AVECA

Simonia, Nodary
Socialist Oriented Development
and National Democracy. Moscow:
Novosti Press Agency Publishing
House

Singham, Arthur W. The Hero and the Crowd in a Colonial Polity. New Haven: Yale University Press

Siwpersad, Jozef P.

De Nederlandes Regering en de
siehelfing van de Surinaamse
slavernij (1833-1853). (The Dutch
Government and the Abbiltion of
Surinamese Slavery (1833-1853).
Groningen (1910land): Cestricum

Smith, M.G.

Some Aspects of Social Structure in

British Caribbean about 150

In: Smith 1955, (Orig.: Social Structure in the British Caribbean about 1820, Social and Economic Studies

Vol. 1, No. 4, 1953)

1954 Slavery and Emancipation in Two Societies. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 3. Nos. 3 and 4, 1954

(Ph. D. Thesis)

1955 A Framework for Caribbean Studies. In: M.G. Smith 1965 (Orig. 1955) Social and Cultural Pluralism. In: 1960 M.G. Smith 1965 (Orig. : in Rubin 1960)

1961 The Plural Framework of Jamaica Society. In: M.G. Smith 1965 (Orig.: British Journal of Sociology Vol. XII, No. 3, 1961) 1965 The Plural Society in the British

West Indies, Berkeley (California): University of California Press 1969a Institutional and Political Conditions of Pluralism. In: Kuper and

Smith 1969 1969h Some Developments in the Analyti-

Kuper and Smith 1969 1982 Issues of Fundamental Social Science Theory in Suriname and the

cal Framework of Piuralism. In:

English Speaking Caribbean. In: Unesco 1982 Robotham's Ideology and Plural-1983

ism: A Reply. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 32, No. 2, 1983

1984 Some Future Directions for Social Research in the Commonwealth Caribbean, Social and Economic Studies Vol. 33, No. 2, 1984

Smith, Raymond T. The Negro Family in British Gui-1956 ana, London: Routledge and Kegan

Paul

SSU

1967

1962 British Guiana. London: Oxford University Press

> Social Stratification, Cultural Pluralism and Intergation In West Indian Societies. In: Lewis and Mathews 1967

Solodovnikov, V. Non-Capitalist Development
Bogoslovsky, V. Moscow: Progress Publishers
1975

Sonntag, Heinz R.

Duda/Certeza/Crisis: Le evolución
de las ciencias sociales de América
Latina. (Doubt/Certainty/Crisis:
The Evolution of the Social Store
of Latin America). Caracas:
Uneach/Sturys Sociales

Speckmann, J.D. Marriage and Kinship Among the 1965 Indians in Surinam. Assen (Holland): Van Gorcum

Springer, Hugh W.

1962 First West Indian Federation.

Cambridge (Massachusetts): Harvard University Press

1973 Federation in the Caribbean: An Attempt that Failed. In: Lowenthal and Comitas 1973a

Imperialisme en klassenstrijd in Suriname. (Imperialism and Class Struggle in Suriname J. Leiden (Holland): Surinaamse Studenten Unie, Vereniging Ons Suriname, en Suriname Comité

St. Cvr. Eric B.A.	On Lewis' Theory of Growth and	
1980	Development. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 29, No.4, 1980	
1983	The Theory of Caribbean Economy: Its Origins and Current Status. Trinidad: Institute of International Relations	
Sticusa	Suriname bibliografie. (Suriname	
1972	Bibliography) Amsterdam: Sticusa	
1985	Literatuuroverzicht Nederlandse Antillen. (Bibliographical Review of the Netherlands Antilles) Am- sterdam: Sticusa	
Stone, Carl 1973	Race, Class and Political Behaviour in Urban Jamaica. Kingston: ISER	
1984	Reflections on Political Polling in Jamaica. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 33. 1, 1984	
1985	A Political Profile of the Caribbean. In: Mintz and Price 1985	
1986a	Class, State, and Democracy in Ja- maica. New York: Praeger	
1986b	Power in the Caribbean Basin: A Comparative Study of Political Economy. Philadelphia: Institute for the Study for Human Issues	
Sudama, Trevor 1979	The Model of the Plantation Econ- omy: The Case of Trinidad and	

Tobago. Latin American Perspectives Vol. VI, Issue 20, 1979

Suparlan, Parsudi 1976

The Javanese of Suriname: Ethnic. ity in an Ethnically Plural Society. Urbana Champaign (Ill), Ph. D. Dissertation

Ten Years of Caricom 1084

Ten Years of Caricom: Papers presented at a Seminar sponsored by the Inter-American Development Bank Washington: IDB. Caricom Secretorist

Thoden van Velzen HUE 1966

Politieke beheersing in de Diuka maatschappii; Een studie van een onvolledig machtsoverwicht (Political Control in Diuka Society: A Study of Incomplete Power Ascendency) Amsterdam: Ph. D. Thesis

Thomas, Clive Y. 1974

Dependence and Transformation: The Economics of the Transition to Socialism, New York: Monthly Review Press

The Non-Capitalist Path as Theory and Practice of Decolonization and Social Transformation, Latin American Perspectives Vol. V, No. 2.1978

1979 1982a Neo-Colonialism and Caribbean Integration, In: Ince 1979 From Colony to State Capitalism: Alternative Paths of Development

in the Caribbean Paramaribo: Stichting Wetenschappelijke Informetic (SWI)

> Walter Rodney and the Caribbean Revolution, In: Alpers and Fon-

1982b taine 1982

State Capitalism in Guyana: An Assessment of Burnham's Cooperative Socialist Republic. In: Ambursley and Cohen 1983a

1984a

Plantations, Peasants and State: A Study of the Mode of Sugar Production in Guyana. Kingston: ISER The Rise of the Authoritarian State

1984b

in Peripheral Societies. New York: Monthly Review Press

The Next Time Around: Radical

1987

Options and Caribbean Economy. Paper presented at the First Conference of Caribbean Economists, Kingston, July 1987

Tien Jaar U. V. S. 1978 Tien Jaar Universiteit van Suriname (Ten Years of the University of Suriname) Paramaribo: University of Suriname

Torres-Rivas, Edelberto 1987

1977

Notas sobre las ciencias sociales en Centro-América. (Notes on the Social Sciences in Central America) Paper presented at the Seminar 'José Silva Michelena: El estado actual de las ciencias sociales en América Latina) Caracas: Flacso-Cendes (Mimeo)

Ulyanovski, R. 1974

Socialism and the Newly Independent Nations, Moscow: Progress Publishers

Unesco

Race and Class in Post-Colonial Society, Paris: Unesco

Pierre I

1980	Sociological	Theories:	Race	an
	Colonialism. Paris: Unesco			

Caribbean Cultures: Proceedings of 1981 the Meeting of Experts in Santo Domingo (Dominican Republic) September 18 to 22, 1978. Paris: Unesco

Social Sciences in Latin America 1082 and the Caribbean: The English Speaking Caribbean and Sunname: Social Science Needs and Priorities Paris: Unesco

> Social Sciences in Asia and the Pacific Paris: Unesco

> > lation: Frontier Society: A Social

Problemas raciales. Mexico City: Van Den Berghe. Fondo de Cultura Económica (Orig.: Race and Racism: A Com-1967

parative Perspective, Wiley, 1967) 1969 Pluralism and the Polity: A Theo-

retical Exploration, In: Kuper and Smith 1969

Van Dieten, M. De Nederlandse Antillen: Een Maduro L. analyse van hun afhankelijkheid. (The Netherlands Antilles: An 1978 Analysis of Their Dependence Tilburg (Holland): Instituut voor

Ontwikkelingsvraagstukken

Van Lier, Rudolf A.J. Samenleving in een grensgebied: Een sociaal historische studie van 1949 Suriname. Deventer (Holland): Van Lochum Slaterus, 1971 (Orig. Ph. D. Thesis 1949. English Trans-

1968

1979

Analysis of the History of Surinam. The Hague: Nijhoff, (1971)

Ontwikkeling en karakter van de Westindische mastchappij. The Hague: Mededelingen van het Indisch Instituut No.92 (English edition: The Development and Nature of Society in the West Indies.The Hague: Martinus Nilhoff. 1950)

De culturele betrekkingen tussen Nederland en Suriname: Verleden, heden en toekomst. (The Cultural Relations between the Netherlands and Suriname: Past, Present and Future) In: CCS 1957

Universiteiten maatschappij in het perspectief van ontwikkeling. (University and Society in the Perspective of Development) Deventer (Holland): Van Lochum Staterne

Discontinuity and the Social Sciences: A Plea for Praxeology.

(Address given on the occasion of the reception of an honorary doctor's degree of the University of the West Indies on 27 January 1979) Wageningen (Holland): Landhouwhogeschool

Van Soest, Jaap 1976 Olie als water: De Curaçaose economie in de eerste helft van de twintigste eeuw. (Oil Galore: The Economy of Curaçao in the First Half of the Twentieth Century) Curaçao: Hogeschool van de Nederlandse Antillen

Trustees of the Netherlands Antilles: A History of Money. Banking and the Economy; With Special Reference to the 'Centrale Bank van de Nederlandse Antillen'. Curação

Verton, Peter C.

Politieke dynamiek en dekolonisatie: De Nederlandse Antillen tussen autonomie en onafhankelijkheid. (Political Dynamies and Decolonization: The Netherlands Antilles between Autonomy and Independence) Alphen aan de Rijn (Holland): Samson (Ph. D. Thesis Rotterdam)

1984

Politieke macht in koloniale samenlevingen. (Political Power in Colonial Societies) Curação: University of the Netherlands Antilles

Waggoner, Barbara A. Waggoner, George R. 1986 Universities of the Caribbean Region - Struggles to Democratize: An Annotated Bibliography. Boston (Mass.): G.K. Hall

Wagley, Charles 1957 Plantation America: A Cultural Sphere. In: Rubin 1957a

Watson, Hilbourne A. 1980 Imperialism and Caribbean Dependence: Resistence and Adaptation. Caraibisch Forum Vol. 1, No. 3, 1980

1982a

Non-Capitalist Development and the Commonwealth Caribbean: A Critique of its Theoretical and Prescritique of its Theoretical and Presented at the Caribbean Studies Association Sixth Annual Meeting. St. Thomas (Virgin Islands), 1982

Wilkinson, Audine

1982b Theoretical and Methodological Problems in Commonwealth Carib-

Problems in Commonwealth Caribbean Migration Research: Conditions and Causality. Social and Economic Studies Vol. 31, No. 1.

1982

1985a The Caribbean Basin Initiative and Caribbean Development: A Critical Analysis. Contemporary Marxism No. 10, 1985

1985b Grenada: Non-Capitalist Path and the Derailment of a Populist Revo-

lution. Paper presented at the Caribbean Studies Association 9th Annual Meeting, 1985

1986 Economic Dependency and Geopolitics: Recurring Ideological Themes in Caribbean Intellectual Culture. New West Indian Guide

Vol. 60, No. 3 and 4, 1986 Sir Arthur Lewis: A Bibliographic

1980 Guide to his Works. Social and economic Studies Vol. 29, No. 4, 1980

Willemsen, Glenn F. W. Koloniale politiek en transformatieprocessen in een plantage-economie: Suriname 1873-1940. (Colonial Politics and Transformation

Processes in a Plantation Economy:
Suriname 1873-1940) Amsterdam:
Ksal (Ph. D. Thesis Rotterdam)

Suriname: De schole onefhanke.

1983 (ed.)

Suriname: De schele onafhankelijkheid. (Suriname: The Skewed Independence) Utrecht (Holland): Synoosis

References 190 7

Capitalism and Slavery. London: Williams, Eric E. Andre Deutsch 1977 (Orig. 1944) 1944 British Historians and the West 1964 Indies. Port of Spain: P.N.M. Pub-

lishing Company From Columbus to Castro: The 1970 History of the Caribbean 1492-1969

London: Andre Deutsch The University in the Caribbean in 1974 the Late 20th Century 1980-1999.

Port of Spain: P.N.M. Publishing Company Higher Education in Trinidad and Williams, Gwendoline

Tobago: A Focus on Organizational Harvey, Claudia Development and Change. Cara-1985 CRESALC-Unesco

Profiles: Women in the Caribbean White, Averille Project: Social and Economic Stud-1986 ies Vol. 35, No. 2, 1986

The Dual Economy since Lewis. Worrell, Keith Social and Economic Studies Vol.

1980 29, No. 4, 1980 The Central American Crisis and

Young, Alma H. its Impact on Belize.In: Young and 1986 Phillips 1986

Militarism in the Non-Hispanic Young, Alma H. Caribbean. Bouldner (Colorado) : Phillips, Dion E. Lynne Rienner 1986

THIS BOOK WAS PUBLISHED DURING THE MONTH OF JULY NINETHEEN EIGHTY NINE AT THE REGIONAL CENTRE FOR HIGHER EDUCATION IN LATIN AMERICA AND THE CAREBEAN (CRESALC) OF UNESCO. ISSUES PUBLISHED: 500

his monograph cutting across traditional linguistic barriers, is an attempt at an extradisciplinary study of social science development in the English and Dutch Speaking Caribbean. Social science disciplines, developed in close interaction with the social evolution of the North Atlantic societies were transplanted to the Caribbean, introducing an alien structure in the scientific study of the region. reaction has been a search for indigenization and the transcendence of disciplines, which led to an interweaving of theory and praxis, since social science thought in the sub-region has been a direct response to the dynamic social processes under the pressure of emancipation, decolonizacion and independence of weakly integrated multi-racial societies. The major conceptualizations of the Caribbean social science are discussed and a tentative assessment is made of its development, that already counts on a rich tradition.

The author, born in Suriname, who studied sociology in Holland, has been a lecturer at the University of Suriname for ten years and is presently a research fellow at INVESP (Instituto Venezolano de Estudios Sociales y Políticos) in Caracas.

REGIONAL UNIT OF THE SOCIAL AND HUMAN SCIENCES IN LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN.

P.O. Box 68394 Caracas 1060-A, Venezuela